

AGN feedback on molecular gas reservoirs in quasars at $z \sim 2.4$

S. Carniani^{1,2}, A. Marconi^{3,4}, R. Maiolino^{1,2}, C. Feruglio⁵, M. Brusa^{6,7}, G. Cresci⁴, M. Cano-Díaz⁸, C. Cicone⁹, B. Balmaverde¹⁰, F. Fiore¹¹, A. Ferrara¹⁰, S. Gallerani¹⁰, F. La Franca¹², V. Mainieri¹³, F. Mannucci⁴, H. Netzer¹⁴, E. Piconcelli¹¹, E. Sani¹⁶, R. Schneider¹⁰, O. Shemmer¹⁵, and L. Testi^{13,4,17}

¹ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, 19 J. J. Thomson Ave., Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK
e-mail: sc888@mrao.cam.ac.uk

² Kavli Institute for Cosmology, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK

³ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Firenze, via G. Sansone 1, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (Firenze), Italy

⁴ INAF-Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi 5, 50125 Firenze, Italy

⁵ INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, via G. Tiepolo 11, 34124 Trieste, Italy

⁶ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, viale Berti Pichat 6/2, 40127 Bologna, Italy

⁷ INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, via Ranzani 1, 40127 Bologna, Italy

⁸ Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 70-264, Mexico D.F. 04510, Mexico

⁹ INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, via Brera 28, 20121 Milano, Italy

¹⁰ SNS – Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy

¹¹ INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, via Frascati 33, 00040 Monteporzio Catone, Italy

¹² Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, via della Vasca Navale 84, 00146 Roma, Italy

¹³ European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-str. 2, 85748 Garching bei München, Germany

¹⁴ School of Physics and Astronomy, The Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel-Aviv University, 69978 Tel-Aviv, Israel

¹⁵ Department of Physics, University of North Texas, Denton, TX 76203, USA

¹⁶ European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Cordova 3107, Vitacura, Santiago, Chile

¹⁷ Excellence Cluster “Universe”, Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching bei München, Germany

Received 21 February 2017 / Accepted 26 June 2017

ABSTRACT

We present new ALMA observations aimed at mapping molecular gas reservoirs through the CO(3–2) transition in three quasars at $z \simeq 2.4$, LBQS 0109+0213, 2QZ J002830.4-281706, and [HB89] 0329-385. Previous [OIII] λ 5007 observations of these quasars showed evidence for ionised outflows quenching star formation in their host galaxies. Systemic CO(3–2) emission has been detected only in one quasar, LBQS 0109+0213, where the CO(3–2) emission is spatially anti-correlated with the ionised outflow, suggesting that most of the molecular gas may have been dispersed or heated in the region swept by the outflow. In all three sources, including the one detected in CO, our constraints on the molecular gas mass indicate a significantly reduced reservoir compared to main-sequence galaxies at the same redshift, supporting a negative feedback scenario. In the quasar 2QZ J002830.4-281706, we tentatively detect an emission line blob blue-shifted by $v \sim -2000$ km s⁻¹ with respect to the galaxy systemic velocity and spatially offset by 0.2'' (1.7 kpc) with respect to the ALMA continuum peak. Interestingly, such emission feature is coincident in both velocity and space with the ionised outflow as seen in [OIII] λ 5007. This tentative detection must be confirmed with deeper observations but, if real, it could represent the molecular counterpart of the ionised gas outflow driven by the Active Galactic Nucleus (AGN). Finally, in all ALMA maps we detect the presence of serendipitous line emitters within a projected distance ~ 160 kpc from the quasars. By identifying these features with the CO(3–2) transition, we find that the serendipitous line emitters would be located within $|\Delta v| < 500$ km s⁻¹ from the quasars, hence suggesting an overdensity of galaxies in two out of three quasars.

Key words. galaxies: high-redshift – quasars: individual: LBQS 0109+0213 – galaxies: evolution – quasars: emission lines – quasars: individual: 2QZ J002830.4-281706 – quasars: individual: [HB89] 0329-385

1. Introduction

Both the growth of super-massive black holes (SMBHs) and star formation history of galaxies are regulated by the supply of cold gas available in the host. The molecular gas reservoir can be replenished through either accretion of cold gas from the halo or wet mergers.

Intense bursts of star formation, such as those observed in sub-millimetre galaxies (SMGs), and in the host galaxies of powerful active galactic nuclei (AGN) can be induced by mergers, interactions and disk instabilities (see, e.g. Alexander & Hickox 2012). Several studies have suggested that SMGs and quasars (QSOs) represent two distinct stages of galaxy evolution (e.g. Hopkins et al. 2008). SMGs would correspond to the starburst

phase when galaxies are dust obscured and therefore emit mainly at far-infrared wavelengths. QSOs are unobscured systems where the gas has been expelled by energetic outflows, which eventually quench both SMBH growth and star formation (SF) (Di Matteo et al. 2005).

The discovery of ubiquitous massive, powerful galaxy-wide outflows in QSO host galaxies supports the QSO feedback scenario depicted above. Studies based on millimetre observations of local QSO hosts have estimated molecular outflow mass-loss rates exceeding the star formation rates by almost two orders of magnitude in the most powerful sources (Cicone et al. 2014). Massive outflows can deplete the host galaxies of their cold gas content in approximately a few Myr, that is, on timescales even shorter than the depletion time scales due to gas consumption

Table 1. Properties of the three quasars from literature.

QSO	z	RA	Dec	$\text{Log}_{10}(\frac{L_{\text{AGN}}}{\text{erg/s}})$	M_{BH}	SFR	Ionised outflow properties			
							v	R	$M_{[\text{OIII}]}$	$\dot{M}_{[\text{OIII}]}$
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	$[10^{10} M_{\odot}]$	$[M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}]$	$[\text{km s}^{-1}]$	$[\text{kpc}]$	$[10^7 M_{\odot}]$	$[M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}]$
LBQS0109	2.35	01:12:16.99	+02:29:47.7	47.5	1.0	50	1850	0.4	1.2	60
2QZJ0028	2.40	00:28:30.42	-28:17:05.4	47.3	1.2	100	2300	0.7	3.8	140
HB8903	2.44	03:31:06.41	-38:24:04.6	47.5	1.3	90	1450	1.9	0.7	6

Notes. (1) ID of the object. (2) Redshifts estimated from the narrow [OIII] λ 5007 emission (Carniani et al. 2015b). (3, 4) Coordinates (J2000.0). (5) AGN bolometric luminosities derived by using the relation $L_{\text{AGN}} \sim 6 \lambda L(\lambda 5100 \text{ \AA})$ from Marconi et al. (2004). (6) Black hole masses from Shemmer et al. (2004) and Williams et al. (2017). (7) SFR estimated from H α emission (Carniani et al. 2016). (8, 9) [OIII] λ 5007 Outflow velocity and inferred by using the spectro-astrometric method described in Carniani et al. (2015b). (10) Outflow masses inferred from [OIII] λ 5007 by assuming $T_e \sim 10^4$ K and $n_e \sim 500 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. (11) Outflow mass-loss rates calculated as $\dot{M}_o = M_o / \tau_{\text{dyn}} = M_o v_o / R_o$.

by star formation (Maiolino et al. 2012; Cicone et al. 2014). However, although these observations are in overall agreement with AGN feedback models (see Fabian 2012, and references therein), we are still missing the smoking gun evidence that the AGN-driven outflows are effectively quenching star formation: what we are seeking is a clear and unambiguous indication that star formation is indeed inhibited in the galaxy regions swept by the outflows.

Several SINFONI/VLT observations of $z \sim 1.5$ – 2.5 QSOs indicate the presence of fast, galaxy-wide ionised outflows with a conical morphology that are spatially anti-correlated with the brightest actively star forming region in the host galaxy (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2015b; Cresci et al. 2015; Carniani et al. 2016). These results suggest that the fast winds are simultaneously expelling gas from the host galaxies and quenching star formation in the region swept by the outflow. However, we note that, at optical wavelengths, observations may be affected by differential extinction effects, and so we cannot fully rule out the presence of obscured emission powered by star formation in the region affected by the ionised outflow. In conclusion, it is still debated whether the observed absence of star formation signatures in the outflow region is real and related to gas depletion by feedback or if it is caused by dust obscuration.

In this context, observations at (sub-)millimetre wavelengths are crucial to definitely establish whether star formation is inhibited by fast outflows. Through the carbon monoxide (CO) line emission we can directly trace the cold molecular gas that fuels the star formation activity, and thus confirm or reject negative-feedback scenarios. Brusa et al. (2015b) reported the detection of CO(3–2) emission with the IRAM Plateau de Bure Interferometer (PdBI) in XID2028, one of the $z \sim 2$ QSOs exhibiting spatial anti-correlation between narrow H α emission, tracing star formation, and ionised AGN-driven outflows (Cresci et al. 2015). The modest molecular mass inferred from the CO(3–2) line detection indicates that the gas in the host galaxy has been already depleted or dispersed by QSO feedback. However the angular resolution ($\sim 4''$) of these PdBI observations is not sufficient to spatially resolve the CO(3–2) emission in XID2028, hence not allowing an accurate determination of the location of the molecular gas reservoir with respect to the ionised outflow (Brusa et al. 2015b).

We have recently undertaken an Atacama Large Millimetre/submillimetre Array (ALMA) programme targeting two QSOs of the sample by Carniani et al. (2015b), LBQS0109+0213 (hereafter LBQS0109), 2QZJ002830.4-281706 (hereafter 2QZJ0028), and [HB89] 0329-385 (hereafter HB8903) in which the spatial distribution of their narrow H α

and [OIII] λ 5007 emissions with respect to the location of the [OIII] λ 5007 outflow supports a negative-feedback scenario (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016). The aim of the ALMA observations presented in this paper is to map the molecular gas in the host galaxies through the CO(3–2) emission (rest frequency $\nu_{\text{rest}} = 345.8$ GHz) and compare the spatial distribution of the molecular gas with that of the fast-outflowing ionised gas. The paper is organised as follows: Sect. 2 describes the target properties, and Sect. 3 summarises the ALMA observations. In Sects. 4–6 we present our results on LBQS0109, 2QZJ0028, and HB8903, respectively. A discussion of the molecular gas content in all host galaxies is included in Sect. 7 and, our conclusions are summarised in Sects. 8 and 9.

In this work we adopt a Λ CDM cosmological model with $H_0 = 67.3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.315$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.685$ (Planck Collaboration XVI 2014). According to this model, $1''$ at $z = 2.4$ corresponds to a physical scale of 8.35 kpc.

2. Sample selection

The selected targets, LBQS0109, 2QZJ0028, and HB8903, are part of a large high-luminosity ($L > 10^{47} \text{ erg/s}$) QSO sample at $z > 2$ (Shemmer et al. 2004; Netzer et al. 2004), characterised by [OIII] λ 5007 equivalent widths of $EW > 10 \text{ \AA}$ and relatively bright in the H band, that is, $H < 16.5$ mag. The properties of the three targets are listed in Table 1.

To investigate the properties of the AGN-driven outflows, we have observed the three QSOs in the H (1.45–1.85 μm) and K (1.95–2.45 μm) bands with the Spectrograph for INtegral Field Observations in the Near Infrared (SINFONI) in seeing limited mode (angular resolution $\sim 0.6''$). The kinematical analysis of the [OIII] λ 5007 emission line revealed fast ($> 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) ionised outflows extended a few kpc from the galaxy centre (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2015b). In addition, the nuclear spectrum of 2QZJ0028, which has also been recently observed with SINFONI assisted by adaptive optics (angular resolution $\sim 0.15''$), is characterised by a broad, blueshifted H β absorption tracing nuclear outflowing gas with density higher than 10^9 cm^{-3} and velocity up to $10\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Williams et al. 2017).

Intriguingly, the presence of extended outflows appears to be spatially anti-correlated with the narrow H α emission component tracing star formation in the host galaxy (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016). These results have been interpreted as evidence for negative feedback in action, where star formation is quenched in the region where AGN-driven outflows interact with the host galaxy. If excluding dust-extinction effects,

the reduction of star formation activity in the outflow region can be caused by a lack of a substantial molecular gas reservoir, that may have been expelled by the outflow itself, or to heating and turbulence effects related to the feedback process that may lower the star formation efficiency of the gas.

3. Observations

LBQS0109, 2QZJ0028, and HB8903 were observed at the Band 3 frequencies (~ 100 GHz, corresponding to $\lambda \sim 3$ mm) with the ALMA array between July 2015 and July 2016. The on-source time was about 40 min with 40–44 12-m antennas for all sources. The antennas were distributed in a semi-compact configuration with a maximum baseline length of ~ 1.5 km. The average precipitable water vapour (PWV) values during the observations were 3 mm, 2.2 mm and 0.8 mm for the three targets, respectively.

The millimetre observations, carried out in frequency division mode, have a total bandwidth of 7.5 GHz divided into four spectral windows of ~ 1.875 GHz with a channel width of 1.9 MHz (~ 5.7 km s $^{-1}$). One of the four spectral windows was tuned to the expected central frequency of the CO(3–2) line, that is, 103.2 GHz for LBQS0109, 101.6 GHz for 2QZJ0028, and 100.5 GHz for HB8903. The redshifts of the three QSOs were estimated from the narrow [OIII] $\lambda 5007$ and H α emission lines (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016).

The data were calibrated using the CASA software version v4.5.2 (McMullin et al. 2007). The phase calibrators were J0038-2459 and J0108+0135 for LBQS0109 and 2QZJ0028, respectively. Ceres and J0238+166 were used as flux calibrators, while bandpass calibrations were carried out through the observations of J2258-2758 and J0238+1636. The flux, bandpass and phase calibrator for HB8903 was J0334-4008. All final images were reconstructed by using the CASA task CLEAN.

Continuum images at 3 mm were obtained using the line-free channels of the four spectral windows. By using a natural weighting we achieved for all sources a sensitivity of 12 μ Jy/beam for the first two QSOs and 18 μ Jy/beam for HB8903. The final images have an angular resolution of about 0.6'', which corresponds to 5 kpc at $z \sim 2.4$, and a spatial scale per pixel of 0.1''.

We subtracted the continuum emission by fitting an UV-plane model to the line-free channels of each spectral window using the UVCONTSUB task. We generated the final cubes from the continuum subtracted data using the CLEAN task with the parameter WEIGHTING = BRIGGS and ROBUST = 0.5, which offers a compromise between high-resolution and highest sensitivity per beam. In all sources, we achieved a 1σ sensitivity of 240–280 μ Jy/beam per spectral bin of 30 km s $^{-1}$ with a beam size of about $0.6'' \times 0.5''$. The angular resolution of ALMA images matches well that of the SINFONI observations ($\sim 0.6''$).

The source size and the flux density of the continuum emission of the three sources are inferred by fitting a 2D elliptical Gaussian profile to the visibility data in CASA by using the UVMODELFIT task. The line properties were estimated in the image plane instead.

In this work we are interested in comparing ALMA and SINFONI observations, hence we verified the astrometry accuracy of both datasets. The absolute positions of the QSOs in the ALMA field are consistent with the Sloan-Digital-Sky-Survey (SDSS) and Two-Micron-All-Sky-Survey (2MASS) positions within the astrometric uncertainty of about 0.1''. As no astrometric calibrations of SINFONI were observed for the three targets, we had to align the peak of the *H*- and *K*-band SINFONI

continuum emission with the centroid position obtained from 2MASS images in the same bands (*H* and *K*).

4. LBQS0109

The ALMA 3 mm continuum emission map of LBQS0109 is shown in panel (a) of Fig. 1 overlaid onto the SINFONI *H*-band continuum image. LBQS0109 has a flux density of 165 μ Jy and is detected at the $\sim 14\sigma$ level ($\sigma = 12$ μ Jy) in the ALMA continuum map.

The emission at 3 mm is spatially resolved with a beam-deconvolved size of $(0.5 \pm 0.1)'' \times (0.3 \pm 0.2)''$ with PA = $(85 \pm 11)^\circ$ (Table 2). We note that the emission is elongated in the same direction (east-west) of the ionised outflow traced by the broad [OIII] $\lambda 5007$ component (Carniani et al. 2015b), which is indicated as a blue arrow in Fig. 1a.

The radio emission of $\log_{10}(L_{8.4}/W \text{ Hz}^{-1}) = 24.83 \pm 0.22$ at 8.4 GHz (Hooper et al. 1995) measured with the Very Large Array indicates that LBQS0109 is just below the limit to be classified as radio-loud QSO ($\log_{10}(L_{8.4}/W \text{ Hz}^{-1}) > 25$). Therefore the elongated continuum emission at 3 mm may be associated with a radio jet co-spatial with the ionised outflow. However, two photometric measurements at 3 mm, which corresponds to $\lambda_{\text{rest}} \sim 0.9$ mm in the rest frame, and at 8.4 GHz ($\lambda_{\text{rest}} \sim 10$ mm), are not sufficient to perform a spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting decomposition. Indeed a typical galaxy SED from radio-to-infrared wavelengths can be modelled as a linear sum of dust continuum, thermal bremsstrahlung and synchrotron emission (see in detail Yun & Carilli 2002) but we would need more photometric data to disentangle the various components. Therefore it is not possible to establish whether dust or synchrotron emission dominates the sub-mm continuum in LBQS0109.

Assuming that the continuum emission at 3 mm is mainly associated with dust thermal emission, we estimated an upper limit on the dust content of LBQS0109. Since dust is rarely optically thick at millimetre wavelengths a part from a few extreme starburst galaxies (e.g. Soifer et al. 1999; Klaas et al. 2001; Matsushita et al. 2009), we have adopted the optically thin approximation for our unobscured QSO. The total dust mass is thus given by:


$$M_{\text{dust}} = \frac{S_\nu D_L^2}{B_\nu(T_d) \kappa_\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where S_ν is the flux density at the rest frame frequency ν , D_L is the luminosity distance of the target, $B_\nu(T_d)$ is the black-body function at the dust temperature T_d and κ_ν is the absorption coefficient. Following Palau et al. (2013), the equation can be simply rewritten as


$$M_{\text{dust}} = 3.25 \times 10^9 M_\odot \frac{e^{0.048\nu/T_d} - 1}{\nu^3 \kappa_\nu} \left(\frac{S_\nu}{\text{mJy}} \right) \left(\frac{D_L}{\text{Mpc}} \right)^2, \quad (2)$$

where the rest frame frequency ν is in GHz, κ_ν is in cm 2 g $^{-1}$ and T_d is in K. We adopt a $\kappa_\nu = 0.45 \times (\nu/250 \text{ GHz})^\beta$ cm 2 g $^{-1}$ with a fixed emissivity index $\beta = 2.0$. We note that κ_ν depends on the properties of dust grain and can suffer from large uncertainties. Using a dust temperature $T_d = 40\text{--}60$ K (Beelen et al. 2006), the dust mass from the 3 mm continuum emission is around $5\text{--}8 \times 10^8 M_\odot$. We stress that the inferred dust mass is more likely an upper limit because a fraction of the continuum emission in this wavelength band can be also associated to non-thermal synchrotron radiation.


In addition, the ALMA continuum map of the field surrounding LBQS0109 reveals the presence of three serendipitous



(a)



(b)



(c)

Fig. 1. LBQS0109: *a*) white contours show the ALMA 3 mm continuum emission in correspondence of LBQS0109 at an angular resolution of $0.64'' \times 0.53''$. Contours correspond to $-2, 2, 3, 4$ and 5 times the noise per beam ($12 \mu\text{Jy}$). The colour background image shows the continuum emission in H band from SINFONI observations. The blue arrow indicates the direction of the ionised outflow revealed by the kinematic analysis of the broad $[\text{OIII}]\lambda 5007$ line (Carniani et al. 2015a). *b*) CO(3–2) spectrum extracted from an aperture as large as the synthesised beam size of the ALMA observations and re-binned to 120 km s^{-1} . The vertical green solid and dotted lines mark the expected CO(3–2) central wavelength based on the redshift of the narrow $H\alpha$ and $[\text{OIII}]\lambda 5007$ component, respectively (Carniani et al. 2016). The dashed red curve shows the best fit Gaussian profile. *c*) CO(3–2) surface brightness map. Black solid contours are at the levels of $2\sigma, 3\sigma, 4\sigma$ and 5σ of the CO(3–2) flux map, where σ is $0.03 \text{ Jy/beam km s}^{-1}$. The 2σ negative contours are indicated by the black dashed curves. The synthesised beam is shown in the bottom-left corner of the map.

Table 2. Millimetre properties of LBQS0109, 2QZJ0028 and HB8903.

	LBQS0109	2QZJ0028	HB8903
$\sigma_{3\text{mm}} [\mu\text{Jy/beam}]$	12	12	18
$S_{3\text{mm}} [\mu\text{Jy}]$	160 ± 16	168 ± 14	5692 ± 12
Major-axis $_{3\text{mm}} [']^a$	0.5 ± 0.1	–	0.102 ± 0.006
Axis-ratio $_{3\text{mm}}^a$	0.5 ± 0.4	–	1.00 ± 0.06
PA $_{3\text{mm}} [^\circ]^a$	85 ± 11	–	-90 ± 60
$M_{\text{dust}} [10^9 M_\odot]^b$	0.5–0.8	0.6–0.9	20–30
$\lambda_{\text{CO}(3-2)} [\text{mm}]$	2.9094 ± 0.0004	–	–
$z_{\text{CO}(3-2)}$	2.3558 ± 0.0005	–	–
$FWHM_{\text{CO}(3-2)} [\text{km s}^{-1}]$	400 ± 60	–	–
$S_{\text{CO}(3-2)} \Delta v [\text{Jy km s}^{-1}]^c$	0.34 ± 0.03	< 0.09	< 0.08
$L'_{\text{CO}(3-2)} [10^{10} \text{ K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2]^c$	1.04 ± 0.33	< 0.3	< 0.3
$L_{\text{CO}(3-2)} [10^7 L_\odot]^c$	1.4 ± 0.2	< 0.4	< 0.3
$M_{\text{gas}}(\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8) [10^{10} M_\odot]^{c,d}$	0.8 ± 0.5	< 0.2	< 0.2
$M_{\text{gas}}(\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 4) [10^{10} M_\odot]^{c,d}$	4.0 ± 2.4	< 1.2	< 1.0

Notes. ^(a) Beam-deconvolved size estimated in the UV-plane by using the UVMODELFIT task. ^(b) Under the assumption that the continuum emission at 3 mm is completely associated to thermal dust continuum emission. We assume a $T_{\text{d}} = 40\text{--}60 \text{ K}$ and a $\beta = 2.0$. ^(c) For 2QZJ0028 and HB8903, we assume a line width of 400 km s^{-1} and the upper limits correspond to a 3σ level. ^(d) Assuming a $r_{31} = 1.0 \pm 0.5$. The statistical errors associated to the molecular gas include r_{31} uncertainties.

sources within $15''$ (~ 120 kpc) from the QSO and with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) > 4 . The three sources are also visible with a level of confidence $> 5\sigma$ in the line channels. By assuming that the line emission detected at the positions of these sources corresponds to the CO(3–2) transition, we propose that they are physically associated with LBQS0109, with a $\Delta z = 0.002$. The properties inferred from the analysis of these serendipitous detections are reported in Appendix A. The three detections do not have optical counterparts in the SDSS images. A detailed discussion of this over-density system is presented in Sect. 8.

The CO(3–2) spectrum of LBQS0109 is shown in Fig. 1b, and the vertical green dotted line shows the expected central wavelength corresponding to the redshift measured from the SINFONI data by using the narrow [OIII] $\lambda 5007$ emission. The full-width at half maximum (FWHM) and centroid of the CO(3–2) line in LBQS0109, derived from a single Gaussian fit, are 400 ± 60 km s $^{-1}$ and $\lambda_{\text{obs}} = 2.9094 \pm 0.0004$ mm ($z_{\text{CO(3-2)}} = 2.3558 \pm 0.0005$). We note that both the FWHM and the redshift are consistent with the narrow [OIII] $\lambda 5007$ and H α components ($FWHM_{[\text{OIII}]}$ = 490 ± 90 km s $^{-1}$, $FWHM_{\text{H}\alpha}$ = 250 ± 200 km s $^{-1}$, $z_{[\text{OIII}]}$ = 2.3558 ± 0.0008 , and $z_{\text{H}\alpha}$ = 2.357 ± 0.002) tracing SF in the host galaxy (Carniani et al. 2016). The agreement strongly indicates that most of the CO emission is tracing molecular gas in the host galaxy.

Panel (c) of Fig. 1 shows the map integrated over the line emission in which the peak is detected at $\sim 7\sigma$ ($\sigma = 0.03$ Jy/beam km s $^{-1}$). The integrated flux, extracted from the region of the map with a level of confidence higher than 2σ , is $S_{\text{CO(3-2)}}\Delta v = 0.34 \pm 0.03$ Jy km s $^{-1}$, corresponding to a line luminosity of $L_{\text{CO(3-2)}} = (1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^7 L_{\odot}$ at $z = 2.35$. The CO line emission is spatially resolved with an estimated size of $(0.93 \pm 0.08)'' \times (0.82 \pm 0.07)''$, that is (7.7 ± 0.7) kpc \times (6.8 ± 0.6) kpc.

Although the CO(3–2) line emission is resolved by our ALMA observations, we cannot perform a detailed pixel-by-pixel kinematic analysis because of the low S/N of the data. However, we note that the CO line extracted in the southern region has a $FWHM = 280$ km s $^{-1}$ that is smaller than that measured in the nuclear region (see Table 1). This discrepancy will be discussed in more detail in Sect. 4.2.

4.1. Molecular and stellar mass estimates

Consistently with recent high-redshift ($z > 2$) observations of AGN host galaxies (Sharon et al. 2016), we assume an $r_{31} \equiv L'_{\text{CO(3-2)}}/L'_{\text{CO(1-0)}}$ ratio of, $r_{31} = 1.0 \pm 0.5$, yielding an estimated CO(1–0) line luminosity of, $L'_{\text{CO(1-0)}} = (1.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{10}$ K km s $^{-1}$ pc 2 for LBQS0109.

The conversion factor α_{CO} between CO(1-0) line luminosity and H $_2$ mass depends on the interstellar medium conditions. In general an $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 4 M_{\odot}/\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$ is assumed for main-sequence (MS) galaxies and an $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8 M_{\odot}/\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$ value is adopted for compact luminous systems, such as starburst galaxies, SMGs and QSOs (Downes & Solomon 1998; Carilli & Walter 2013; Bolatto et al. 2013). Since the molecular ISM conditions of our targets are still unknown, we estimate two limiting values for the molecular gas mass (M_{gas}), corresponding to the two α_{CO} choices mentioned above. The resulting M_{gas} values are listed in Table 2 and their associated statistical errors include both ALMA flux calibration and r_{31} uncertainties.

We now explore the consequences of the possibility that the host galaxy of LBQS0109 lies on the main sequence (MS) of star forming galaxies. Typical MS galaxies at $z \sim 2$ have




Fig. 2. *Left:* CO(3–2) spectra extracted from four regions (shown in *right panel*) placed at different positions with respect to the location of the QSO. The spectra have been rebinned to a channel size of 120 km s $^{-1}$. The CO line emission is faint or absent in the lower-right spectrum which was extracted from a region south-west of the QSO. *Right:* CO(3–2) map and contours at the same level of Fig. 1c. The black dotted squares correspond to the regions from where we extracted the four spectra shown in the left panel. The beam of the CO(3–2) map is shown in the bottom-right corner.

molecular gas fractions of $f_{\text{mol-gas}} = M_{\text{gas}}/(M_{\text{gas}} + M_{\star}) \simeq 0.44$ (Tacconi et al. 2010). For LBQS0109 and assuming $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 4 M_{\odot}/\text{km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$, this gas fraction would result in a stellar mass estimate of, $M_{\star} = 1.3 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. This M_{\star} value, combined with the BH mass of $M_{\text{BH}} = 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ inferred by Shemmer et al. (2004), yields for LBQS0109 $M_{\text{BH}}/M_{\star} \simeq 0.1$. This ratio is much larger than those observed in massive galaxies in the local Universe (Kormendy & Ho 2013). A similar M_{BH}/M_{\star} has been recently inferred by Trakhtenbrot et al. (2015) for an unobscured AGN at $z = 3.328$, CID947, where it is believed that the SMBH has grown more efficiently than the host galaxy. In LBQS0109 the star-formation activity may have been shut-off due to the negative-feedback exerted by the QSO, as we further argue below. It is also possible of course that the host galaxy of LBQS0109 is not on the $z \sim 2$ MS, in which case the above estimate of M_{BH}/M_{\star} would not be valid.

4.2. Morphology of the CO(3–2) emission

The CO(3–2) map of LBQS0109 shown in Fig. 1c exhibits a complex morphology: the molecular emission is not distributed symmetrically around the QSO. Figure 2 shows the CO(3–2) spectra extracted from four regions placed at different positions with respect to the location of the QSO. The CO(3–2) emission in the south-west region is almost absent, while the line spectra extracted from the other three regions have similar fluxes and profiles. We note that the synthesised beam is oriented from north-east to south-west. Thus, the signal visible towards the west and south relative to centre suggests that the molecular emission the molecular emission is either spatially unresolved or faint along the direction of the ALMA beam.

In the left panel of Fig. 3 we compare the distribution of CO(3–2) with the velocity map of the broad blue-shifted [OIII] $\lambda 5007$ component tracing the ionised outflow in the QSO host galaxy (Carniani et al. 2015b, 2016). The CO(3–2) emission is partially dislocated with respect to the regions where the outflow traced by [OIII] $\lambda 5007$ is fastest. In addition, the [OIII] $\lambda 5007$ channel map, obtained integrating the continuum subtracted SINFONI datacube on the blue wing, indicates that the ionised outflow is elongated from north-east to south-west (cyan contours in the middle panel) where the CO(3–2) emission is faint (or spatially unresolved). Finally, the right panel of Fig. 3




Fig. 3. LBQS0109: *from left to right*: [OIII] λ 5007 velocity map by Carniani et al. (2015b), ALMA continuum map at 3 mm, and narrow [OIII] emission tracing SF in the host galaxy (Carniani et al. 2016). White contours trace the flux map of CO(3–2) emission at the levels 3, 4 and 5 σ . The red cross indicates the centroid of the *H*-band continuum emission. The cyan contours in the *middle panel* shows the flux map of the blue [OIII] λ 5007 wings collapsing the SINFONI cube over the velocity range $-1750 < v < -1950$ km s $^{-1}$.

shows the surface brightness of the narrow [OIII] λ 5007 component tracing SF in the LBQS0109 host galaxy and the CO(3–2) flux map in white contours. We refer to Carniani et al. (2016) for further arguments supporting the identification of the narrow [OIII] λ 5007 emission with emission powered by star formation in the quasar host galaxy. It is interesting to note a similarity between the CO and the narrow [OIII] λ 5007 surface brightness distributions. Both emission lines are faint or absent along the direction of the ionised outflow, while they are clearly visible in the other regions. These results support a scenario in which fast outflows are cleaning up the galaxy of its molecular gas, hence quenching SF in the region where the outflow breaks in the host galaxy ISM.

In Sect. 4 we noted that the CO(3–2) profile extracted from an aperture placed south of the QSO (see Fig. 1) is narrower than that observed in the nuclear region. Such a discrepancy suggests that the motion of the gas in the external regions is less turbulent than in the QSO centre which is influenced by the nuclear fast winds. This residual gas fuels the SF in the region of the host galaxy is not affected by AGN-driven outflows.

The current ALMA CO(3–2) observations trace molecular gas only in region within 2 kpc from the centre, while the narrow [OIII] λ 5007 component is extended up to ~ 8 kpc. Unfortunately, higher sensitivity ALMA observations would be needed to compare the distribution of molecular gas and [OIII] λ 5007 emission in the external regions at a distance > 2 kpc from the QSO, and to verify whether the extended structures are consistent with the Schmidt-Kennicutt relation between SFR and gas density (Kennicutt 1998).

5. 2QZJ0028

Panel (a) of Fig. 4 shows in white contours the spatially unresolved continuum emission map of 2QZJ0028 at 3 mm, while the coloured background is the SINFONI continuum emission in the *H* band. The peak at 3 mm has a $S/N = 14$ and the integrated flux density of the source is 170 ± 12 μ Jy.

We cannot perform a radio-to-FIR SED fitting decomposition because we have only one photometric point. However, by assuming that the emission at 3 mm is mainly associated to dust thermal emission we can infer the dust mass as we did for LBQS0109 in Sect. 4. We thus estimate $M_{\text{dust}} = 6\text{--}9 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$.

We have performed a blind search in the ALMA continuum map around the QSO and we have detected a millimetre continuum source with a confidence level of 5σ and a flux density of

61 μ Jy at 3 mm. Such source is located at a distance of 118 kpc from the quasar (Appendix A).

At the redshift of 2QZJ0028 we do not detect any CO(3–2) emission line at a significance level higher than 3σ (panel (b) Fig. 4). By assuming a CO line width similar to that measured in LBQS0109 ($FWHM = 400$ km s $^{-1}$), we can estimate a 3σ upper limit on the CO(3–2) integrated line flux of 0.09 Jy km s $^{-1}$, which corresponds to an upper limit on the CO(3–2) line luminosity of $0.6 \times 10^7 L_{\odot}$. Following the same method as in Sect. 4.1, we derive two different 3σ upper limit estimates for the total molecular gas mass, based on different α_{CO} prescriptions: $M_{\text{CO}}(\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8) < 0.2 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ and $M_{\text{CO}}(\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 4) < 1.2 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$.

5.1. Possible association of offset CO(3–2) emission with a molecular outflow

The total spectrum extracted at the location of 2QZJ0028 from a beam-sized aperture shows an emission feature at a velocity of ~ -2000 km s $^{-1}$ relative to the expected CO(3–2) frequency based on the QSO redshift (Fig. 4b). Figure 4 (panel c) shows the map extracted from the spectral range centred at 2.9316 mm (~ -2000 km s $^{-1}$) and with a spectral width of 250 km s $^{-1}$. This map clearly shows an unresolved source whose peak is detected with $S/N = 5.2$ and is spatially offset by $\sim 0.2''$ (1.3 kpc) towards south-east relative to the QSO centre. Although we cannot completely rule out that this detection is spurious, we note that (as discussed in Appendix A) the number of positive peaks at $> 5\sigma$ is 1.5 times larger than the number of negative peaks, suggesting that one third of the positive peaks might represent real sources. Similarly, the emission feature at ~ 1000 km s $^{-1}$ should not be considered as real because in the integrated map the emission peak has a S/N of only 3.8; at that S/N the number of positive peaks is similar to that of negative ones, strengthening the idea that it is simply a noise fluctuation. In any case, deeper observations are needed to confirm the reliability of our CO detection at the velocity of ~ -2000 km s $^{-1}$.

Under the assumption that such detection is real and associated to the CO(3–2) transition, Table 3 summarises the properties of the line. Both the central velocity and the positional offset of the blue-shifted CO feature are consistent with the velocity (~ 2300 km s $^{-1}$) and location of the ionised outflow traced by the broad [OIII] λ 5007 emission. This is clearly shown in left panel of Fig. 5 where we compare the flux map of this tentative CO(3–2) component with the ionised gas velocity map obtained




Fig. 4. 2QZJ0028: *a*) white contours show the continuum emission from 2QZJ0028 at 3 mm with a beam of $0.64'' \times 0.53''$. Contours are at the level of 3, 5 and 7 times the noise per beam ($12 \mu\text{Jy}$). The color background image shows the continuum emission in H -band from SINFONI data of 2QZJ0028. *b*) ALMA spectrum extracted from an aperture as large as the beam size and rebinned to 60 km s^{-1} . The vertical dashed green line mark the expected positions for CO(3–2) emission line at the redshifted of the narrow $H\alpha$ component (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012); the line is not detected. An emission line is detected at a velocity of $\sim -2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with respect to the redshift of 2QZJ0028. *c*) CO(3–2) surface brightness. Black solid contours are at the levels of 2σ , 3σ , 4σ and 5σ , where σ is $0.03 \text{ Jy/beam km s}^{-1}$. The white contours show the continuum emission at 3 mm at the levels of 3, 6, and 9 times the sensitivity of the continuum map. The synthesised beam is shown in the bottom-left corner.

Table 3. Properties of the faint blueshifted CO detection in 2QZJ0028.

$\lambda_{\text{CO}(3-2)}$ [mm]	2.9316 ± 0.0004
$FWHM_{\text{CO}(3-2)}$ [km/s]	250 ± 90
$S_{\text{CO}(3-2)}\Delta v$ [Jy km s^{-1}]	0.12 ± 0.02
$L'_{\text{CO}(3-2)}$ [$10^{10} \text{ K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$]	0.37 ± 0.06
$L_{\text{CO}(3-2)}$ [$10^7 L_{\odot}$]	0.5 ± 0.1

from the SINFONI observations. Such a remarkable agreement strongly suggests that the blue-shifted CO(3–2) component detected by ALMA is real and that it traces a molecular outflow.

The middle panel of Fig. 5 shows the flux map of the CO(3–2) emission outlined over the ALMA continuum emission (background image). In this panel, we plot the surface brightness of the broad [OIII] λ 5007 emission line obtained by collapsing the SINFONI data-cube in a velocity range $-2500 < v < -2300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, where our spectro-astrometry technique has revealed the presence of an extended outflow (Carniani et al. 2015b). The CO blueshifted emission overlaps with the blueshifted [OIII] λ 5007 emission suggesting that we may be tracing an outflowing molecular component associated with the ionised outflow. The radius of the ionised outflow, estimated by using the spectroastrometry method, is $\sim 0.7 \text{ kpc}$, which is consistent, within astrometric error ($\sim 0.9 \text{ kpc}$), with the spatial offset ($\sim 1.6 \text{ kpc}$) measured between the line and continuum emission at 3 mm.

The non detection of CO emission at systemic velocity and the host galaxy position can be explained by the different excitation of the molecular gas in the star formation regions and in the outflow. For instance, from recent ALMA observations of a jet-driven molecular outflow, Dasyra et al. (2016) found that the CO(4–3) emission is more excited along the jet propagation axis than in the rest of the galaxy disk. If the same excitation ratio describes the case of 2QZJ0028 then the emission at the systemic velocity would not be detected with our observations. Blueshifted CO emission like our own without any counterpart at the systemic velocity has been detected in quasars by Banerji et al. (2017). On the other hand, the non detection of CO emission at the systemic velocity may also indicate that a large fraction of the molecular gas in the host galaxy is accelerated by the AGN-driven outflow and the sensitivity of the current ALMA observations is not sufficient to detect the residual quiescent gas, even assuming the same excitation ratio for the outflow and star-formation regions. Future deeper ALMA observations of higher and lower rotational CO transitions are fundamental to confirm or rule out the hypothesis that the blue-shifted emission is real and traces molecular outflows in 2QZJ0028.

Outflowing clumps have already been observed by Ciccone et al. (2015) in a QSO at $z \sim 6.4$ (SDSS J1148+5251). They find clumps of [CII] emission extended up to $\sim 30 \text{ kpc}$ from the nucleus and with velocities $> 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. In addition, the spectral fitting to the [CII] extended emission exhibits




Fig. 5. 2QZJ0028: from left to right: [OIII] λ 5007 velocity map by Carniani et al. (2015b), ALMA continuum map at 3 mm, and narrow H α emission tracing SF in the host galaxy (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012). White contours trace the flux map of CO(3–2) emission at the levels 3, 4 and 5 σ . The red cross indicates the centroid of the H-band continuum emission. The cyan contours in the middle panel shows the flux map of the blue [OIII] λ 5007 wings collapsing the SINFONI cube over the velocity range $-2500 < v < -2300$ km s $^{-1}$.

the presence of narrow ($\sigma_v \sim 100$ – 200 km s $^{-1}$) and fast ($v > 1000$ km s $^{-1}$) clumps similar to that observed in 2QZJ0028. In the local Universe, the presence of outflowing clumps of molecular gas has been revealed by CO(2–1) and CO(3–2) observations of Markarian 231 (Fig. 1 by Feruglio et al. 2015), a QSO host and ultra-luminous IR galaxy (ULIRG) in the local Universe, as well as in a few other nearby QSOs (e.g. Ciccone et al. 2014). In several cases, the CO line profiles show the presence of a blue and red wing composed by several “bumps” with different intensity and velocity. Such profiles may be generated by molecular outflows with a multi-clump morphology.

The sensitivity of our current ALMA observations is likely not sufficient to appreciate both the blue and red wings of the CO line as observed in local molecular outflows (e.g. Ciccone et al. 2012; Feruglio et al. 2010, 2015), but it allows us to marginally detect only the brightest knot of the clumpy molecular outflow. Since the velocity and the positional offset are consistent with those of the [OIII] λ 5007 outflow, we hypothesise that most of the blueshifted [OIII] λ 5007 emission is co-spatial with the molecular outflow clump. We also note that the CO emission is located in the region where the H α emission is missing (right panel of Fig. 5). Such an anti-correlation supports the notion that the AGN-driven outflow drives gas out of the galaxy and exhausts the fuel necessary to SF.

An alternative interpretation to the outflow scenario could be that the detected blue-shifted CO emission is associated with a merging companion galaxy. However, our SINFONI observations (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2015b) do not show any merging signature. Additional data are required to further dismiss or validate this possibility.

6. HB8903

Figure 6a shows the 3 mm continuum emission of HB8903, which is detected with a high S/N of approximately 300. The total 3 mm flux density of the QSO is 5.738 ± 0.018 mJy (including calibration uncertainties) that is, about 30 times higher than that measured in the two previous QSOs (see Table 2). From a 2D-Gaussian fitting in the UV-plane we estimate a beam-deconvolved size of about $0.1''$.

HB8903 has been identified as a radio-loud QSO (Shemmer et al. 2004) with a luminosity of $\log_{10}(L_{8.4}/\text{WHz}^{-1}) \simeq 27.7$ at 8.4 GHz (Healey et al. 2007). Therefore, the 3 mm flux is probably dominated by synchrotron emission which does not allow us an estimate of the far-infrared emission associated with the dust. Similarly to the analysis

performed in the previous sections, we estimate an upper limit on the dust mass of $M_{\text{dust}} = 2$ – $3 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, depending on dust temperature.

The CO(3–2) line is not detected at the location of the QSO as shown in the panels (b) and (c) of Fig. 6. To estimate a 3σ upper limit on the line flux, we assume a line width as large as that observed in LBQS0109 ($FWHM = 400$ km s $^{-1}$) yielding $S_{\text{CO}(3-2)}\Delta v < 0.08$ Jy km s $^{-1}$ (Table 2). We then infer an upper limit on the molecular gas mass of $M_{\text{gas}}(\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8) < 0.2 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ and $M_{\text{gas}}(\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 4) < 1.0 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ for the two different CO-to-H $_2$ conversion factor, respectively.

In the ALMA field of view of HB8903, we also detect two additional sources with likely molecular line emission: one located $4.9''$ (~ 40 kpc at $z = 2.44$) to the north-east of the QSO and the other $16.6''$ (~ 140 kpc at $z = 2.44$) to the south-west of the QSO. The properties of these galaxies are discussed in Appendix A. As already observed in LBQS0109, even the QSO HB8903 could be located in an overdensity at $z \simeq 2.4$ (see Sect. 8)

7. Lack of molecular gas

Figure 7 shows the best-fit relations by Sargent et al. (2014) between molecular gas mass and SFR for massive ($M_{\star} > 10^{10} M_{\odot}$) main-sequence galaxies and starburst at low and high redshift ($z < 4$). The SFRs of our three QSOs are estimated from the narrow H α emission (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016) assuming a Chabrier initial mass function (Chabrier 2003). Since the H α emissions are not corrected for reddening, the inferred SFRs are lower limits for both targets.

In the M_{gas} -SFR plane, all three sources are placed below the relation extrapolated for star-forming galaxies. In fact, a main-sequence star-forming galaxy with $SFR = 50 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ has a molecular gas mass of $M_{\text{gas}} = 4 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ that is similar to $M_{\text{gas}}(\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 4)$ of LBQS0109, but it is at least five times higher if we assume a conversion factor $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8$ (see Table 2). The inferred molecular gas masses are comparable with the expectation based on Sargent et al. (2014).

We can estimate the depletion timescale, which is defined as the rate at which the gas is converted into stars: $\tau_{\text{dep}} = M_{\text{gas}}/SFR$. Because the SFR from H α are lower limits, we infer an upper limit of $\tau_{\text{dep}} < 160$ – 800 Myr for LBQS0109, $\tau_{\text{dep}} < 30$ – 120 Myr for 2QZJ0028 and $\tau_{\text{dep}} < 20$ – 110 Myr for HB8903, depending on α_{CO} . The depletion timescales are similar to those observed in starburst and SMG galaxies (Yan et al. 2010; Bothwell et al. 2013) and reddened QSOs (Banerji et al. 2017) at $z \sim 2.5$, suggesting that the three host galaxies may




Fig. 6. HB8903: *a*) white contours show the continuum emission from HB8903 at 3 mm with a beam of $0.62'' \times 0.46''$ (the synthesised beam is shown in the bottom-left corner). Contours are at the level of 25, 50, 100 and 200 times the noise per beam ($18 \mu\text{Jy}$). The colour background image shows the continuum emission in H -band from SINFONI data of HB8903. *b*) ALMA spectrum extracted from an aperture as large as the beam size and rebinned to 120 km s^{-1} . The vertical dashed and solid green line mark the expected positions for CO(3–2) emission line at the redshifted of the narrow $H\alpha$ and [OIII] $\lambda 5007$ component, respectively (Carniani et al. 2016): the line is not detected. *c*) CO(3–2) map obtained by integrating the cube under the two vertical dotted line indicated in the *panel b* (i.e. $-200 \text{ km s}^{-1} < v < 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). Negative and positive contours are in steps of 1σ , which is $0.027 \text{ Jy/beam km s}^{-1}$. The synthesised beam is shown in the bottom-left corner.




Fig. 7. Inverse, integrated version of the Kennicutt-Schmidt relation between SFR and molecular mass gas. The solid black line is the best-fit relation for MS galaxies and the dashed shows the relation of the starburst galaxies (Sargent et al. 2014). Filled black circles corresponds to our three QSOs assuming a conversion factor $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8$ and open circles are derived supposing a $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 4$.

still be in a starburst phase and the star formation activity is not affected by AGN-driven outflows.

However the low molecular gas mass may also indicate that a fraction of the gas reservoir is expelled away from the galaxy by AGN-driven feedback, and, at the same time, the fast winds induce high pressure in the rest of the gas, triggering star formation in the region unaffected by AGN activity (Silk 2013). This scenario is similar to that observed in the QSO XID2028 at redshift $z \sim 1.5$, where the presence of ionised outflow has been observed through [OIII] $\lambda 5007$ emission (Brusa et al. 2015a; Perna et al. 2015; Cresci et al. 2015) and the small gas reservoir, respect to a MS star-forming galaxy with similar M_{\star} , is explained by negative-feedback (Brusa et al. 2015b). Also, Kakkad et al. (2017) have recently reported a lower gas fraction for a sample of AGN at $z \sim 1.5$ compared with a sample of galaxies without an AGN that is matched in redshift, stellar mass, and star-formation rate. In addition, Fiore et al. (2017) have found that the molecular gas depletion timescale and the molecular gas fraction of a sample of 15 galaxies hosting powerful AGN driven winds are between three and ten times smaller than those of main-sequence galaxies with similar star-formation rate, stellar mass and redshift. According to such negative-feedback scenario, the molecular gas should be removed in the host region with the high velocity outflow. Instead, the anti-correlation between CO emission and ionised outflow direction indicates that a fraction of the gas has been already expelled from the galaxy.

Deeper ALMA observations will confirm this scenario also in 2QZJ0028.

Although the three QSOs have similar properties, such as SFR, L_{AGN} , M_{BH} , the CO(3–2) at the systemic velocity of the host galaxies is visible only in one of the three targets. This discrepancy may be due to a different CO(3–2) excitation in the other two host galaxies. In 2QZJ0028 and HB8903 the CO(3–2) may be less excited than that in LBQS0109 and the current sensitivity is not sufficient to detect the emission line in the host galaxy. In addition the dense molecular clouds invested by AGN-driven wind develop Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities (Hopkins & Elvis 2010; Ferrara & Scannapieco 2016). These instabilities develop shocks responsible for higher gas excitation likely resulting into the strong CO(3–2) blueshifted emission that we observe in 2QZJ0028. A similar result is recently reported by Dasyra et al. (2016) and Morganti et al. (2015) who observed CO(4–3) and CO(2–1) emission in a local Seyfert galaxy, IC 5063. Most of the CO(4–3) emission has been detected in the outflow regions, while the CO(2–1) is mainly emitted in the host galaxy location. In the outflow regions the CO(4–3)/CO(2–1) flux ratio approaches 16 ($\times 3$ higher than that observed in the rest of the host galaxy). In this regard, we also note that CO observations in the distant Universe ($z > 1$) show that the CO spectral line energy distribution of normal star-forming galaxies are less excited than those of SMGs and QSOs. The ratio between mid- J and low- J CO transition measured in SMGs and QSOs is higher than that observed in normal galaxies by a factor > 1.5 (Carilli & Walter 2013; Gallerani et al. 2014; Mashian et al. 2015; Daddi et al. 2015).

8. Overdensity

ALMA observations have revealed the presence of six companion sources within a projected distance ~ 160 kpc from the quasars (Appendix A). In five out of the six sources we also detect a line emission that may be identified with CO(3–2) transition at similar redshifts of the QSOs. The CO(3–2) lines in these sources have luminosities of $0.6\text{--}23 \text{ K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$, resulting in a molecular masses of $0.5\text{--}90 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ that are even higher than those measured in the QSOs themselves. The molecular mass and the high SFR ($\sim 1000 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), inferred from the continuum emission is comparable to those observed in starbursts and submillimetre galaxies (SMGs). In one case, the line emission is even spatially resolved by the ALMA beam and the gradient of velocity indicates a dynamical mass of $M_{\text{dyn}} = 2 \times 10^{11} \sin^2(i)$, which is similar to those observed in high- z SMGs (e.g. Carniani et al. 2013).

Recent galaxy evolution models predict that the rate of galaxy mergers and interactions increases in the redshift range $1 < z < 3$, driving extreme starburst events and rapid accretion onto the massive black holes in the galaxy centre (Di Matteo et al. 2005; Sijacki et al. 2011; Valiante et al. 2011). These predictions have been supported by new extragalactic surveys at millimetre and submillimetre ranges having uncovered a population of dusty star-forming galaxies at high redshift. Silva et al. (2015) found an overdensity of submillimetre galaxies in 17 out of 49 QSOs at redshift $z \sim 2$. A similar scenario has been recently reported by Banerji et al. (2017) who detected two millimetre-bright galaxies within 200 kpc from a QSO at $z = 2.5$. An overdensity system is also observed in BR 1202-0725, which is mainly composed by a QSO and a submillimetre galaxy at $z \sim 4.7$ (Salomé et al. 2012; Wagg et al. 2012; Carilli et al. 2013; Carniani et al. 2013; Williams et al. 2014).

Overall, these results support the hypothesis that submillimetre galaxies and QSOs represent different stages of galaxy evolution after a merger (Carniani et al. 2013). The detections of massive companions sources in the ALMA field of view have been also observed in QSOs at higher redshifts ($z \sim 4.8\text{--}6$; Trakhtenbrot et al. 2017; Decarli et al. 2017) indicating that major mergers are important drivers for rapid early SMBH growth.

The detections of these serendipitous sources in our ALMA observations suggest that the three QSOs are located in an overdensity. Assuming that a SMBH of $10^{10} M_{\odot}$ is associated to a dark halo of mass of $10^{13} M_{\odot}$ (e.g. Ferrarese 2002), we estimate a virial radius of about 500 kpc for the three QSOs. This is larger than the projected distance between the QSOs and the serendipitous companions. We therefore conclude that the QSOs and the serendipitous sources may represent a complex merging system at redshift $z \sim 2.3\text{--}2.5$. Future millimetre observations at different wavelength bands will confirm the redshift of the serendipitous galaxies and their nature.

9. Summary

We have presented new ALMA 3mm observations aimed at mapping CO(3–2) in three $z \sim 2.4$ quasars, LBQS0109, 2QZJ0028, and HB8903, showing evidence for ionised outflows quenching star formation (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2015b, 2016). Below, we summarise the main results of this work:

- The ALMA observations reveal the presence of serendipitous galaxies, three of those are detected both in continuum (at 3 mm) and in line emission, within a projected distance of 160 kpc from the QSOs. Assuming the emission line detected in these galaxies can be identified with the CO(3–2) transition, we conclude that LBQS0109 and HB8903 reside in overdense systems, as often found for QSOs at similar and higher redshifts.
- The CO(3–2) emission at the systemic velocity of the QSO is detected in only one of the three targets, that is, LBQS0109. The CO profile has a velocity and line width consistent with the narrow [OIII] $\lambda 5007$ and H α components tracing SF in the host galaxy. In addition the CO emission is spatially resolved by the ALMA beam and is not symmetrically distributed around the location of the QSOs, but absent or faint in the outflow region. This is suggestive of a scenario in which the AGN-driven outflow is removing the ionised and molecular gas from the host galaxy.
- In 2QZJ0028 we tentatively detect a faint CO(3–2) emission blueshifted by 2000 km s^{-1} relative to the redshift of the host galaxy and spatially coincident with the ionised outflow emission. If confirmed by follow-up observations, this CO emission may be tracing a molecular cloud at high velocity that has been ejected away from the galaxy by AGN-driven outflows. Also, our analysis would suggest that the molecular gas in the outflow region is more highly excited than the rest of molecular gas in the host galaxy. An alternative interpretation to the outflow scenario could be that the detected CO emission is associated to a faint companion galaxy. Future deeper ALMA observations of CO(3–2) and higher (or lower) rotation transition will be fundamental in confirming the redshift of this detection and analyse the excitation state of the molecular gas. If the outflow scenario will be confirmed, the new ALMA observations will allow us to estimate the molecular outflow mass rate and compare this value with that estimated from ionised gas in the same QSO.

- Assuming a $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8 M_{\odot}/\text{km s}^{-1} \text{pc}^2$, the inferred molecular gas mass in both host galaxies is clearly below what observed in MS galaxies with similar SFR and consistent with those observed in other high- z QSO and SMGs.

We conclude that AGN-driven outflows in our sample are removing ionised and molecular gas from the host galaxy and quenching the star formation. The interaction of the fast winds with the LBQS0109 host galaxy is clearly visible in the outflow region, where the CO emission is faint. This result supports our previous studies of these QSOs showing H α emission quenched in the outflow region.

Acknowledgements. This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2013.0.00965.S; which can be retrieved from the ALMA data archive: <https://almascience.eso.org/alma-data/archive>. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada) and NSC and ASIAA (Taiwan), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ. S.C. and R.M. acknowledge financial support from the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC). R.M. acknowledges ERC Advanced Grant 695671 “QUENCH”. M.B. acknowledges support from the FP7 Career Integration Grant “eEASy”: Supermassive black holes through cosmic time: from current surveys to eROSITA-Euclid Synergies (CIG 321913). C.C. acknowledges funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 664931. R.S. acknowledges support from the European Research Council under the European Union (FP/2007-2013)/ERC Grant Agreement No. 306476. C.F. acknowledges funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 664931.

References

- Alexander, D. M., & Hickox, R. C. 2012, *New Astron. Rev.*, **56**, 93
 Banerji, M., Carilli, C. L., Jones, G., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **465**, 4390
 Beelen, A., Cox, P., Benford, D. J., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, **642**, 694
 Bolatto, A. D., Wolfire, M., & Leroy, A. K. 2013, *ARA&A*, **51**, 207
 Bothwell, M. S., Smail, I., Chapman, S. C., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, **429**, 3047
 Brusa, M., Bongiorno, A., Cresci, G., et al. 2015a, *MNRAS*, **446**, 2394
 Brusa, M., Feruglio, C., Cresci, G., et al. 2015b, *A&A*, **578**, A11
 Cai, Z.-Y., Lapi, A., Xia, J.-Q., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **768**, 21
 Cano-Díaz, M., Maiolino, R., Marconi, A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **537**, L8
 Carilli, C. L., & Walter, F. 2013, *ARA&A*, **51**, 105
 Carilli, C. L., Riechers, D., Walter, F., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **763**, 120
 Carniani, S., Marconi, A., Biggs, A., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **559**, A29
 Carniani, S., Maiolino, R., De Zotti, G., et al. 2015a, *A&A*, **584**, A78
 Carniani, S., Marconi, A., Maiolino, R., et al. 2015b, *A&A*, **580**, A102
 Carniani, S., Marconi, A., Maiolino, R., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **591**, A28
 Chabrier, G. 2003, *PASP*, **115**, 763
 Cicone, C., Feruglio, C., Maiolino, R., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **543**, A99
 Cicone, C., Maiolino, R., Sturm, E., et al. 2014, *A&A*, **562**, A21
 Cicone, C., Maiolino, R., Gallerani, S., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **574**, A14
 Cresci, G., Mainieri, V., Brusa, M., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **799**, 82
 Daddi, E., Dannerbauer, H., Liu, D., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **577**, A46
 Dasyra, K. M., Combes, F., Oosterloo, T., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **595**, L7
 Decarli, R., Walter, F., Venemans, B. P., et al. 2017, *Nature*, **545**, 457
 Di Matteo, T., Springel, V., & Hernquist, L. 2005, *Nature*, **433**, 604
 Downes, D., & Solomon, P. M. 1998, *ApJ*, **507**, 615
 Fabian, A. C. 2012, *ARA&A*, **50**, 455
 Ferrara, A., & Scannapieco, E. 2016, *ApJ*, **833**, 46
 Ferrarese, L. 2002, *ApJ*, **578**, 90
 Feruglio, C., Maiolino, R., Piconcelli, E., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **518**, L155
 Feruglio, C., Fiore, F., Carniani, S., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **583**, A99
 Fiore, F., Feruglio, C., Shankar, F., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **601**, A143
 Gallerani, S., Ferrara, A., Neri, R., & Maiolino, R. 2014, *MNRAS*, **445**, 2848
 Healey, S. E., Romani, R. W., Taylor, G. B., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, **171**, 61
 Hooper, E. J., Impey, C. D., Foltz, C. B., & Hewett, P. C. 1995, *ApJ*, **445**, 62
 Hopkins, P. F., & Elvis, M. 2010, *MNRAS*, **401**, 7
 Hopkins, P. F., Hernquist, L., Cox, T. J., & Kereš, D. 2008, *ApJS*, **175**, 356
 Kakkad, D., Mainieri, V., Brusa, M., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **468**, 4205
 Kennicutt, Jr., R. C. 1998, *ApJ*, **498**, 541
 Klaas, U., Haas, M., Müller, S. A. H., et al. 2001, *A&A*, **379**, 823
 Kormendy, J., & Ho, L. C. 2013, *ARA&A*, **51**, 511
 Maiolino, R., Gallerani, S., Neri, R., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, **425**, L66
 Marconi, A., Risaliti, G., Gilli, R., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, **351**, 169
 Mashian, N., Sturm, E., Sternberg, A., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **802**, 81
 Matsushita, S., Iono, D., Petitpas, G. R., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **693**, 56
 McMullin, J. P., Waters, B., Schiebel, D., Young, W., & Golap, K. 2007, in *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVI*, eds. R. A. Shaw, F. Hill, & D. J. Bell, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, **376**, 127
 Morganti, R., Oosterloo, T., Onk, J. B. R., Frieswijk, W., & Tadhunter, C. 2015, *A&A*, **580**, A1
 Netzer, H., Shemmer, O., Maiolino, R., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, **614**, 558
 Palau, A., Sánchez Contreras, C., Sahai, R., Sánchez-Monge, Á., & Rizzo, J. R. 2013, *MNRAS*, **428**, 1537
 Perna, M., Brusa, M., Cresci, G., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **574**, A82
 Planck Collaboration XVI. 2014, *A&A*, **571**, A16
 Salomé, P., Guélin, M., Downes, D., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **545**, A57
 Sargent, M. T., Daddi, E., Béthermin, M., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **793**, 19
 Sharon, C. E., Riechers, D. A., Hodge, J., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **827**, 18
 Shemmer, O., Netzer, H., Maiolino, R., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, **614**, 547
 Sijacki, D., Springel, V., & Haehnelt, M. G. 2011, *MNRAS*, **414**, 3656
 Silk, J. 2013, *ApJ*, **772**, 112
 Silva, A., Sajina, A., Lonsdale, C., & Lacy, M. 2015, *ApJ*, **806**, L25
 Soifer, B. T., Neugebauer, G., Matthews, K., et al. 1999, *ApJ*, **513**, 207
 Tacconi, L. J., Genzel, R., Neri, R., et al. 2010, *Nature*, **463**, 781
 Takeuchi, T. T., Kawabe, R., Kohno, K., et al. 2001, *PASP*, **113**, 586
 Trakhtenbrot, B., Urry, C. M., Civano, F., et al. 2015, *Science*, **349**, 168
 Trakhtenbrot, B., Lira, P., Netzer, H., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **836**, 8
 Valiante, R., Schneider, R., Salvadori, S., & Bianchi, S. 2011, *MNRAS*, **416**, 1916
 Wagg, J., Wiklind, T., Carilli, C. L., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **752**, L30
 Williams, R. J., Wagg, J., Maiolino, R., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, **439**, 2096
 Williams, R. J., Maiolino, R., Krongold, Y., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **467**, 3399
 Yan, L., Tacconi, L. J., Fiolet, N., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **714**, 100
 Yun, M. S., & Carilli, C. L. 2002, *ApJ*, **568**, 88

Appendix A: Overdense systems

The ALMA observations serendipitously reveal line and continuum sources in the field of LBQS0109 and HB8903 quasars, (Figs. A.1 and A.2), located within a projected radius of ~ 160 kpc from the centre of the two QSO. From the continuum emission we infer a $SFR > 900 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for all sources and a $M_{\text{dust}} = 1\text{--}6 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$. In Table A.1 we list the properties of these detections and, hereafter, we use the terms LBQS0109-A, -B, -C, and HB8903-A, -B to refer to the serendipitous sources around LBQS0109 and HB8903, respectively. If the emission line are identified with CO(3–2) transition, the serendipitous sources are in a redshift range of $\Delta z = 0.005$ ($|\Delta v| < 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) relative to the respective QSOs. We estimate a CO(3–2) luminosity of $0.6\text{--}23 \times 10^{10} \text{ K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$ for these sources and a molecular gas mass of $0.5\text{--}90 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, depending of α_{CO} (Table A.1), which is higher than molecular gas masses inferred for the three QSOs. The SFR and M_{gas} of these serendipitous sources are consistent with those observed in SMGs (Fig. A.3). Assuming a dark halo

mass of $10^{13} M_{\odot}$, which is reasonable for a galaxy hosting a massive BH of mass $10^{10} M_{\odot}$, we note that the serendipitous galaxies are within the virial radius (~ 500 kpc) of the central QSO. Both LBQS0109 and HB8903 could represent an overdense system at $z \approx 2.4$.

From a 2D Gaussian fitting we estimate the size of the CO(3–2) emission in all serendipitous galaxies and two out of five sources turn out to be spatially resolved (LBQS0109-A and LBQS0109-B). However, because the S/N-per-pixel in the LBQS0109-B is too low (< 10), we perform a pixel-by-pixel kinematic analysis only on LBQS0109-A. The results of the kinematic analysis are reported in Fig. A.4 that shows a clear north-south velocity gradient of $\sim 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ over $\sim 1''$ (8.3 kpc). If it were due to simple rotation, this would imply a dynamical mass without inclination angle i correction of $2 \times 10^{11} \sin^2(i) M_{\odot}$.

We do not detect any significant ($S/N > 5$) line emission in the ALMA field-of-view of 2QZJ0028 except the line emission candidate spatially offset by $0.2''$ discussed in Sect. 5.1. We




Fig. A.1. CO(3–2) maps (*top panels*) of serendipitous sources detected in the LBQS0109 field of view. The maps are obtained collapsing under golden shade region shown in their respective spectra (*bottom panels*). The black contours are at levels of -2 , 2 , 3 , 4 , and 5 times the noise per beam in the same map (i.e. 0.06 , 0.05 , and $0.05 \text{ Jy/beam km s}^{-1}$, respectively for the three sources). The ALMA beam is shown in the bottom-right corner of each map. The white contours indicate the continuum emission at levels of $2(-2)\sigma$, $3(-3)\sigma$, and 4σ . The spectra in the *bottom panels* are extracted from the centre of the sources. The 0 km s^{-1} corresponds into the redshift of LBQS0109.




Fig. A.2. CO(3–2) maps (*top panels*) of serendipitous sources detected in the HB8903 field of view. The maps are obtained collapsing under golden shade region shown in their respective spectra (*bottom panels*). Black contours are in steps of $1\sigma = 0.03 \text{ Jy/beam km s}^{-1}$ for the source A and $1\sigma = 0.04 \text{ Jy/beam km s}^{-1}$ for the source B, starting at $\pm 2\sigma$. The ALMA beam is shown in the bottom-right corner of each map. The white solid (dashed) contours indicate the continuum emission at levels of $2(-2)\sigma$ and $3(-3)\sigma$ times the noise per beam ($18 \mu\text{Jy/beam}$). The spectra in the *bottom panels* are extracted from the centre of the sources. The 0 km s^{-1} corresponds into the redshift of HB8903.

Table A.1. Properties of serendipitous sources.

	LBQS0109-A	LBQS0109-B	LBQS0109-C	HB8903-A	HB8903-B	2QZJ0028-A
RA	01:12:17.08	01:12:17.49	01:12:17.23	3:31:06.77	3:31:06.09	00:28:31.812
Dec	2:29:32.99	2:29:52.26	2:29:63.64	-38:24:02.79	-38:24:20.95	-28.16.51.616
$S_{3\text{ mm}}$ [μJy]	115 ± 12	52 ± 12	48 ± 12	36 ± 18	54 ± 18	61 ± 12
M_{dust} [$10^8 M_{\odot}$] ^a	4–6	1–3	1–3	1–2	2–3	2–3
SFR [$M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$] ^a	2800	1200	1100	900	1400	1500
$\lambda_{\text{CO}(3-2)}$ [mm]	2.90642 ± 0.00008	2.9112 ± 0.0003	2.9045 ± 0.0003	2.9779 ± 0.0006	2.9843 ± 0.0005	–
$FWHM_{\text{CO}(3-2)}$ [km s^{-1}]	190 ± 20	590 ± 70	300 ± 20	400 ± 100	400 ± 100	–
$S_{\text{CO}(3-2) \Delta v}$ [Jy km s^{-1}]	1.72 ± 0.06	7.16 ± 0.05	0.19 ± 0.05	0.27 ± 0.03	0.32 ± 0.04	–
$L'_{\text{CO}(3-2)}$ [$10^{10} \text{ K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$]	5.2 ± 0.2	22.9 ± 0.2	0.58 ± 0.15	0.88 ± 0.09	1.04 ± 0.13	–
$L_{\text{CO}(3-2)}$ [$10^7 L_{\odot}$]	6.9 ± 0.2	29.1 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.2	1.26 ± 0.13	1.38 ± 0.17	–
$M_{\text{CO}}(\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8)$ [$10^{10} M_{\odot}$] ^b	4 ± 2	18 ± 9	0.5 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.5	–
$M_{\text{CO}}(\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 4)$ [$10^{10} M_{\odot}$] ^b	21 ± 11	90 ± 50	2.3 ± 1.7	3 ± 2	4 ± 2	–

Notes. ^(a) Under the assumption that the continuum emission at 3 mm is completely associated to thermal dust continuum emission. We assume a $T_d = 40\text{--}60$ K and a $\beta = 2.0$. ^(b) Under the assumption that the line detection is associated to the CO(3–2) transition and assuming a $r_{31} = 1.0 \pm 0.5$. The statistical errors associated to the molecular gas include r_{31} uncertainties.



Fig. A.3. Inverse, integrated version of the Kennicutt-Schmidt relation between SFR and molecular mass gas. The solid black line is the best-fit relation for MS galaxies and the dashed shows the relation of the starburst galaxies (Sargent et al. 2014). Circles and triangles correspond to the three QSOs and serendipitous sources, respectively.




Fig. A.4. CO(3–2) flux, median velocity, and velocity dispersion maps of LBQS0109-A. The maps are obtained by selecting pixel with $S/N > 3$. The velocity map shows a gradient of velocity towards the north-south direction.




Fig. A.5. Continuum map at 3 mm of the serendipitous source detected in the field of 2QZJ0028. Contours are at of $-2, 2, 3, 4, 5$ times of the continuum rms ($12 \mu\text{Jy}/\text{beam}$). The ALMA beam is shown in the bottom-right corner of each map.

observe a continuum emission with a $S/N = 5$ located at $\sim 14''$ from the QSO. The continuum emission (Fig. A.5) is not spatially resolved and its flux density at 3 mm is $61 \pm 12 \mu\text{Jy}$.

The comparison of our ALMA detections with number counts studies at similar wavelengths indicates that all QSOs reside in a significant over-density. In fact, theoretical results obtained by simulations and semi-analytical models predict a number counts at 3 mm of $N(S > 100 \mu\text{Jy}) \approx 100 \text{ deg}^{-2}$ (Takeuchi et al. 2001; Cai et al. 2013), yielding to a $N(S > 100 \mu\text{Jy}) \approx 0.02 \text{ FOV}_{\text{ALMA}}^{-1}$ where FOV_{ALMA} is the field-of-view

area of our ALMA maps (~ 2500 arcsec²). Similar number counts values are also observed in recent ALMA studies at 1.3 mm (e.g. Carniani et al. 2015a). The number of serendipitous sources detected in our ALMA maps is larger than that expected by simulation and millimetre surveys, hence supporting that the three QSOs are located in an overdense region.

Appendix B: Reliability of the CO(3–2) line detection in 2QZJ0028

In Sect. 5.1 we show the detection of a faint line emission spatially offset by $0.2''$ from the centroid of continuum emission at 3 mm and blueshifted of ~ -2000 km s⁻¹ relative to the redshift of 2QZJ0028. In this section we discuss more in detail the significance of this candidate line emission.

We checked whether negative sources are detected with the same significance or not. We performed a blind search for positive and negative line emitters within the ALMA primary beam area and within a velocity range $|v| < 2000$ km s⁻¹ relative to the redshift of QSO. We searched for line emitters with line width ranging from 200 km s⁻¹ to 500 km s⁻¹. We extracted 16 positive and 10 negative emission lines with a level of confidence higher than 5σ . The number of positive peaks at $S/N > 5$ is 50% higher than the number of negative peaks, hence it indicates that few of these detections could be not noise fluctuations. In Fig. B.1 is shown




Fig. B.1. ALMA spectrum extracted from the emission peak of the line detection, spatially offset by $0.2''$ relative to the location of 2QZJ0028. The spectrum is rebinned to 60 km s⁻¹.

the spectrum of the blueshifted line extracted at the location of the emission peak. Focusing on the spectrum, we note that there are no negative peaks with a level of confidence above 3σ suggesting that the positive blueshifted line, which is detected with a $S/N > 4$, is not due to noise fluctuations. These tests and the coincidence that both velocity and location of the line emitted are consistent with the ionised outflow support the reliability of this detection.