

Ostrogoths vs. Franks: Imagining the Past in the Middle Ages

by Fabrizio Oppedisano

This introductory essay aims at highlighting some aspects concerning the connections between the Ostrogoths and Franks in the Middle Ages. To this end, cases from different contexts and chronologies have been examined: firstly, Giovanni Villani's chronicle, which conveys a polarized image of the Gothic and Carolingian worlds; and then some testimonies from the ninth century, that use the Ostrogothic model in connection with the present in a more complex and ambivalent manner. The various interpretations of the Gothic world are linked by a tendency to emphasize historical analogies, that leads to an overall and protracted disinterest in the specific forms of Ostrogothic society and in the work that most documents it, i.e. Cassiodorus' *Variae*.

Middle Ages; Communal Age; Carolingian Age; Florence; Giovanni Villani; Walahfrid Strabo; Cassiodorus; Franks; Ostrogoths; Political Use of History.

Fabrizio Oppedisano, Scuola Normale of Pisa, Italy, fabrizio.oppedisano@sns.it, 0000-0002-4259-6282

Referee List (DOI 10.36253/fup_referee_list)

FUP Best Practice in Scholarly Publishing (DOI 10.36253/fup_best_practice)

Fabrizio Oppedisano, *Ostrogoths vs. Franks: Imagining the Past in the Middle Ages*, © Author(s), CC BY 4.0, DOI 10.36253/978-88-5518-664-3.04, in Fabrizio Oppedisano (edited by), *Between Ostrogothic and Carolingian Italy. Survivals, revivals, ruptures*, pp. 1-18, 2022, published by Firenze University Press, ISBN 978-88-5518-664-3 (PDF), DOI 10.36253/978-88-5518-664-3

Abbreviations

CCCM = Corpus Christianorum, Continuatio Mediaevalis.

FSI = Fonti per la storia d'Italia.

MGH, Epp. 4 = *Epistolae Karolini aevi II*, ed. E. Duemmler, Berlin 1895 (Epistolae [in Quart], 4).

MGH, Fontes iuris 7 = Marsilius of Padua, *Defensor pacis*, ed. R. Scholz, Hannover 1933 (Fontes iuris Germanici antiqui in usum scholarum separatim editi, 7).

MGH, SS 6 = *Chronica et annales aevi Salici*, ed. G.H. Pertz, Hannover 1844 (Scriptores [in Folio], 6).

MGH, SS 10 = *Annales et chronica aevi Salici. Vitae aevi Carolini et Saxonici*, ed. G.H. Pertz, Hannover 1852 (Scriptores [in Folio], 10).

MGH, SS 22 = *Historici Germaniae saec. XII.2*, ed. G.H. Pertz, Hannover 1872 (Scriptores [in Folio], 22).

MGH, SS 39 = Bartholomew of Lucca, *Historia Ecclesiastica nova*, ed. O. Clavuot, Hannover 2009 (Scriptores [in Folio], 39).

MGH, SS rer. Merov. 2 = *Fredegarii et aliorum Chronica. Vitae sanctorum*, ed. B. Krusch, Hannover 1888 (Scriptores rerum Merovingicarum, 2).

PChBE II = *Prosopographie chrétienne du Bas-Empire*, vol. 2, *Prosopographie de l'Italie chrétienne (313-604)*, ed. C. Pietri – L. Pietri, Roma 2000.

1. *Goths and Franks in the Chronicle of Giovanni Villani*

In Giovanni Villani's *Nuova Cronica*, Totila is the protagonist of one of the darkest moments in the history of Florence: besieged and taken by deceit, the city suffers looting and devastation; its bishop is beheaded and many of its inhabitants are slaughtered as they attempt to flee¹. The reader is not surprised by such cruelty. From the very first pages of the work, in fact, a series of anticipations allowed him to recognise in Totila the prototype of barbarism, whose destructive fury had caused immense catastrophes in important cities (Florence, Perugia, Arezzo)² and, above all, the loss of a collective memory that the author of the chronicle now proposes to remedy:

con ciò sia cosa che per gli nostri antichi Fiorentini poche e nonn-ordinate memorie si truovino di fatti passati della nostra città di Firenze, o per difetto della loro negligenza, o per cagione che al tempo che Totile Flagellum Dei la distrusse si perdessono scritte, io Giovanni cittadino di Firenze, considerando la nobiltà e grandezza della nostra città a' nostri presenti tempi, mi pare si convegna di raccontare e fare memoria dell'origine e cominciamento di così famosa città, e delle mutazioni averse e filici, e fatti passati di quella³.

The presence, in the proem of the work, of the name Totila next to that of Villani contributes to emphasizing the role and identity of the chronicler by opposition; on the other hand, it reinforces the exemplary value of the figure of the Gothic king, defined – here and in many other passages of the work – with the terrible epithet *Flagellum Dei*, which suggests a true hy-

¹ Villani, *Nuova Cronica*, III, 1.

² *Ibidem*, I, 36 (Firenze): «Questo (il «parlatorio» del tempo di Cesare) fu poi guasto al tempo di Totile»; II, 1 (Firenze): «Del compreso e giro della città non troviamo cronica che ne faccia menzione; se non che quando Totile Flagellum Dei la distrusse, fanno le storie menzione ch'ella era grandissima»; II, 9 (Perugia): «poi Totile Flagellum Dei la distrusse, come fece Firenze e più altre città d'Italia, e fece marterizzare santo Erculano vescovo della detta città»; II, 10 (Arezzo): «la detta città d'Aurelia fu anche distrutta per lo detto Totile, e fecela arare e seminare di sale, e d'allora innanzi fu chiamata Arezzo, cioè città arata».

³ *Ibidem*, I, 1: «for our ancient Florentines, there are few and unordered records of past events in our city of Florence, either because of their negligence or because of the fact that at the time Totile Flagellum Dei destroyed it, records were lost, I, Giovanni, citizen of Florence, considering the nobility and greatness of our city in our present times, feel it is appropriate to recount and record the origin and beginning of this famous city, and the changes that have taken place and the past events of that city».

bridization with the Hun Attila⁴. Confusion between the two names occurs quite frequently in the literature of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries⁵, as they also do in the Florentine chronicles: in a section of Martin of Opava's work entirely dedicated to Pope Leo, Totila replaces Attila; before that, in the *Chronica de origine civitatis Florentiae*, Totila is evoked in the context of the Gothic war, but with the epithet *Flagellum Dei*⁶. In Villani such overlaps take on greater proportions: Totila, whose real name was Bela, was known for his savagery, of which his fratricide was the most abominable testimony. His actions – apart from distortions and hagiographic inserts taken out of context – are placed in the mid-fifth century: they partly relate to the Hunnic invasion of 452 (the battle of the Catalaunian Plains; the entry into Italy and the siege of Aquileia; the invasion of the north-eastern regions and cities of the Peninsula), they partly refer to the movements of the Goths during the war against Justinian (the alleged destruction of Florence, dated here to 28 June 450, with the rebuilding of Fiesole; the expedition towards Rome, with the destruction of many cities and the killing of many bishops, including Herculanius of Perugia). About the end of Totila's raids, says Villani, two different versions circulated: according to some, he had died suddenly in Maremma; according to others, it was the prayers of the Pope, Leo, that had freed Italy from his infesting presence («alcuno altro dottore scrisse che 'l detto Totile per li prieghi a Dio di santo Leo papa che allora regnava si partì d'Italia, e cessò la sua pestilenza»)⁷.

Those who were acquainted with Paul the Deacon noted the inconsistency of these reconstructions: Bartholomew of Lucca points out the error of Martin of Opava: «istum autem regem, quem Martinus vocat Totilam, Casinensis, qui eandem historiam refert, Attilam appellat»⁸. Giovanni Boccaccio, in his *Esposizioni sopra la Commedia di Dante*, observes, more generally, how the chronicles handed down a tale tainted by anachronisms: «Sono oltre a questo molti che chiamano questo Attila Totila, i quali non dicono bene, perciocché Attila fu al tempo di Marziano imperadore, il quale fu promosso all'imperio di Roma, secondoché scrive Paolo predetto, intorno dell'anno di Cristo 440, e Totila, il quale fu suo successore, fu a' tempi di Giustino imperadore, intorno agli anni di Cristo 529»⁹. Even when one grasps these inconsistencies, however, one struggles to make distinctions in the barbarian amalgam traditionally associated with these names: thus, for Boccaccio, Totila is nevertheless a successor of Attila, in the idea that Huns and Goths of the Amal dynasty should be placed in the same chronological context. This was a deep-rooted

⁴ On the attribution of this epithet to Attila and its frequency in medieval sources, see e.g. Bertini, *Attila in the chronicles*.

⁵ Maissen, *Attila, Totila e Carlo Magno*, pp. 575-576; see Riccardo Chellini's commentary on his edition of the *Chronica de origine civitatis*, p. 84.

⁶ *Chronica de origine civitatis Florentiae*, 10; Martin of Opava, *Chronicon*, p. 418.

⁷ Villani, *Nuova Cronica*, III, 1-6.

⁸ Bartholomew of Lucca, *Historia ecclesiastica nova*, VIII, 4.

⁹ Boccaccio, *Esposizioni sopra la Commedia*, pp. 587-589 (*ad Inf.* XII, 134).

idea in Italy, and in medieval culture in general. In a passage of Frutolf of Michelsberg's chronicle (who, for the history of the Goths, relies on Jordanes and Paul the Deacon)¹⁰, the author stated that the error of associating Theoderic, Attila and Ermanaric was common to tales handed down in unwritten form («vulgaris fabulatio et cantilenarum modulatio») and to chronicles¹¹. Among these was perhaps the *Chronicon Gozecense* (although the chronological relationship with Frutolf's chronicle is uncertain), in which Theoderic, the founder of Verona, is attributed the title of *rex Hunnorum*¹², according to information obtained precisely in Italy («ut ab indigenis accepimus»: it is not entirely clear whether reference is made only to the news of the foundation of the city, or, more likely, also to those of the relationship between Theoderic and the Huns).

In Villani, the tendency to confuse the names of the kings and peoples who entered Italy becomes more pronounced, leading to an almost total dissolution of the historical background to which these protagonists belonged: in the *Cronica* their actions are inserted into a single great barbarian horizon, capable of exerting such an attractive force as to encompass figures who were completely foreign to it. When the narrative shifts from Totila to his supposed successor, Theoderic (the Visigoth Theoderic II), the figure of the Eastern Roman emperor Leo I, who takes on the features of the eighth-century *basileus* Leo III, bursts into the story. Together with the Gothic king, he invades Italy, enters Rome and contaminates it with his iconoclastic fury:

Il sopradetto Teodorigo che passò in Italia prese Roma, e tutta Toscana, e Italia, e allegossi con Leone imperadore di Gostantinopoli eretico ariano; il quale Leone passò in Italia e venne a Roma e trasse di Roma tutte le "magini de" Cristiani e arsele in Gostantinopoli, a dispetto del papa e della Chiesa. E quello Leone imperadore e Teodorico re de' Gotti guastaro e consumaro tutta Italia, e le chiese de' fedeli fecero tutte abattere, e lo stato de' Romani e dello 'mperio molto infieboliro¹³.

If in the immediately preceding pages, dedicated to the years of Alaric and Radagaisus, Villani had adhered fairly faithfully to the contents of Orosius'

¹⁰ The reception of Jordanes is made explicit by the author: *Ekkehardi chronicon universale*, p. 130, ll. 31-33. The influence of Paul the Deacon can be deduced from some passages (concerning Totila, for instance, in ll. 12-17 he takes up almost literally *Historia Romana*, XVI, 22, which in turn derives from the life of Vigilius of the *Liber Pontificalis*). On Frutolf and the continuators of his chronicle, see McCarthy, *The Continuations of Frutolf Michelsberg's Chronicle*.

¹¹ *Ekkehardi chronicon universale*, p. 130, ll. 31-61. In the case of Theoderic, it may be the homonymy with the Visigothic king that favours the association with the Huns. Similarly, as we shall see, a tradition confuses Emperor Leo I with Emperor Leo III in Villani: see the text corresponding to footnote 13.

¹² *Chronicon Gozecense*, I, 23.

¹³ Villani, *Nuova Cronica*, I, 5: «The aforesaid Theoderic, who passed into Italy, took Rome, and all of Tuscany, and Italy, and allied himself with Leo the Emperor of Costantinople, an Arian heretic; this Leo passed into Italy and came to Rome and removed from Rome all the images of the Christians and burned them in Costantinople, in defiance of the Pope and the Church. And that Leo emperor and Theoderic king of the Goths spoiled and consumed all of Italy, and the churches of the faithful were all destroyed, and the state of the Romans and the empire was greatly weakened».

work¹⁴ (with the addition of a hagiographic part linked to the figure of Zenobius¹⁵), in this section one struggles to find connections with late antique or early medieval sources. At the end of paragraph six, the author advises those who wish to enrich their knowledge of those facts to look for a work to which he refers with a brief quotation: «chi vorrà più stesamente sapere le battaglie e le geste de' Gotti cerchi i libro che comincia "Gottorom antichissimi etc."». This book («oggi non identificabile», according to Franca Ragone¹⁶) is probably the treatise on the Goths by Isidore of Seville¹⁷. The incipit of this work changes from one redaction to another: «Gothorum antiquissimum esse regnum certum est» is the form attested in the *recensio brevior*; «Gothorum antiquissimam esse gentem certum est», that of the *recensio prolixior*; «Gothorum antiquissima origo de Magog» is what we read in the *recapitulatio*¹⁸. The quotation of the first two words of this sentence in the form «Gottorom antichissimi» leaves room for some considerations. The quotation of the adjective both in the vernacular and the noun form seems to be caused by the extrapolation from the original sentence, which, moreover, was not fixed in the tradition¹⁹. Villani probably did not have Isidore's work at hand, since the latter conveys a positive image of the Goths without the anachronisms and overlaps that distinguish the chronicler's narration²⁰. He cites it because he knew of its existence and of the themes with which it dealt (perhaps he had heard of it, or had found it cited in a catalogue, in a list, in a repertory, such as the entry on the Goths in the *Liber Glossarum*²¹), without however having

¹⁴ Orosius, *Historiae*, esp. VII, 37. Villani's use of Orosius' work is extensive; it is probable that he read the *Historiae adversus paganos* in Latin (Ragone, *Giovanni Villani e i suoi continuatori*), even if Bono Giamboni's translation in vernacular was already circulating (see recently Faini, "Uno nuovo stato di felicitade"; Faini, *Vegezio e Orosio*; see now Zabbia, *I cronisti fiorentini e la scelta del volgare*); Fubini, *Osservazioni*, p. 412, recognizes in the impact of this source on Villani one of the aspects from which Leonardo Bruni's work is distinguished.

¹⁵ In Paulinus, *Vita Ambrosii*, 50, 1, the bishop of Florence Zenobius is mentioned (approx. 412/413; PChBE, II, 2, p. 2378, *Zenobius 2*).

¹⁶ Ragone, *Giovanni Villani e i suoi continuatori*, p. 17.

¹⁷ Isidore is an author whose influence on Villani, but also on medieval Latin culture in general, had been particularly extensive. For a recent overview of Isidore's medieval dissemination see the essays in *A Companion to Isidore of Seville*, part 3. On Villani, see e.g. Salvestrini, *Giovanni Villani* (on the origins of Tuscan cities reconstructed through an etymological principle); Gros, *Un nouvel Ailleurs* (on geography).

¹⁸ For a recent overview of the somewhat unresolved problems posed by the manuscript tradition and the different redactions of the *Historia*, see the edition by Rodríguez Alonso (*Las historias de los Godos*); see also Martín, *Réflexions sur la tradition manuscrite*; Velázquez Soriano, *La doble redacción de la Historia Gothorum*; Furtado, *Isidore's Histories*; Furtado, *In How Many Ways Can a Text Be Written?*; cf. Kasperski, *Was there a Revision of Isidore's Histories in the early 630s?*.

¹⁹ The form *Gothorum antiquissimi* is found in Paul Ewald's transcription of quaternion 6, ff. 48-55, of the Escorialensis manuscript R II 18; Ewald, *Reise nach Spanien*, p. 276.

²⁰ For a comparison between Isidore and Jordanes, see e.g. Ghosh, *Writing the Barbarian Past*, pp. 87-92.

²¹ *Liber Glossarum*, s.v. GO 28. In general, the presence of Isidore in the *Liber Glossarum* is notable (about a third of the entries); see e.g. Carracedo Fraga, *Isidore de Séville grammarien*; on the entry in s.v. GO 28, Furtado, *In How Many Ways Can a Text Be Written?*; M. Giani, *Il "Liber Glossarum" e la tradizione altomedievale di Agostino*, esp. p. 84.

a precise knowledge of its contents²². He may also not have known who the author was, whose name is not always mentioned in the manuscripts (it is absent, for example, in all the copies that contain the shorter version of the History of the Goths)²³. All this is consistent with the way Villani deals with ancient authors, to whom he often refers even when he does not know their work (see, for example, the cases of «Escodio maestro di storie» and «Omero poeta»²⁴), or at least does not know them directly.

For the invasion of Italy and the destruction of Florence, having exhausted the reservoir of Orosian information, Villani's sources are predominantly medieval. He uses Martin of Opava, the *Chronaca de origine civitatis Florentiae*, hagiographic works, chronicles of other cities, placing himself in general as the end point of a chain which, with regard to the history of the Goths, had by then lost contact with the fundamental testimonies of Jordanes, Procopius and Cassiodorus²⁵. It was precisely these authors that were to be the focus of the rediscovery of the Gothic world by the humanists of the following century: when publishing *De bello Italico adversus Gothos*, Leonardo Bruni declares that he was led to pursue that endeavour precisely by the fact that there were no known works in Latin on the history of the Goths. In the proem of his epitome of Jordanes' *Getica* (this is the missive to cardinal Juan de Carvajal), Enea Silvio Piccolomini recalls how his interest in that people arose from the unreliability of the information circulating about them («nam in ore hominum sepe de Gothis est sermo, sed nec perfectus, nec tanta re dignus»). Finally, Blondus Flavius, author of a translation of Procopius' *Gothica*, is said to have underlined the importance of a little-used source, Cassiodorus' *Variae*²⁶.

Until then, the reconstruction of Gothic world remained predominantly subordinate to a political interpretation of the present, and Villani's work is an example of this phenomenon. He moulds the early medieval past of Florence around a great dichotomy: to that terrible moment, in which the civilization built by the Romans and then by the Church had run the risk of being overwhelmed by a plethora of ungodly enemies – Totila, Theoderic, Leo –, the author contrasts the positive action of Charlemagne, the great Christian builder

²² Nor can it be ruled out that Villani knew a synthesis or a reworking – if it existed – in vernacular, from which he may have derived the form «*Gottorum* antichissimi». A short translation in vernacular of Isidore's chronicle, entitled *Antica cronica d'imperatori e d'altri signori*, certainly circulated in the first half of the fourteenth century: Luti, *Un nuovo volgarizzamento del Chronicon maius*. As early as the end of the thirteenth century, works of Latin historiography began to be translated in the vernacular, including, as mentioned, the Orosius' *Historiae* (see footnote 14).

²³ On the manuscript tradition of the work, see footnote 18.

²⁴ Villani, *Nuova Cronica*, I, 5 and 14.

²⁵ Considerable efforts have been made on the sources for the reconstruction of the ancient events reported by Villani, starting with Hartwig's work, *Quellen und Forschungen*. Fundamental now is Ragone, *Il cronista e le sue fonti*, pp. 13-53 (esp. 47-53), with an extensive bibliography; for the period of interest here, see especially Maissen, *Attila, Totila e Carlo Magno*; Chellini, *Chronica de origine civitatis*, pp. 83-90; see now also Zabbia, *Perché si diventa cronisti*, pp. 62-65.

²⁶ Piccolomini, *Historia Gothorum*, 4. For a recent overview, with an extensive bibliography, see Sivo's introduction to her recent edition. On Blondus Flavius see also further on.

and re-founder of the city of Florence²⁷. There is a high degree of intentionality in the definition of this contrast: Villani accentuates the nefarious picture of the devastation of «Totile Flagellum Dei», amplifies the impression of a large and indistinct barbarian agglomeration, and introduces the theme of the re-foundation of Florence by Charles, of which there seems to be no trace in his sources²⁸. In this way, he proposes to his readers a vision of the past in which good and evil are polarized around two different moments, confused in their historical specificities and endowed with a certain symbolic charge, which seems to want to convey a clear message: «Firenze è sempre stata, è, e sempre sarà guelfa, legata ai papi e alla casa reale di Francia»²⁹.

2. *Myths of origins*

The contrast between the age of invasions and the Carolingian age appears to be reinforced by the description of the Trojan origins of the Franks, which opens an unbridgeable gap between this people and the other barbarian peoples (Goths, Vandals, Huns), lumped together in a pagan and heretical ethnic skein³⁰. This myth, first attested in Pseudo-Fredegarius, was widespread in the late Middle Ages³¹. In Villani, one can perceive the influence of the narrative contained in the *Liber historiae Francorum* (1-4), probably composed in the Neustrian area in the 820s³²: the references to the war with the Alans (as in Gregory of Tours³³), the collaboration with Valentinian, the liberation from the tribute imposed by Rome, and then the conflict with the empire when the Roman tax collectors reappeared after ten years of suspension of the taxation, coincide. Taking up the themes of this tradition, Villani reinforces the positive link of the Carolingian world with the Roman past and with Florence, which descended from Rome and shared its Trojan origins.

²⁷ Villani, *Nuova Cronica*, III, 4.

²⁸ See Davis, *Topographical and Historical Propaganda*, p. 50, and especially Maissen, *Attila, Totila e Carlo Magno*.

²⁹ Maissen, *Attila, Totila e Carlo Magno*, p. 627; cf. De Vincentiis, *Origini, memoria, identità a Firenze*, pp. 397-406.

³⁰ Villani, *Nuova Cronica*, I, 17-18.

³¹ *Chronica Fredegarii*, II, 4-9. On the formation of the myth, the bibliography is extensive: see e.g. Luiselli, *Il mito dell'origine troiana*; Giardina, *Le origini troiane dall'impero alla nazione*; Ewig, *Troiamythos und fränkische Frühgeschichte*; Murray, *Reinhard Wenskus on 'Ethnogenesis'*; Coumert, *Origines des peuples*, part III, ch. 2-5; Ghosh, *Writing the Barbarian Past*, ch. 3. On the spread of myth in the late Middle Ages and the modern age, see e.g. Poucet, *L'origine troyenne*; Lentano, *L'ombra lunga del passato*. Cf. Robert Kasperski's paper in this volume (§3).

³² See e.g. Gerberding, *The Rise of the Carolingians*, pp. 1-30; Stadermann, *Konstruktion und Rezeption*, pp. 433-435.

³³ Gregory of Tours, *Historia Francorum*, II, 9. Gregory's work had a wide circulation (see e.g. Goffart, *From Historiae to Historia Francorum*; Reimitz, *Social Networks*) and influenced both Fredegar's chronicle (on whose sources see e.g. Collins, *Die Fredegar-Chroniken*, pp. 27-38 and 46-55), and the *Liber historiae Francorum*, although important differences remain (see e.g. Gerberding, *The Rise of the Carolingians*, ch. 3; on the myth of the Trojan origins, Ghosh, *Writing the Barbarian Past*, pp. 99-110).

In that perspective, those tales which, by contrast, configured a kinship between Franks and Goths could evidently find no place. First and foremost of these was the legend of Theoderic's Macedonian and therefore Trojan origins³⁴, which presupposed a competitive relationship between the Franks and Rome and a sought-after bond of brotherhood between the Goths and the Franks³⁵. Similarly, the tale of the common Scandinavian origins of Franks and Goths, which had been popular in the Carolingian age alongside the myth of Trojan origins³⁶: «alii vero affirmant» writes Frechulf of Lisieux «eos de Scanza insula, quae vagina gentium est, exordium habuisse, de qua Gothi et caeterae nationes Theodiscae exierunt: quod et idioma linguae eorum testator»³⁷, was also left out. In this latter case, it is evident that the proximity of Goths and Franks is part of a perspective that seems to privilege a sort of “pan-germanism” (the *insula Scanza* is «vagina gentium» and from it «Gothi et caeterae nationes Theodiscae exierunt»), supported by the use of a unifying idiom («quod et idioma linguae eorum testator»). This would have, therefore, drawn a sharper fault line between their world and Romanitas, broadly understood as the Roman past and the Byzantine present³⁸.

3. *Goths and Franks in the Carolingian age*

The Frankish Carolingian world had elaborated its relationship with the Gothic past in a complex manner, unlike the schematic image conveyed by later authors. On the one hand, one senses an inclination to recover and re-establish the link with that world, which is demonstrated by several phenomena, which have been extensively studied³⁹. They include the myths relating to the origins of these peoples, as we have seen; the dissemination of tales celebrating the heroic character of the figure of Theoderic⁴⁰; the interest in

³⁴ Contained in paragraphs 57-62 of the second book of the *Chronica* attributed to Fredegar, the legend reached the twelfth century *vitae* of Theoderic (*Gesta Theoderici regis*). Krusch postulates the existence of a common source for chapter 57 of Fredegar and these later biographies (MGH, SS rer. Merov. 2, p. 200).

³⁵ See esp. Giardina, *Le origini troiane dall'impero alla nazione*, pp. 192-195.

³⁶ Frechulf of Lisieux, *Historiae*, I, 2, 26: Aeneas, Ascanius and the lineage of the Latin kings are said to have given birth to the Roman people, while Friga's progeny, after wandering for an indefinite time, is said to have chosen as their king Francius, very strong in war. He is said to have led his people to the regions near the Rhine and the Danube. Among contemporaries see e.g. Ermoldus Nigellus, *Carmen elegiacum in honorem Hludovici*, vv. 1886-1899: Coumert, *Origines des peuples*, pp. 363-365.

³⁷ Frechulf of Lisieux, *Historiae*, I, 2, 26.

³⁸ See Innes, *Teutons or Trojans?*, pp. 233-235; Coumert, *Origines des peuples*, pp. 359-378.

³⁹ For an overview of the reception of the figure of Theoderic and the Ostrogothic world in the Carolingian age, see Goltz, *Barbar - König - Tyrann*, pp. 600-607; more specifically, Simoni, *La memoria del regno ostrogoto*; Tischler, *Remembering the Ostrogoths*; Ferrari, *Teoderico e Carlo Magno*.

⁴⁰ The literary works are intertwined with an oral tradition of some importance, as can be inferred from the passage from Frutolf quoted above (see the text corresponding to footnote 11); on these issues, see Simoni, *La memoria del regno ostrogoto*; Innes, *Teutons or Trojans?*

the language⁴¹ and culture of the Goths, e.g. in Jordanes' *Getica*, which Frechulf evidently knew and which Alcuin wished to read (he asked Angilbert, a man close to Charlemagne, for a copy: «Si habeas Iordanis historiam, dirige mihi propter quarumdam notitiam rerum»⁴²); Agnellus' work, created in a context – the Veronese one – peripheral but nonetheless linked to the court⁴³; and the construction of a symbolic link between the Carolingian and the Amal monarchy through recalling the places and symbols of Ostrogothic power⁴⁴. On the other hand, we see how it was possible to put forward a very different view of the Goths and of Theoderic, leading to seeing the revival of that model as an insidious parallel. This is the case with what Michael Herren has called «the most challenging political poem of the Latin Middle Ages»⁴⁵, the *De imagine Tetrici* composed by Walahfrid Strabo in his early twenties in 829. In this poem, the ghost of Theoderic's unholy monarchy – which is to say the equestrian statue that Charles had had relocated to Aachen⁴⁶ – haunts the present, coagulating around it the evil that threatened the integrity of the kingdom. In the depiction of this image and its complex symbolism, there is a desire on the part of the author to recall the darker aspects of the Gothic king's reputation: some of them of great resonance, others less so. In this way, Walahfrid's *Tetricus* is distinguished not only by his impiety and cruelty, but also by his corruption, a detail not entirely common, on which Walahfrid's poetry repeatedly insists, loading it with allegorical meanings and a dense fabric of correspondences with contemporaneity (in reference to Louis the Pious, Louis the Pious and Hilduin first of all, and then to the plethora of detractors and court flatterers)⁴⁷. It is also in this perspective that Walahfrid makes the

⁴¹ Zironi, *L'eredità dei Goti*, esp. pp. 3-4.

⁴² Alcuin, *Epistulae*, 221 (year 801). On Angilbert see e.g. Viarre, *Un portrait d'Angilbert*. On the circulation of the manuscripts of the *Getica*, see Grillone's preface to his edition (pp. XXIV-LI).

⁴³ Here one witnesses the formation of historical miscellanies inclined to bring together the threads along which the command over Italy had passed from the Goths to the Lombards and finally to the Franks (these are the manuscripts that contain the *Anonymus Valesianus I* and *II*). Simoni, *La memoria del regno ostrogoto*. On the historical and cultural context of Verona, see e.g. Avesani, *La cultura veronese*; on the *Liber pontificalis ecclesiae Ravennatis*, see Nauerth, *Agnellus von Ravenna*; the introductions to the editions by Nauerth (pp. 9-75) and Deliyannis (pp. 9-135); on the *Anonymus Valesianus*, see the introduction by Festy – Vitiello to their edition (pp. XXXIX-XLV). On Verona, see in this volume Stefan Esders' paper, §2.

⁴⁴ On the statue, see footnote 45.

⁴⁵ Herren, *The 'De imagine Tetrici' of Walahfrid Strabo*, p. 119.

⁴⁶ See e.g. Bredekamp, *Theoderich als König der Aachener Thermen*; in this volume, see the essay by Carlo Ferrari (in relation to the problem of the statue of the Regisole in Pavia). The transfer of the equestrian monument to Aachen was part of a broader operation of integrating architectural and decorative elements of the Ravenna palace into the Carolingian palace: see e.g. Jäggi, *Spolien in Ravenna*.

⁴⁷ The theme of corruption is already present in the *Visio Wettini*, which precedes *De imagine Tetrici*: see e.g. Stella, *La Visione di Vetti*, esp. pp. 17-20; Stella, *Carlo e la sua ombra nelle testimonianze poetiche*, pp. 16-29. The complexity of the references to current events has left room for different interpretations regarding the author's position towards Louis' court; see in particular, with different nuances, Godman, *Poets and Emperors*, pp. 133-144 (on Walahfrid, pp. 129-147); Godman, *Louis 'the Pious'*; Herren, *Walahfrid Strabo's "De imagine Tetrici": an*

force of Boethian reminiscences felt in the work, a hypotext that evidently acts as a warning for the present⁴⁸.

The relations between the Franks and the Goths as expressed by Walahfrid in *De imagine Tetrici* are consistent with that which emerges from the *Excerpta codicis Sangallensis*, the epitome obtained by Walahfrid from the very same manuscript from which the Vienna codex of the *Fasti Vindobonenses priores* and *posteriores*⁴⁹ descends. In this miscellany, the story of the Gothic kingdom contributes to sketching a gloomy picture, which is only partly common to the tradition of the *Consularia Italica* from which Walahfrid draws his inspiration, notably because the image that these texts convey is far from univocal⁵⁰. Walahfrid seems to choose aspects of the tradition that would allow him to express a clear position within a polemic between the intellectuals of the Ludovician circle and the Carolingian court: in the miscellany, he does so by merely selecting the material transmitted by the *Consularia*, while in the poem dedicated to the equestrian statue of Theoderic he does so through a more creative form of reworking. In this way, the poet animates a clearly topical debate on the use of an ideologically relevant model for the monarchy⁵¹; a model endowed with flexible symbolic meanings, so as to make it susceptible to new semanticisations from time to time, of which his contemporaries were evidently aware (it is perhaps the ambiguity of the figure of Theoderic that prevents the *comparatio* with the Carolingian monarchs from going as far as full identification)⁵².

4. *Conclusions: Cassiodorus, the Variae and the evanescent memory of Roman-Ostrogothic society*

Medieval culture interpreted the figure of Theoderic and the Ostrogothic world in different ways, sometimes through the reception of literary traditions that had settled over time, sometimes through more circumstantial and conscious operations of selection and rewriting of the past. With regard to relations with the Carolingian world, as we have seen, two broad tendencies prevailed: one inclined to emphasize distinctions, and one that, on the contrary, seeks analogies and elements of continuity, to the point of imagining, albeit in vari-

Interpretation; Stella, *Paesaggio degradato come scenario metapoetico*; more generally, De Jong, *Admonitio and Criticism of the Ruler*.

⁴⁸ Cf. Tischler, *Remembering the Ostrogoths*, pp. 85-99. On Boethius, see Danuta Shanzer's paper in this volume.

⁴⁹ See Simoni, *La memoria del regno ostrogoto*, pp. 369-370.

⁵⁰ For instance, the *Chronicon Paschale* testifies to the existence of rumours which associate certain natural disasters with the Goths, but immediately distances itself from them; moreover, this work probably came from Vivarium, and it is difficult to imagine a deliberately anti-Gothic vocation (this is the interpretation of Troncarelli, *Il consolato dell'Anticristo*).

⁵¹ On the problem and interpretations of the relationship between poetry and power in this period see e.g. Stella, *La dinamica del consenso*.

⁵² Godman, *Louis 'the Pious'*, pp. 276-277.

ous ways, common origins. These strands do not lend themselves to a clear-cut differentiation on a geographical basis, although it is undoubtedly true that in Italy a somewhat ghostly vision of the Ostrogothic past predominated throughout the Middle Ages, marked by what Fiorella Simoni has called «un'impresionante *damnatio memoriae ecclesiastica*» (which is not, however, without exceptions, as the case of Verona, for example, demonstrates)⁵³. In the Frankish world, on the other hand, a greater fluidity and more accentuated nuances are perceived. There is, in any case, an element that unites these perspectives, and it concerns the relationship between the Gothic world and the Roman world. This is an important point, which has only partly been dwelt on here. In the medieval works that reconstruct, celebrate or stigmatize the history and image of the Ostrogoths in Italy, one can detect the absence of a perspective capable of perceiving the specificities of Roman-Ostrogothic society: there is a lack of details, references, reconstructions, that would reflect – even if only in a fragmentary way – an image of sixth-century Italy as a laboratory of a new society, marked by the prospect of a stable coexistence of different groups. In short, there is a lack of what we could call a “Cassiodorean perspective”.

The reasons for this absence are manifold. The authors who favour a viewpoint hostile to the Goths (starting with the late antique and early medieval works such as the biography of Pope John in the *Liber pontificalis*, Gregory, Bede, the *Consularia Italica*) give a strong emphasis to the serious conflict of 523, are attracted by the figure of Boethius, and are led to identify the Ostrogothic experience *tout-court* with the moment in which Arian barbarism had prevailed, with its blind violence, exercised against figures who embodied the fundamental institutions of the late antique *res publica*, the Church (Pope John I) and the senate (Boethius and Symmachus). In this anti-Gothic tradition, there can be no consideration for the Roman-barbaric compromise of 476 with Odoacer, and revived by Theoderic a few years later. And although such a perspective does not necessarily result in a pro-Byzantine outlook, it does share the tendency to make a systematic distinction between Romans and Goths, through drawing a picture of the period between 476 and 554 as a long «barbarian interlude», the signs of which had to be removed in the name of a Roman and Catholic re-appropriation of Italy⁵⁴. On the other hand, authors who express a positive view of the Goths by celebrating a kinship with the Franks inevitably end up emphasizing the emancipation of the Germanic peoples from Rome⁵⁵. This split has repercussions, for example on the connections between Theoderic and the monuments of ancient Italy, which extends

⁵³ Simoni, *La memoria del regno ostrogoto*, p. 370.

⁵⁴ Croke, *A.D. 476*. Among the Byzantine texts, the *Pragmatica sanctio*, promulgated in 554 and intended for the new Byzantine prefecture of Italy, is not included in this perspective. In this package of rules, the need to pacify a still divided land prevailed, and in this perspective the legitimacy of the Ostrogothic governments (except Vitiges and Totila) is admitted; see on this issue Oppedisano, *The end of the Roman Senate*.

⁵⁵ This aspect was already highlighted by Löwe in a 1952 study (*Von Theoderich dem Großen zu Karl dem Großen*).

well beyond the sixth century: much of what is Roman – in particular the symbols of power (palaces, equestrian statues) – may appear Theoderician, and thus provide an expression of a more autonomously Germanic experience⁵⁶. Finally, even when Theoderic and the Goths become a positive model for the construction of a new Carolingian kingdom in Italy, there is no perceived need to explore the form of Ostrogothic society within it (the relations between the Gothic people and the Romans, or between the Amal monarchy and the senate). The focus is shifted, if anything, to external relations with the Byzantine empire, because that is the horizon that most urgently activates a comparison between the present and the past; and it does so, in this case, with the otherwise extremely rare quotations from the documents of Cassiodorus' epistolary works that concern relations with Byzantium, starting with *Variae*, I, 1⁵⁷. What, on the other hand, concerns the social, administrative, and institutional fabric of sixth-century Italy – on which the *Variae* offer the most ample testimony – goes beyond the interest of the culture and politics of the Carolingian age. In the contents of those texts, one can hardly find elements capable of evoking a comparison with the present.

This discourse can be extended to a wider context and period: compared to the other works of Cassiodorus, his letters circulate late in medieval Europe (no witnesses known to us date back to before the eleventh century)⁵⁸. Among these readers, Cassiodorus' epistolary collection is rarely used to make a political or ideological point. Specific interests prevailed, which favoured the formation of *florilegia* and anthologies: they were linked at times to the encyclopaedic contents of the work⁵⁹, at others to the chancery formularies elaborated by Cassiodorus, which was taken up in the circles of the administration, the chanceries and the notaries from the tenth-eleventh centuries (first in Rome and Latium⁶⁰, later also in other areas⁶¹). In some cases, there are revivals in a political context, but these are limited to individual letters, in particular the first of the *Variae*, which probably circulates independently from the rest of the work (from the Frankish Carolingian world, as we have seen, to fourteenth-century Italy)⁶². The relationship between medieval readers and

⁵⁶ For a bibliographical overview of this topic see the commentary by Cristina La Rocca, Yuri Marano, on *Variae*, I, 6, in the edition by Giardina *et al.*, 1 (forthcoming).

⁵⁷ For a survey of the reception of the *Variae* in Carolingian and post-Carolingian times, see Marco Cristini's paper in this volume.

⁵⁸ On the problems and debate surrounding the tradition of the *Variae*, see the section "Tradition of the Text" in the edition by Giardina *et al.*, 1 (forthcoming).

⁵⁹ See e.g. Michel, *Les Variae, témoin d'un passé gênant?*.

⁶⁰ See Dario Internullo's paper in this volume.

⁶¹ Consider, for example, the *arenga* of the document concluding the peace of Castelnuovo di Magra (year 1306): Piattoli, *Codice diplomatico dantesco*, n. 99, pp. 118-125.

⁶² Among the quotations from *Variae*, I, 1, see the opening paragraph of Marsilius of Padua's *Defensor pacis* (I, 1); earlier still are the *arenga* of 1306, composed by Dante (see previous footnote), and a letter by Cangrande della Scala of 1312, whose authorship is debated (according to the recent hypothesis of Paolo Pellegrini the author is Dante): Bertin 2005; Casadei 2019; see now Andrea Giardina's commentary on *Variae* I, 1, in the edition by Giardina *et al.*, 1 (forthco-

the Ostrogothic world shifted more sharply between the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, when the richness and complexity of Roman-Ostrogothic society, the Amals' commitment to defending Roman *civilitas* in its various forms, and Cassiodorus' role in shaping this complex reality, began to be appreciated. At this point, the *Variae* burst in among the sources of the history of the Goths, and so, while Villani had addressed his readers by directing them to «i libro che comincia "Gottorom antichissimi etc.»», Blondus Flavius advised his audience to read the twelve books of Cassiodorus' epistles:

Nam Theodericus Ostrogothorum rex licet Ravennae sedem habuerit, amavit tamen ornavitque urbem Romam, et multa publice providit ac neglectae instaurationis supra fidem eorum qui barbarum fuisse meminerint maximam suscepit curam. Quod qui a fideli et copiosissimo teste voluerit certius intelligere, legat Cassiodori eius epistularum scriptoris Variarum libros, in quibus videbit ipsum regem religionis christianae, sacrorum locorum ceremoniarumque et pontificum romanorum dignitatis curam gessisse⁶³.

ming). The first of the *Variae* is also used by John of Neumarkt: Michel, *Les Variae, témoin d'un passé gênant?*.

⁶³ Biondo Flavio, *Historiarum*, Decadis primae, liber tertius, pp. 33-34. Cf. e.g. Blasio, *Memoria filologica e memoria politica*; Mastroiosa, *Cassiodorus, Biondo Flavio*.

Works cited

- Agnellus of Ravenna, *Liber pontificalis ecclesiae Ravennatis*, ed. D.M. Deliyannis, Turnhout 2006 (CCCM, 199).
- Agnellus of Ravenna, *Liber pontificalis ecclesiae Ravennatis*, ed. C. Nauerth, Freiburg-Basel-Wien-Barcelona-Roma-New York 1996.
- Alcuin, *Epistulae*, ed. E. Dümmler, Berlin 1895 (MGH, Epp. 4, pp. 1-61).
- Anonymus Valesianus II*, ed. M. Festi (*L'Italie sous Odoacre et Théodoric*, introduction et commentaire par M. Festy – M. Vitiello), Paris 2020.
- R. Avesani, *La cultura veronese dal secolo IX al secolo XII*, in *Storia della cultura veneta*, vol. 1, *Dalle origini al Trecento*, Vicenza 1976, pp. 240-270.
- Bartholomew of Lucca, *Historia Ecclesiastica nova*, ed. O. Clavuoet, Hannoverae 2009 (MGH, SS 39).
- E. Bertin, *La pace di Castelnuovo di Magra (6 ottobre 1306). Otto argomenti per la paternità dantesca*, in «Italia medioevale e umanistica», 46 (2005), pp. 1-34.
- F. Bertini, *Attila nei cronisti e negli storici del medioevo latino*, in *Attila Flagellum Dei? Convegno internazionale di studi storici sulla figura di Attila e sulla discesa degli Unni in Italia nel 452 d.C.*, ed. S. Blason Scarel, Roma 1994, pp. 229-241.
- Biondo Flavio, *Historiarum ab inclinatione Romanorum imperii decades*, imp. J. Froben, Basel 1531.
- M.G. Blasio, *Memoria filologica e memoria politica in Biondo Flavio. Il significato della "in-stauratio Urbis"*, in *La memoria e la città. Scritture storiche tra Medioevo ed Età Moderna*, ed. C. Bastia – M. Bolognani, Bologna 1995, pp. 307-317.
- G. Boccaccio, *Esposizioni sopra la Commedia di Dante*, ed. G. Padoan, Milano 1965.
- H. Bredekamp, *Theoderich als König der Aachener Thermen*, in *Kaiser und Kalifen. Karl der Große und die Mächte am Mittelmeer um 800*, Darmstadt 2014, pp. 278-289.
- J. Carracedo Fraga, *Isidore de Séville grammariens et le Liber Glossarum*, in *Liber Glossarum (s. VII-VIII): composition, sources, réception*, Paris 2016, pp. 127-140.
- A. Casadei, *Una nuova epistola di Dante?: alcuni dubbi preliminari*, in «Italianistica. Rivista di letteratura italiana», 48 (2019), pp. 177-183.
- Cassiodorus, *Variae*, ed. A. Giardina et al. (Flavio Magno Aurelio Cassiodoro Senatore, *Varie*), I-VI, Roma 2014-.
- Chronica de origine civitatis Florentiae*, ed. R. Chellini, Roma 2009 (FSI, *Antiquitates* 33).
- Chronica Fredegarii*, ed. B. Krusch, Hannover 1888 (MGH, SS rer. Merov. 2, pp. 1-193).
- Chronicon Gozecense*, ed. R. Koepke, Hannover 1852 (MGH, SS 10, pp. 140-157).
- R. Collins, *Die Fredegar-Chroniken*, Hannover 2007.
- A Companion to Isidore of Seville*, ed. A. Fear – J. Wood, Leiden-Boston 2019.
- M. Coumert, *Origines des peuples. Les récits du Haut Moyen Âge occidental (550-850)*, Paris 2007.
- B. Croke, *A.D. 476: The Manufacture of a Turning Point*, in «Chiron», 13 (1983), pp. 81-119.
- C.T. Davis, *Topographical and Historical Propaganda in Early Florentine Chronicles and in Villani*, in «Medioevo e Rinascimento», 2 (1988), pp. 33-51.
- M. De Jong, *Admonitio and Criticism of the Ruler at the Court of Louis the Pious*, in *La culture du haut Moyen Âge. Une question d'élite?*, ed. F. Bougard – R. Le Jan – R. McKitterick, Turnhout 2009, pp. 315-338.
- A. De Vincentiis, *Origini, memoria, identità a Firenze nel XIV secolo. La rifondazione di Carlomagno*, in «Mélanges de l'École Française de Rome. Moyen Âge», 115 (2003), pp. 385-443.
- Ekkehardi chronicon universale*, ed. G. Waits, Hannover 1844 (MGH, SS 6, pp. 33-231).
- Ermoldus Nigellus, *Carmen elegiacum in honorem Hludovici christianissimi Caesaris Augusti*, ed. E. Faral, Paris 1932.
- P. Ewald, *Reise nach Spanien im Winter von 1878 auf 1879*, in «Neues Archiv der Gesellschaft für ältere deutsche Geschichtskunde zur Beförderung einer Gesamtausgabe der Quellschriften deutscher Geschichten des Mittelalters», 6 (1881), pp. 217-398.
- E. Ewig, *Troiamythos und fränkische Frühgeschichte*, in *Die Franken und die Alamannen bis zur "Schlacht bei Zülpich" (496/97)*, ed. D. Geuenich, Berlin 1998, pp. 1-30.
- E. Faini, «*Uno nuovo stato di felicitade*». Bono Giamboni volgarizzatore di Orosio, in «*Darne in volgare alcuna dottrina*». Dante e la cultura fiorentina tra Bono Giamboni e Brunetto Latini, ed. Z.G. Barański – T.J. Cachey jr. – L. Lombardo, Roma 2019, pp. 61-78.

- E. Faini, *Vegezio e Orosio: storia, cavalleria e politica nella Firenze del tardo Duecento*, in *Storia sacra e profana nei volgarizzamenti medievali. Rilievi di lingua e di cultura*, ed. M. Colombo – P. Pellegrini – S. Pregnotato, Berlin-Boston 2019, pp. 237-254.
- C. Ferrari, *Theoderico e Carlo Magno. Potere, arte e memoria tra antichità e medioevo* (forthcoming).
- Frechulf of Lisieux, *Historiae*, ed. M.I. Allen, Turnhout 2002 (CCCM 169).
- R. Fubini, *Osservazioni sugli «Historiarum Florentini populi libri XII» di Leonardo Bruni*, in *Studi di storia medievale e moderna per Ernesto Sestan*, Firenze 1980, pp. 403-448.
- R. Furtado, *In How Many Ways Can a Text Be Written? The Textual Tradition of Isidore's «Histories»*, in *Wisigothica after M.C. Díaz y Díaz*, ed. C. Codoñer – P.F. Alberto, Firenze 2014, pp. 421-476.
- R. Furtado, *Isidore's Histories in the Mozarabic Scholarship of the Eighth and the Early Ninth Centuries*, in *Ways of Approaching Knowledge in Late Antiquity and the Early Middle Ages: Schools and Scholarship*, Nordhausen 2012, pp. 264-287.
- R.A. Gerberding, *The Rise of the Carolingians and the Liber Historiae Francorum*, New York-Oxford 1987.
- Gesta Theoderici regis*, ed. B. Krusch, Hannover 1888 (MGH, SS rer. Merov. 2, pp. 200-214).
- S. Ghosh, *Writing the Barbarian Past. Studies in Early Medieval Historical Narrative*, Leiden-Boston 2016.
- M. Giani, *Il «Liber Glossarum» e la tradizione altomedievale di Agostino*, Firenze 2021.
- A. Giardina, *Le origini troiane dall'impero alla nazione*, in *Morfologie sociali e culturali in Europa fra tarda antichità e alto medioevo*, Settimane di studio del Centro italiano di studi sull'alto medioevo, Spoleto, 3-9 aprile 1997, Spoleto 1998, pp. 177-209.
- P. Godman, *Louis 'the Pious' and His Poets*, in «Frühmittelalterliche Studien», 19 (1985), pp. 239-289.
- P. Godman, *Poets and Emperors: Frankish Politics and Carolingian Poetry*, Oxford 1987.
- W. Goffart, *From Historia to Historia Francorum and Back Again. Aspects of the Textual History of Gregory of Tours*, in W. Goffart, *Rome's Fall and After*, London 1989, pp. 255-274 (= *Religion, Culture, and Society in the Early Middle Ages: Studies in honor of Richard E. Sullivan*, ed. T.F.X. Noble – J.J. Contreni, Kalamazoo (MI) 1987, pp. 55-76).
- A. Goltz, *Barbar – König – Tyrann. Das Bild Theoderichs des Großen in der Überlieferung des 5. bis 9. Jahrhunderts*, Berlin-Boston 2009.
- C. Gros, *Un nouvel Ailleurs. L'Image du Monde de Giovanni Villani*, in «Cahiers d'études romanes», 23 (2011) <<https://journals.openedition.org/etudesromanes/685>>.
- O. Hartwig, *Quellen und Forschungen zur ältesten Geschichte der Stadt Florenz*, vol. 1, Marburg 1875.
- M.W. Herren, *The "De imagine Tetrici" of Walahfrid Strabo: Edition and Translation*, in «The Journal of Medieval Latin», 1 (1991), pp. 118-139.
- M.W. Herren, *Walahfrid Strabo's "De imagine Tetrici": an Interpretation*, in *Latin Culture and Medieval Germanic Europe*, Proceedings of the First Germania Latina Conference Held at the University of Groningen, 26 May 1989, ed. R. North – T. Hofstra, Groningen 1992, pp. 21-41.
- M. Innes, *Teutons or Trojans? The Carolingians and the Germanic Past*, in Y. Hen, M. Innes, *The Uses of the Past in the Early Middle Ages*, Cambridge 2000, pp. 227-249.
- Isidore of Seville, *Historia Gothorum Wandalorum Sueborum*, ed. C. Rodríguez Alonso (*Las historias de los Godos, Vandalos y Suevos de Isidoro de Sevilla*), León 1975.
- C. Jäggi, *Spolien in Ravenna – Spolien aus Ravenna: Transformation einer Stadt von der Antike bis in die frühe Neuzeit, in Perspektiven der Spolienforschung*, vol. 1, *Spolierung und Transposition*, ed. S. Altekamo – C. Marcks-Jacobs – P. Seiler, Berlin-Boston 2013, pp. 287-330.
- Jordanes, *Getica*, ed. A. Grillone, Paris 2017 (Belles lettres).
- R. Kasperski, *Was there a Revision of Isidore's Histories in the early 630?, in Visigothic Symposium 4, 2020-2021: Manuscripts and Editions*, pp. 85-99 <<https://visigothicsymposia.org/robert-kasperski/>>.
- Liber Glossarum*, ed. A. Grondeux – F. Cinato, Paris 2016 <<http://liber-glossarum.huma-num.fr>>.
- Liber historiae Francorum*, ed. B. Krusch, Hannover 1888 (MGH, SS rer. Merov. 2, pp. 215-328).
- H. Löwe, *Von Theoderich dem Großen zu Karl dem Großen: Das Werden des Abendlandes im Geschichtsbild des frühen Mittelalters*, in «Deutsches Archiv für Erforschung des Mittelalters», 9 (1952), pp. 353-401 (= H. Löwe, *Von Cassiodor zu Dante: Ausgewählte Aufsätze zur Geschichtsschreibung und politischen Ideenwelt des Mittelalters*, Berlin 1973, pp. 33-74).

- B. Luiselli, *Il mito dell'origine troiana dei Galli, dei Franchi e degli Scandinavi*, in «Romano-barbarica», 3 (1978), pp. 89-121.
- M. Luti, *Un nuovo volgarizzamento del Chronicon maius di Isidoro di Siviglia (Firenze, BNC, Magl. XXXVIII 127)*, in «Carte Romanze», 7 (2019), pp. 11-59.
- T. Maissen, *Attila, Totila e Carlo Magno fra Dante, Villani, Boccaccio e Malispini. Per la genesi di due leggende erudite*, in «Archivio Storico Italiano», 152 (1994), pp. 561-639.
- Marsilius of Padua, *Defensor Pacis*, ed. R. Scholz, Hannover 1933 (MGH, Fontes iuris 7).
- Martin of Opava, *Chronicon pontificum et imperatorum*, ed. L. Weiland, Hannover 1872 (MGH, SS 22).
- J.C. Martín, *Réflexions sur la tradition manuscrite de trois oeuvres d'Isidore de Séville: le De natura rerum, la Regula monachorum et le De origine Getarum, Vandalorum, Sueborum*, in «Filologia mediolatina. Studies in Medieval Texts and their Transmission», 11 (2004), pp. 244-263.
- I.G. Mastroiosa, *Cassiodoro, Biondo Flavio e la "memoria" dell'Italia teodericiana*, in *Acta Conventus Neo-Latini Upsaliensis*, Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Congress of Neo-Latin Studies, Uppsala, 2009, vol. 1, ed. A. Steiner-Weber et al., Leiden-Boston 2012, pp. 661-670.
- T.J.H. McCarthy, *The Continuations of Frutolf Michelsberg's Chronicle*, Wiesbaden 2018.
- N. Michel, *Les Variaes, témoin d'un passé gênant? Autour de la figure politique de Cassiodore au Moyen Âge*, in *Cassiodore et l'Italie ostrogothique: regards croisés sur les sources*, ed. V. Fauvinet-Ranson, Paris 2021, pp. 83-95.
- A.C. Murray, *Reinhard Wenskus on "Ethnogenesis", Ethnicity, and the Origin of the Franks*, in *On barbarian Identity: Critical Approaches to Ethnicity in the Early Middle Ages*, ed. A. Gillett, Turnhout 2002, pp. 39-68.
- C. Nauwerth, *Agnellus von Ravenna. Untersuchungen zur archäologischen Methode des ravenatischen Chronisten*, München 1974.
- F. Oppedisano, *The End of the Roman Senate, in Justinian's Legacy. The Last War of Roman Italy / L'eredità di Giustiniano. L'ultima guerra dell'Italia Romana*, ed. H. Dey – F. Oppedisano (forthcoming).
- Orosius, *Historiae adversum paganos*, ed. M.-P. Arnaud-Lindet, Paris 1990-1991.
- Paulinus of Milan, *Vita Ambrosii*, ed. A.A.R. Bastiaensen, Milano 1975.
- Paul the Deacon, *Historia Romana*, ed. A. Crivellucci, Roma 1914.
- R. Piattoli, *Codice diplomatico dantesco*, Firenze 1940.
- E.S. Piccolomini, *Historia Gothorum*, ed. F. Sivo, Firenze 2021 (Edizione Nazionale dei testi della Storiografia Umanistica 14).
- J. Poucet, *L'origine troyenne des peuples d'Occident au Moyen Âge et à la Renaissance. Un exemple de parenté imaginaire et d'idéologie politique*, in «Les Études Classiques», 72 (2004), pp. 75-107.
- F. Ragone, *Giovanni Villani e i suoi continuatori. La scrittura delle cronache a Firenze nel Trecento*, Roma 1998.
- F. Salvestrini, *Giovanni Villani and the Aetiological Myth of Tuscan Cities*, in «The Medieval Chronicle», 2 (2002), pp. 199-211.
- F. Simoni, *La memoria del regno ostrogoto nella tradizione storiografica carolingia*, in F. Simoni, *Culture del medioevo europeo*, ed. L. Capo – C. Frova, Roma 2012, pp. 367-390 (= Atti del Convegno svoltosi alla Casa delle Culture di Cosenza dal 24 al 26 luglio 1998, ed. P. Delogu, Soveria Mannelli 2001, pp. 351-375).
- C. Stadermann, *Konstruktion und Rezeption von Gotenbildern in narrative Schriften des merowingischen Gallien*, Stuttgart 2017.
- F. Stella, *Carlo e la sua ombra nelle testimonianze poetiche. Dalle visioni oltremondane alle narrazioni antiagiografiche*, in *Il secolo di Carlo Magno. Istituzioni, letterature e cultura del tempo carolingio*, ed. I. Pagani – F. Santi, Firenze 2016, pp. 9-36.
- F. Stella, *La dinamica del consenso nelle lodi imperiali dei poeti carolingi e postcarolingi*, in *Dicere laudes: elogio, comunicazione, creazione del consenso*, Atti del convegno internazionale, Cividale del Friuli, 23-25 settembre 2010, ed. G. Urso, Pisa 2011, pp. 363-385.
- F. Stella, *Paesaggio degradato come scenario metapoetico nel "De imagine Tetrici" di Valafrido Strabone (829)*, in «Semicerchio. Rivista di poesia comparata», 58-59 (2018), pp. 6-16.
- F. Stella, *La Visione di Vetti: il primo viaggio poetico*, Pisa 2009.
- M.M. Tischler, *Remembering the Ostrogoths in the Carolingian Empire*, in R. Kramer – H. Reimitz – G. Ward, *Historiography and Identity III: Carolingian Approaches*, Turnhout 2021, pp. 65-122.

Fabrizio Oppedisano

- F. Troncarelli, *Il consolato dell'Anticristo*, in «Studi medievali», 30 (1989), 3, pp. 567-592.
- I. Velázquez Soriano, *La doble redacción de la Historia Gothorum de Isidoro de Sevilla*, in *L'édition critique des oeuvres d'Isidore de Séville. Les recensions multiples*, Actes du colloque organisé à la Casa de Velázquez et à l'Université Rey Juan Carlos de Madrid, 14-15 janvier 2002, ed. J. Elfassi – A. Andrés Sanz – J.C. Martín, Paris 2008, pp. 91-126.
- S. Viarre, *Un portrait d'Angilbert dans la correspondance d'Alcuin?*, in *De Tertullien aux Mozarabes : Antiquité tardive et christianisme ancien : mélanges offerts à Jacques Fontaine à l'occasion de son 70^e anniversaire par ses élèves, amis et collègues*, ed. L. Holtz – J.C. Fredouille, Paris 1992, pp. 267-274.
- G. Villani, *Nuova Cronica*, ed. G. Porta, vol. 1 (I-VIII), Parma 1990 (Biblioteca di scrittori italiani).
- M. Zabbia, *I cronisti fiorentini e la scelta del volgare. Una nota*, in *Les Chroniques et l'histoire universelle. France et Italie (XIII^e-XIV^e siècles)*, ed. F. Montorsi – F. Maillet, Paris 2021, pp. 237-247.
- M. Zabbia, *Perché si diventa cronisti nell'Italia del Trecento*, in *Storici per vocazione. Tra autobiografia e modelli letterari*, ed. M. Zabbia, Roma 2021, pp. 51-66.
- A. Zironi, *L'eredità dei Goti. Testi barbarici in età carolingia*, Spoleto 2009.

Fabrizio Oppedisano
Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa
fabrizio.oppedisano@sns.it