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#### Abstract

We describe a Hopf ring structure, discovered by Strickland and Turner, on $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, where $\Sigma_{n}$ in the symmetric group of $n$ objects and $p$ is an odd prime. We also describe an additive basis on which the cup product is explicitly determined, compute the restriction to modular invariants and determine the action of the Steenrod algebra on our Hopf ring generators. For $p=2$ this was achieved in a paper by Giusti, Salvatore and Sinha, of which this work is an extension.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\Sigma_{n}$ be the symmetric group of $n$ objects. In [8] Strickland and Turner proved that, for a multiplicative cohomology theory $E$, the group $A=E\left(\coprod_{n \geq 0} B\left(\Sigma_{n}\right)\right)$ has the structure of a Hopf ring (ie, it admits a coproduct $\Delta$, two products $\odot$ and - and an antipode $\eta$, which make it a ring object in the category of coalgebras). Equivalently, the following conditions hold:

- $(A, \Delta, \cdot)$ is a bialgebra.
- $(A, \Delta, \odot, \eta)$ is a Hopf algebra.
- If $\Delta(x)=\sum_{i} x_{i}^{\prime} \otimes x_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, then this formula holds:

$$
x \cdot(y \odot z)=\sum_{i}\left[(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}\left(x_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \operatorname{dim}(y)}\left(x_{i}^{\prime} \cdot y\right) \odot\left(x_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cdot z\right)\right]
$$

Explicitly, the structural maps are defined as follows. The obvious monomorphisms $i_{n, m}: \Sigma_{n} \times \Sigma_{m} \rightarrow \Sigma_{n+m}$ determine the maps $B\left(\Sigma_{n}\right) \times B\left(\Sigma_{m}\right) \rightarrow$ $B\left(\Sigma_{m+n}\right)$, homotopy equivalent to finite coverings. Passing to cohomology and taking their direct sum yields the coproduct $\Delta$. Additionally, $i_{n, m}$ also determines a transfer homomorphism $\operatorname{tr}_{n, m}: H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \otimes H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{m} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{n+m} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. The product $\odot$ is given by $\bigoplus_{n, m \geq 0} t r_{n, m}$. The product $\cdot$ is the usual cup product. Finally, $\eta$ is induced by the additive inverse of the sphere spectrum by applying the extended power functor and then cohomology (see Strickland and Turner [8] at pages 140-142).

In [3] Giusti, Salvatore and Sinha have studied this structure for the ordinary cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and determined the following:

- An explicit presentation, in terms of generators and relations, of this Hopf ring.
- An additive basis for the mod 2 cohomology of the symmetric groups in which the products • and $\odot$ and the coproduct $\Delta$ defined above can be computed by an explicit rule.

In this presentation, the relations involve only the $\odot$ product. For this reason, all the relations for the cup product in the cohomology of symmetric groups follow, in the mod 2 case, from Hopf ring distributivity. In addition, the authors calculated the restriction to the Dickson invariants and the action of the Steenrod algebra on these groups.

The purpose of this paper is the study of the algebraic structure of the cohomology rings $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, where $p$ is an odd prime, as well as the derivation of the $\bmod p$ analogs of Giusti, Salvatore and Sinha's results. In particular, following the paper cited above, we will write a presentation of the Hopf ring $H^{*}\left(\coprod_{n \geq 0} B\left(\Sigma_{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$.

The generalizations to the $\bmod p$ case required overcoming some complications in calculations, especially at odd degrees and when dealing with the more complicated coefficients arising in the description of the Steenrod algebra action. The main differences with the mod 2 case are the following:

- To obtain their Hopf ring presentation, Giusti, Salvatore and Sinha needed to relate the linear duals of $\cdot, \odot$ and $\Delta$ to the Dyer-Lashof operations. Then they used Nakaoka's description of $H_{*}\left(\Sigma_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and dualized to obtain results in cohomology. In the mod $p$ case the need to treat the Bockstein homomorphism separately yields a more complicated structure for the dual of the Dyer-Lashof algebra, which is not a polynomial algebra as in the $\bmod 2$ case. This forces us, in the presentation of the Hopf ring $\bigoplus_{n} H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, to use a bigger number of generators and some non-trivial relations involving the cup product.
- Consider in the cohomology groups $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{2^{n}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ the linear duals of the Dyer-Lashof operations with respect to the Nakaoka monomial basis in homology. It is known that the restriction homomorphism onto the ring of Dickson invariants $D_{n}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)}$ maps the subalgebra generated by those dual elements surjectively onto $D_{n}$. In [3], the computation of the restriction of the Hopf ring generators to $D_{n}$ relies on this fact. For mod $p$ coefficients this is no longer true; hence, we needed to use a different technique to achieve this goal.

Apart from this introduction, this paper is organized into three sections. In the following section, we describe a presentation, with generators and relations, of the $\bmod p$ cohomology of the symmetric groups as a Hopf ring, obtaining the $\bmod p$ analog of the main theorem in the paper by Giusti, Salvatore and Sinha. We also obtain an additive basis with a rule for computing the products. In 4 we carry out the calculation of the restriction of our Hopf ring generators to the

Dickson-Múi invariant algebras. This will be crucial to the computation of the Steenrod algebra action, which is explained in 5.
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## 2 Hopf ring structure

In this section, we want to describe $A=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ as a Hopf ring.
Theorem 2.1. [8, Theorem 3.2] $A$, with the coproduct $\Delta$, the two products $\odot$ and $\cdot$ and the antipode $\eta$ described in the introduction, is a Hopf ring.

We need to describe the homology $H=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_{*}\left(\Sigma_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, dual to $A$. In order to establish the notation, we recall the Dyer-Lashof operations, acting on the homology of the symmetric groups. A complete treatment of these operations can be found in May-Cohen-Lada [2], to which we refer for details and proofs. Given a group $G$, its classifying space is denoted by $B(G)$ its total space (ie a contractible topological space with a free $G$-action) by $E(G)$. Suppose that $X$ is a space, and we are given a map $\theta: E\left(\Sigma_{p}\right) \times{ }_{\Sigma_{p}} X^{p} \rightarrow X$, where $\Sigma_{p}$ acts on $X^{p}$ by permuting the $p$ factors. Let $\pi_{p}$ be a cyclic group of order $p$, considered a subgroup of $\Sigma_{p}$ in the obvious way. Let $W_{*}$ be the standard resolution of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\pi_{p}\right]$-free modules. We can consider the following composition map:

$$
\Theta: H_{*}\left(W_{*} \otimes_{\pi_{p}} C_{*}(X)^{\otimes^{p}}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(E\left(\Sigma_{p}\right) \times_{\Sigma_{p}} X^{p} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \xrightarrow{\theta_{*}} H_{*}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
$$

For every $i \geq 0$ and $c \in H_{d}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, we define

$$
Q_{i}(c)=\Theta\left(e_{i} \otimes_{\pi_{o}} c^{\otimes^{p}}\right) \in H_{i+p d}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
$$

where $e_{i}$ is the standard generator of $W_{i}$.
When $\theta$ arises from an action of an $E_{\infty}$-operad $\mathcal{C}$ on $X, Q_{i}$ is different from 0 on $H_{q}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ only if $i$ is congruent to $q(p-1)$ or to $q(p-1)-1$ modulo $2(p-1)$ and $Q_{k(p-1)-1}(x)=\beta Q_{k(p-1)}(x)$, where $\beta$ is the homology Bockstein homomorphism. Hence, by making a change of indexes and defining
$Q^{i}=(-1)^{i+\frac{q(q-1)(p-1)}{4}}\left(\frac{p-1}{2}!\right)^{q} Q_{(2 i-q)(p-1)}: H_{q}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow H_{q+2 i(p-1)}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$
the $Q^{i}$ and $\beta Q^{i}$ generate all the non-trivial operations.
In the category of $\mathcal{C}$-spaces, these operations also satisfy the following properties (see Cohen-May-Lada [2], Theorem 1.1, page 5):

- Let $*$ denote the product in the homology of a $\mathcal{C}$-space $X$. The $Q_{i}$ are $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-linear, natural with respect to maps of $\mathcal{C}$-spaces, $Q_{0}(x)=x^{*^{p}}$ and $Q_{i}\left(1_{H_{*}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}\right)=0$ for $i>0$. Hence the operations $Q_{i}$ can be regarded as homological derived $p^{t h}$ powers.
- The following Cartan formula holds for $x \in H_{q}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and $y \in H_{q^{\prime}}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ :

$$
Q^{r}(x * y)=\sum_{i+j=r} Q^{i}(x) * Q^{j}(x)
$$

- The following Adem relations hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{r} \circ Q^{s}= & \sum_{i}(-1)^{r+i}\binom{(p-1)(i-s)-1}{p i-r} Q^{r+s-i} \circ Q^{i} \text { if } r>p s \\
Q^{r} \circ \beta Q^{s}= & \sum_{i}(-1)^{r+i}\binom{(p-1)(i-s)}{p i-r} \beta Q^{r+s-i} \circ Q^{i} \\
& -\sum_{i}(-1)^{r+i}\binom{(p-1)(i-s)-1}{p i-r-1} Q^{r+s-i} \circ \beta Q^{i} \text { if } r \geq p s
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the Adem relations, we can write an arbitrary composition of $k$ operations $Q_{i_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ Q_{i_{k}}$ as a linear combination of sequences $Q_{j_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ Q_{j_{k}}$ with non-decreasing $j_{l}$. Furthermore, when applied to an even-dimensional class, we can also require that $j_{l}=\sum_{l<m \leq k} j_{m}(p-1)$ or $\sum_{l<m \leq k} j_{m}(p-1)-1$ $\bmod 2(p-1)$. We call a sequence of non-negative integers $J=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}\right)$ admissible if it satisfies the previous two conditions. We call it strongly admissible if, in addition, $j_{1} \neq 0$. To simplify the notation, we write $Q_{J}$ for $Q_{j_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ Q_{j_{k}}$. Note that, if we translate to the upper-indexes notation, a composition $\beta^{\varepsilon_{1}} Q^{i_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \beta^{\varepsilon_{k}} Q^{i_{k}}$ is admissible if and only if $p i_{l}-\varepsilon_{l} \geq i_{l-1}$ for all $l$, and is strongly admissible if and only if, in addition, $i_{1}-\sum_{l=2}^{k}\left[2(p-1) i_{l}-\varepsilon_{l}\right]>0$.

The Dyer-Lashof operations completely describe the structure of $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_{*}\left(\Sigma_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$.
Theorem 2.2. [2, 4.1 at page 40] Let $\iota \in H_{0}\left(\Sigma_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ be the homology class of any point in $B\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$. Let $H=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_{*}\left(\Sigma_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. Then $H$, under the product $*$ induced by inclusions $\Sigma_{n} \times \Sigma_{m} \rightarrow \Sigma_{n+m}$, is the free graded commutative algebra generated (in appropriate dimensions) by $Q^{I}(\iota)$ with I strongly admissible sequences. Moreover, the action of the operations $Q_{i}$ is determined by the properties listed above. In other words, it is isomorphic to the free allowable $\mathcal{R}$-algebra on $\iota$, as defined in [2] in Section I.2.

As a consequence, the basis for this algebra as a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-vector space is given by products of such $Q^{I}(\iota)$. We call these basis elements Nakaoka monomials.

We now want to define some cohomology classes, which we will prove to be Hopf-ring generators for $A$.

Definition 2.3. Let the symbol ${ }^{\vee}$ denote the linear dual with respect to the Nakaoka monomial basis of $H$. Now we define some classes:

- $\alpha_{j, k}=\left[Q^{p^{k-1}-p^{k-1-j}} \circ \cdots \circ Q^{p^{j}-1} \circ \beta Q^{p^{j-1}} \circ \cdots \circ Q^{p} \circ Q^{1}(\iota)\right]^{\vee}$
- $\beta_{j, k, m}=\left[\left(\beta Q^{p^{k-1}-p^{k-1-j}} \circ \cdots \circ Q^{p^{j+1}-p} \circ{\left.\left.\left.Q^{p^{j}-1} \circ \beta Q^{p^{j-1}} \circ \cdots \circ Q^{1}(\iota)\right)^{*^{m}}\right]^{\vee} /{ }^{\vee}\right)}\right.\right.$
- $\gamma_{k, m}=\left[\left(Q^{p^{k-1}} \circ \cdots \circ Q^{p} \circ Q^{1}(\iota)\right)^{*^{m}}\right]^{\vee}$

Note that $\alpha_{j, k}$ is an odd-dimensional homogeneous element of $A$, while $\beta_{j, k, m}$ and $\gamma_{k, m}$ are even dimensional. Note also that we can easily convert the sequences of operations that appear in the definition above into the lower-index notation. For example, $\gamma_{k, m}$ is the linear dual to

$$
(-1)^{k} Q_{2(p-1)}^{\circ^{k}}(\iota)^{*^{m}} .
$$

Similarly, the linear duals of $\alpha_{j, k}$ and $\beta_{j, k, m}$ can be written as non-zero multiples of the elements in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{p-1}^{\circ^{k-j}} \circ Q_{2 p-3} \circ Q_{2(p-1)}^{\circ^{j-1}}(\iota) \\
{\left[Q_{p-2} \circ Q_{p-1}^{\circ^{j-i-1}} \circ Q_{2 p-3} \circ Q_{2(p-1)}^{\circ^{i-1}}(\iota)\right]^{*^{m}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The structure of $A$ with only the transfer product has a nice description that can be obtained with essentially the same proof adopted by Giusti, Salvatore and Sinha in [3], using the fact that the Bockstein homomorphism is a derivation with respect to the cross product.

Theorem 2.4. [3, Theorem 4.13] For every sequence I of non-negative integers, $\Delta_{\odot}\left(Q_{I}(\iota)\right)=Q_{I}(\iota) \otimes 1+1 \otimes Q_{I}(\iota)$. In other words, $\left(H, \Delta_{\odot}, *\right)$, the Hopf dual of $(A, \Delta, \odot)$, is freely generated under * by elements that are primitive under $\Delta_{\odot}$. Hence $(A, \odot)$ is the tensor product of a divided power polynomial algebra and an exterior algebra:

$$
\bigotimes_{\substack{\operatorname{dim}\left(Q^{I}\right) \text { even } \\ k \in \mathbb{N}}} \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\left(Q^{I}(\iota)^{p^{k}}\right)^{\vee}\right]}{\left(\left[\left(Q^{I}(\iota)^{p^{k}}\right)^{\vee}\right]^{p}\right)} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\left(\left\{Q^{I}(\iota)^{\vee}\right\}_{\operatorname{dim}\left(Q^{I}\right) \text { odd }}\right)
$$

where the $Q^{I}$ indexing the tensor products above are the strongly admissible sequences of Dyer-Lashof operations $\beta^{\varepsilon_{1}} Q^{i_{1}} \ldots \ldots \beta^{\varepsilon_{k}} Q^{i_{k}}$. Moreover the following relations hold:

1. $\beta_{i, j, m} \odot \beta_{i, j, n}=\binom{n+m}{m} \beta_{i, j, n+m}$
2. $\gamma_{k, m} \odot \gamma_{k, n}=\binom{n+m}{m} \gamma_{k, n+m}$

Thus, as far as the transfer product is concerned, we have relations totally analogous to those described by Sinha, Giusti and Salvatore in the mod 2 case.

However, if the cohomology is taken modulo an odd prime, there are also non-trivial relations for the cup product of the generators, due to the more complicated structure of the dual of the Dyer-Lashof algebra. We state them in the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.5. With the previous notations, the following equalities hold:
3. $\alpha_{i, k} \alpha_{j, k}=\gamma_{k, 1} \beta_{i, j, p^{k-j}}$ if $i<j$.
4. $\beta_{i, j, p^{k-j}} \alpha_{l, k}=(-1)^{\rho} \beta_{\rho(i), \rho(j), p^{k-\rho(j)}} \alpha_{\rho(l), k}$ if $i, j, l$ are pairwise distinct, where $\rho$ is a permutation of the indexes $i, j, l$ such that $\rho(i)<\rho(j)$, while $\beta_{i, j, p^{k-j}} \alpha_{l, k}=0$ if $i, j, l$ are not pairwise distinct.
5. $\beta_{i, j, m} \beta_{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, m^{\prime}}=\left[(-1)^{\rho}\right]^{m} \beta_{\rho(i), \rho(j), m p^{j-\rho(j)}} \beta_{\rho\left(i^{\prime}\right), \rho\left(j^{\prime}\right), m^{\prime} p^{j^{\prime}-\rho\left(j^{\prime}\right)}}$ if we suppose that $m p^{j}=m^{\prime} p^{j^{\prime}}$ and that $i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}$ are pairwise distinct, where $\rho$ is a permutation of the indexes $i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}$ such that $\rho(i)<\rho(j)$ and $\rho\left(i^{\prime}\right)<\rho\left(j^{\prime}\right)$, while $\beta_{i, j, m} \beta_{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, m^{\prime}}=0$ otherwise.

Proof. This is an almost direct consequence of May-Cohen-Lada [2, Theorem 3.7 page 29]. Explicitly, let $\mathcal{R}$ be the Dyer-Lashof algebra as defined in [2]. Let $\mathcal{R}[k]$ be its $k^{\text {th }}$ component, so that $\mathcal{R}=\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{R}[k]$. The evaluation of DyerLashof operations on $\iota$ gives a morphism of coalgebras $\varphi_{k}: \mathcal{R}[k] \rightarrow H_{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{k}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, which dualizes to a map of algebras $\varphi_{k}^{*}: H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{k}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}[k]^{*}$.

Because of the theorem from [2] cited above, by definition these relations hold in the linear duals of $R[k]$. We are left to check them on the full set of Nakaoka monomials. When $m$ is a power of $p$ this follows immediately from the bialgebra structure of $(H, *, \Delta$. ), where $\Delta$.

Remark. The relations described above can be recalled by the properties of the Bockstein homomorphism $\beta$ in the duals, namely $\beta^{2}=0$ and the fact that $\beta$ commutes with the product.

Example. We provide a very simple example to show how the previous relations work. In $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{2}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ relation (3) reduces to:

$$
\alpha_{2,1} \alpha_{2,2}=\gamma_{2,1} \beta_{1,2,1}
$$

Instead, since we do not have three distinct indexes in $\{1,2\}$, the relations in form (4) can be written as $\beta_{1,2,1} \alpha_{1,2}=0$ and $\beta_{1,2,1} \alpha_{2,2}=0$. Similarly, (5) only assures that $\beta_{1,2,1}^{2}=0$.

For $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{3}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ the relations which can be obtained by 2.5 are:

- $\alpha_{1,3} \alpha_{2,3}=\gamma_{3,1} \beta_{1,2, p}, \alpha_{1,3} \alpha_{3,3}=\gamma_{3,1} \beta_{1,3,1}$ and $\alpha_{2,3} \alpha_{3,3}=\gamma_{3,1} \beta_{2,3,1}$.
- $\beta_{1,2, p} \alpha_{1,3}=\beta_{1,2, p} \alpha_{2,3}=\beta_{1,3,1} \alpha_{1,3}=\beta_{1,3,1} \alpha_{3,3}=\beta_{2,3,1} \alpha_{2,3}=\beta_{2,3,1} \alpha_{3,3}=$ 0 .
- $\beta_{1,2, p} \alpha_{3,3}=-\beta_{1,3,1} \alpha_{2,3}=\beta_{2,3,1} \alpha_{1,3} ;$
- $\beta_{1,2, p}^{2}=\beta_{1,2, p} \beta_{1,3,1}=\beta_{1,2, p} \beta_{2,3,1}=\beta_{1,3}^{2}=\beta_{1,3,1} \beta_{2,3,1}=\beta_{2,3}^{2}=0$.

We now turn to the coproduct in $A$. Using the fact that this is dual to the product of $H$ the following lemma follows from the definitions.

Lemma 2.6. The following equalities hold:

- $\Delta\left(\alpha_{j, k}\right)=\alpha_{j, k} \otimes 1+1 \otimes \alpha_{j, k}$
- $\Delta\left(\beta_{i, j, m}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{m}\left(\beta_{i, j, l} \otimes \beta_{i, j, m-l}\right)$
- $\Delta\left(\gamma_{k, m}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{m}\left(\gamma_{k, l} \otimes \gamma_{k, m-l}\right)$

At this point, we have all the ingredients to describe a presentation of $A$ as a Hopf ring analogous to that of Giusti, Salvatore and Sinha [3, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 2.7. As a graded commutative Hopf ring, A is generated by the elements $\alpha_{j, k}, \beta_{i, j, m}$ and $\gamma_{k, m}$ as defined above (of suitable dimensions) under the relations (1) to (5) as explained in 2.4 and in 2.5, together with the following:
6. The product • between two generators belonging to different components is 0.

Moreover the value of $\Delta$ on generators is determined by the preceding lemma and the antipode is the multiplication by $(-1)^{n}$ on the component corresponding to $\Sigma_{n}$.

Proof. Let $B=\left(B ; \odot_{B},{ }_{B}, \Delta_{B}\right)$ be the graded commutative Hopf ring generated by elements $\alpha_{j, k}, \beta_{i, j, m}$ and $\gamma_{k, m}$ (of suitable degree) with the specified relations. There is an obvious morphism $\psi: B \rightarrow A$.

One can see that using (3) to (5), $B$ is generated under $\odot$ only by elements that can be written in one of the two following forms:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\prod_{j} \gamma_{k_{j}, m_{j}} \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{r} \beta_{i_{2 a-1}, i_{2 a}, l p^{-i_{2 a}}} \\
\prod_{j} \gamma_{k_{j}, m_{j}} \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{r} \beta_{i_{2 a-1}, i_{2 a}, p^{c-i_{2 a}}} \beta_{i_{2 a-1}, i_{2 a}, p^{c-i_{2 a}}} \alpha_{i_{2 r+1}, c} \tag{or}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here in the first case $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{2 r}, p^{i_{2 r}} \leq l$ and $p^{k_{j}} m_{j}=l$, while in the second case $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{2 r+1} \leq c$ and $m_{j} p^{k_{j}}=p^{c}$. We will always suppose that the $k_{j}$ are arranged in non-increasing order. Borrowing the notation from [3], we will call these elements gathered blocks or simply blocks. By relations (3), (4), (5) and (6) these are all the elements that can be obtained from the generators by applying ${ }_{B}$. We will call Hopf monomials the objects in the form $b_{1} \odot_{B} \cdots \odot_{B} b_{s}$, where every $b_{j}$ is a gathered block.

Then, using relations (1), (2) and (6) and Hopf distributivity, one can prove that for every gathered block $b$ (of even dimension), we have $b^{{ }^{p}}=0$. Let us define an algebra

$$
C=\bigotimes_{\substack{d, k \geq 0 \\ b \in H^{2 d}\left(\Sigma_{p^{k}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \text { block }}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[b]}{b^{p}}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\left(\left\{b \text { block }: b \in H^{2 d+1}\left(\Sigma_{p^{k}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right), d, k \geq 0\right\}\right)
$$

where $\Lambda(X)$ indicates the exterior algebra generated by the elements of $X$ (in appropriate degrees). By virtue of the above property, there is a morphism $\chi: C \rightarrow(B, \odot)$. Moreover, notice that, by Hopf distributivity and our coproduct formula for the generators, the following formula holds:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\prod_{j} \gamma_{k_{j}, m_{j}} \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{r} \beta_{i_{2 a-1}, i_{2 a}, l p^{-i_{2 a}}} \odot \prod_{j} \gamma_{k_{j}, m_{j}^{\prime}} \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{r} \beta_{i_{2 a-1}, i_{2 a}, l^{\prime} p^{-i_{2 a}}} \\
\quad=\binom{l+l^{\prime}}{l} \prod_{j} \gamma_{k_{j}, m_{j}+m_{j}^{\prime}} \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{r} \beta_{i_{2 a-1}, i_{2 a},\left(l+l^{\prime}\right) p^{-i_{2 a}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, every gathered block can be written uniquely as a non-zero multiple of gathered blocks which lie in components indexed by a power of $p$. This proves that $\chi$ is surjective. 2.2 and 2.4 imply that the composition $\psi \circ \chi: C \rightarrow(A, \odot)$ is an isomorphism, proving the theorem.

## 3 Presentation of product structures through an additive basis

In this section we will observe that the previous theorem allows us to obtain an additive basis of $A$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-vector space, similar to that in [3]. In order to describe this basis, we need a preliminary definition.

Definition 3.1. Let $b=\gamma_{k_{1}, m_{1}} \ldots \gamma_{k_{s}, m_{s}} \beta_{i_{1}, i_{2}, m_{1}^{\prime}} \ldots \beta_{i_{2 a-1}, i_{2 a}, m_{a}^{\prime}}$ be an evendimensional gathered block and $r=2 a$. We define the profile of $b$ as the couple $(\underline{k}, \underline{e})$, where $\underline{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}\right)$, and we suppose that, as usual, $k_{j}$ is arranged in non-increasing order, while $\underline{e}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$.

For example, the profile of $\gamma_{2,2}^{3} \gamma_{1,2 p} \beta_{1,2,2}$ is $(\underline{k}, \underline{e})$, with $\underline{k}=(2,2,2,1)$ and $\underline{e}=(1,2)$.

The following result is an easy consequence of the proof of 2.7
Corollary 3.2. Consider the set $\mathcal{M}$ of all Hopf monomials $\bigodot_{i=1}^{r} b_{i}$ with the property that the gathered blocks $b_{i}$ of even dimension have pairwise distinct profiles, and the odd-dimensional blocks are pairwise distinct. This is a bigraded basis for $A$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-vector space.

It must be noted that the pairing between this basis in cohomology and the Nakaoka monomials in homology is not completely understood. Indeed,
the necessity to apply the Adem relations to describe the coproduct dual to • in terms of this basis complicates this pairing. For example, if $p=3, \gamma_{1,3}^{4}=$ $\left(Q^{8} \circ Q^{4}(\iota)\right)^{\vee}-\left(Q^{9} \circ Q^{3}(\iota)\right)^{\vee}$, because the formula for the coproduct $\Delta$. of $Q^{9} \circ Q^{3}(\iota)$ yields an addend $Q^{3} \circ Q^{0}(\iota) \otimes Q^{6} \circ Q^{3}(\iota)$, which can be written as $-Q^{2} \circ Q^{1}(\iota) \otimes Q^{6} \circ Q^{3}(\iota)$.

It is helpful to give a graphical description of this basis, similar to that obtained in [3]. First, we describe the generators as rectangles:

- $\gamma_{k, n}$ as a hollow rectangle of width $n p^{k}$ and height $2\left(1-p^{-k}\right)$.
- $\beta_{j, k, n}$ as a solid rectangle of width $n p^{k}$ and height $2\left(1-p^{-j}-p^{-k}\right)$.
- $\alpha_{j, k}$ as a solid rectangle of width $p^{k}$ and height $2\left(1-p^{-j}\right)-p^{-k}$.

In this way, the area of the rectangle is the homological dimension of the corresponding generator and its width accounts for the component in which the generator lies. Hollow rectangles represent generators whose linear duals in the Nakaoka basis lie in the subalgebra of $H$ generated by sequences of Dyer-Lashof operations $Q^{i_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ Q^{i_{k}}(\iota)$ without the Bockstein. In terms of lower-indexed operations, these are written as multiples of $Q_{j_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ Q_{j_{k}}(\iota)$ where every $j_{l}$ is even. These generators behave very similarly to the ones obtained in the mod 2 case. The other generators correspond to solid rectangles. We describe a gathered monomial, which is a product of $\gamma_{k, n}, \beta_{j, k, m}$ and possibly $\alpha_{j, k}$ all lying in the same component, as the column obtained by placing the corresponding rectangles on top of each other. A basis element, which is a transfer product of some gathered monomials $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}$, is described by the diagram obtained by arranging the columns corresponding to $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}$ next to each other horizontally. In order to conform to the notation used in [3], we will call these objects skyline diagrams. Some examples of skyline diagrams are depicted in Figure 1 below.

With the aid of this graphical description, we can elucidate the relations (3), (4) and (5) of 2.5. First, observe that the rectangles of a column associated with a gathered block must satisfy some necessary condition. For example, there must be at most one odd-dimensional solid rectangle. This leads to the following definition.
Definition 3.3. A column made of rectangles with the same width stacked one onto the other is called admissible if it is associated with a gathered block.

As we will see at the end of this section, the cup product of two columns is essentially described as a new column obtained by stacking the original ones on top of each other. Hence relation (3) says that, if a column of width $l$ contains two odd-dimensional solid rectangles, we can replace them with a hollow rectangle of height $2\left(1-l^{-1}\right)$ and another solid rectangle to match the column's height. For the graphical representation of relation (3) see the first example in Figure 1.

Relations (4) and (5) determine how cup products of generators of the form $\beta_{i, j, m}$ and $\alpha_{j, k}$ behave when some indexes are permuted. Their graphical interpretation is that if two columns are made only with solid rectangles of which


Figure 1: Examples of calculations using the graphical representation. The size of the rectangles is correct only for $p=3$, but the same calculations with classes understood to be in different degrees are actually true for every $p$.
at most one is odd dimensional, they must be equal up to sign. Given such a column, there are in fact two cases:

- If no admissible all-solid column of the same width and height exists, then it is 0 .
- Otherwise it is equal, up to sign, to the (necessarily unique) admissible all-solid column with the same dimensions.

Note that this gives a simple algorithm to write a non-admissible column as a multiple of an admissible one, which is the graphical counterpart of what we observed in the proof of 2.7 .

With this basis, one can give a description of the products. For example, in $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{2}}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, let $x$ be one of the elements $\gamma_{2,1}, \alpha_{1,2}, \alpha_{2,2}$ or $\beta_{1,2,1}$. We have $x\left(\gamma_{1, k} \odot 1_{p(p-k)}\right)=0$ for $1 \leq k \leq p-1$. Indeed $\Delta(x)=x \otimes 1+1 \otimes x$, hence
by Hopf ring distributivity:
$x\left(\gamma_{1, k} \odot 1_{p(p-k)}\right)=x \gamma_{1, k} \odot 1_{p(p-k)}+\gamma_{1, k} \odot x 1_{p(p-k)}=0 \odot 1_{p(p-k)}+\gamma_{1, k} \odot 0=0$
Similarly one can prove that $x\left(\gamma_{1, k-1} \odot \alpha_{1,1} \odot 1_{p(p-k)}\right)=0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq p$.
The general case can be derived in the exact same way as described by Giusti, Salvatore and Sinha [3, Section 6] and is indeed a straightforward consequence of the Hopf ring presentation. For this reason, we omit the proofs.

We begin with the transfer product, that can be described very easily. Given two Hopf monomials $x=b_{1} \odot \cdots \odot b_{r}$ and $y=b_{1}^{\prime} \odot \cdots \odot b_{s}^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{M}$, the transfer product $x \odot y$ is again a Hopf monomial, but it may have gathered blocks with the same profile. However, two even-dimensional gathered blocks with the same profile can be merged together using the formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\gamma_{k_{1}, m_{1}} \ldots \gamma_{k_{r}, m_{r}} \beta_{i_{1}, i_{2}, n_{1}} \ldots \beta_{i_{2 a-1}, i_{2 a}, n_{a}}\right) \odot\left(\gamma_{k_{1}, m_{1}^{\prime}} \ldots \beta_{i_{2 a-1}, i_{2 a}, n_{a}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \quad=\binom{m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{1}} \gamma_{k_{1}, m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}} \ldots \beta_{i_{2 a-1}, i_{2 a}, n_{a}+n_{a}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this way, we can write $x \odot y$ as a multiple of an element of $\mathcal{M}$. Graphically, the transfer product corresponds to placing two skyline diagrams next to each other, merging two columns if they have constituent blocks of the same height and multiplying by $\binom{n+m}{n}$, where $n$ and $m$ are the widths of the two columns.

In order to provide a formula for the coproduct, we need the following
Definition 3.4. Let $b=\gamma_{l_{1}, m_{1}} \ldots \gamma_{l_{r}, m_{r}} \beta_{i_{1}, i_{2}, n_{1}} \ldots \beta_{i_{2 s-1}, i_{2 s}, n_{s}}$ be an evendimensional gathered block. Let $c(b)=p^{l_{1}} m_{1}=\cdots=p^{i_{s}} n_{s}$ be the integer corresponding to the component of $A$ in which $b$ lies. We say that a $k$-tuple $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ of gathered blocks is a partition of $b$ if every $b_{i}$ has the same profile of $b$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} c\left(b_{i}\right)=c(b)$. Some $c\left(b_{i}\right)$ are allowed to be 0 , in which case $b_{i}$ is understood to be $1_{0}$. If $b$ is an odd-dimensional block, a partition is defined in the same way, but we only allow $b_{i}$ to be equal to $1_{0}$ or to $b$ itself. A partition with $k=2$ is called a splitting.

The coproduct of elements of $\mathcal{M}$ can be calculated with the following formula:

$$
\Delta\left(b_{1} \odot \cdots \odot b_{s}\right)=\sum\left(b_{1}^{\prime} \odot \cdots \odot b_{s}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(b_{1}^{\prime \prime} \odot \cdots \odot b_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Here, the sum is taken over all the possible splittings $\left\{b_{i}^{\prime}, b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ of the constituent blocks $b_{i}$. In terms of our graphical representation, the coproduct can be described by dividing each rectangle corresponding to $\gamma_{k, n}$ or $\beta_{j, k, n}$ in $n$ equal parts using vertical dashed lines. The coproduct of a skyline diagram is obtained by cutting each column along the dashed lines that cross it from top to bottom and partitioning them into two to create two other skyline diagrams. This must be done in every possible way and all the outcomes must be summed.

The formula for the cup product of two elements of $\mathcal{M}$ is the following:

$$
\left(b_{1} \odot \cdots \odot b_{r}\right) \cdot\left(b_{1}^{\prime} \odot \cdots \odot b_{s}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\left(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)}(-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}}} \bigodot_{j=1}^{s} \bigodot_{i=1}^{r}\left(b_{i, j} b_{j, i}^{\prime}\right)
$$

In this formula, the sum is over all couples $\left(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$ of sets $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(b_{i, 1}, \ldots, b_{i, s}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{r}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(b_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{i, r}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{s}$, such that $\left(b_{i, 1}, \ldots, b_{i, s}\right)$ is a partition of $b_{i}$, while $\left(b_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{i, r}^{\prime}\right)$ is a partition of $b_{i}^{\prime}$. The number $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}}$ is given by:

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}}=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq s \\ 1 \leq k \leq r}} \operatorname{dim}\left(b_{i, k}^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{dim}\left(b_{k, j}\right)+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq h<k \leq r \\ 1 \leq i \leq s}} \operatorname{dim}\left(b_{i, h}^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{dim}\left(b_{k, i}\right)
$$

The coefficient $(-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}}}$ is due to the skew-commutativity of the product. Since the cup product of two gathered blocks, when it is not zero, is equal up to sign to a gathered block, each addend in the previous formula is zero or can be written, up to sign, as a transfer product of gathered blocks. Thus, omitting all the zero addends and eventually merging together the transfer product of gathered blocks with the same profile as before, we can write the desired cup product as a linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{M}$. Note that one can restrict the sum to the $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ such that $b_{i, j}$ and $b_{j, i}^{\prime}$ lie in the same component, as the other terms are equal to 0 .

Graphically, if we are given two skyline diagrams, in order to compute their cup product, we apply the following algorithm:

1. Divide the rectangles with vertical dashed lines as explained before.
2. Divide each diagram into columns using both the boundaries of the rectangles and the vertical dashed lines.
3. Match each column of the first diagram with a column of the second one in all possible ways up to automorphisms, stack the matched columns one on top of the other and place these newly constructed columns side by side to make new diagrams.
4. These diagrams may contain a couple of columns with the same profiles. In this case we must use the transfer product formula to merge them. There may also be non-admissible columns, that we must write as a multiple of admissible ones via the previously described algorithm.

For clarity, we compute two examples, represented graphically in Figure 1:

- Let $x=\gamma_{1,1}^{i} \alpha_{1,1} \odot \gamma_{1,1}^{j} \alpha_{1,1}$ and $y=\gamma_{1,2}$. Since $x$ is made of two columns of width $p$, the only splitting of $y$ which can yield a non-trivial addend in the formula for the cup product is $\left(\gamma_{1,1}, \gamma_{1,1}\right)$. Hence:

$$
x \cdot y=\gamma_{1,1}^{i} \alpha_{1,1} \gamma_{1,1} \odot \gamma_{1,1}^{j} \alpha_{1,1} \gamma_{1,1}=\gamma_{1,1}^{i+1} \alpha_{1,1} \odot \gamma_{1,1}^{j+1} \alpha_{1,1}
$$

Working graphically, the rectangle corresponding to $y$ should be divided with a dashed line into two equal parts $\left(\gamma_{1,1}\right)$. Up to automorphisms, there
is only one way to match the columns of $x$ with them. Stacking matched columns is equivalent to adding one hollow rectangle of height $2\left(1-p^{-1}\right)$ to each column of $x$.

- Let $x=\gamma_{2,1} \alpha_{2,2} \odot \gamma_{1,1} \odot 1_{p}$ and $y=\alpha_{1,2} \odot \gamma_{1,1} \odot 1_{p}$. The only two partitions of $x$ that can yield a non-trivial addend in the cup product are $\left(\gamma_{2,1} \alpha_{2,2}, \gamma_{1,1}, 1_{p}\right)$ and $\left(\gamma_{2,1} \alpha_{2,2}, 1_{p}, \gamma_{1,1}\right)$. Thus, by our formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \cdot y & =\gamma_{2,1} \alpha_{2,2} \alpha_{1,2} \odot \gamma_{1,1}^{2} \odot 1_{p}+\gamma_{2,1} \alpha_{2,2} \alpha_{1,2} \odot \gamma_{1,1} \odot \gamma_{1,1} \\
& =-\gamma_{2,1}^{2} \beta_{1,2,1}-2 \gamma_{2,1}^{2} \beta_{1,2,1} \odot \gamma_{1,2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Graphically, there are two possible matches of the columns of $x$ and $y$ because we only need to ensure that the two largest columns match together. When we stack the two large columns one on top of the other we obtain a non-admissible column that can be transformed as described in the figure. By stacking the remaining columns in the two possible ways, we obtain the two skyline diagrams on the left. In one diagram, two rectangles with the same height have been merged together, and a coefficient of 2 appears.

## 4 Restriction to modular invariants

Consider the regular representation of $V_{n}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$ (the action of $V_{n}$ on itself given by the usual $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-vector space addition). This gives a map $V_{n} \rightarrow \Sigma_{p^{n}}$, as the set $V_{n}$ has cardinality $p^{n}$. This section is devoted to the computation of the restriction map $\rho_{n}: H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(V_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, induced by this immersion. This is related to the action of the Steenrod algebra on our Hopf ring generators, as we will see in the next section.

First, recall that $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is isomorphic as a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-algebra to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[y] \otimes \Lambda(x)$, where $x$ and $y$ are generators respectively of the first and the second cohomology groups. We will also suppose that $\beta(x)=y$, where $\beta$ is the cohomology Bockstein. Hence, by Künneth formula:

$$
H^{*}\left(V_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=H^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{\otimes^{n}}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] \otimes \Lambda\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

Recall that, by a result in Adem and Milgram's book [1, Corollary 1.8 page 182] the image of $\rho_{n}$ is contained in the invariant subalgebra $\left[\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] \otimes \Lambda\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right]^{G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$, which was determined by Múi in [7]. In particular, the product gives a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-vector space isomorphism of the previous algebra with $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[d_{0, n}, \ldots, d_{n-1,1}\right] \otimes M$, where $M$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-vector space with basis $\left\{R_{n, \underline{s}}: 0 \leq s_{1}<\cdots<s_{l}<n\right\}$ indexed by subsets of $\{0, \ldots n-1\}$.

The objects $d_{k, n-k}$ and $R_{n, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}}$ are defined by Múi in terms of some determinants. More precisely, we can define $L_{n, k}=\operatorname{det}\left[y_{i}^{p^{j-\delta_{j \leq k}}}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ and by
letting • mean 'omit':

$$
M_{n, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}}=\frac{1}{l!} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
x_{1} & \ldots & x_{1} & y_{1} & \ldots & \widehat{y_{1}^{p_{1}}} & \ldots & \widehat{y_{1}^{p_{l}}} & \ldots & y_{1}^{p^{n-1}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{n} & \ldots & x_{n} & y_{n} & \ldots & y_{n}^{p^{s_{1}}} & \ldots & \widehat{y_{n}^{p_{l}}} & \ldots & y_{n}^{p^{n-1}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Additionally, we have the equalities $d_{k, n-k}=\frac{L_{n, k}}{L_{n, n}}$ and $R_{n, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}}=M_{n, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}} L_{n, k}^{p-2}$. Note that the dimensions of $d_{k, n-k}$ and $R_{n, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}}$ are equal to $2\left(p^{n}-p^{k}\right)$ and to $l+2\left(p^{n}-1-\sum_{j=1}^{l} p^{s_{j}}\right)$, respectively.

Thus, as an algebra, $\left[\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] \otimes \Lambda\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right]^{G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$ is generated by these objects $d_{k, n-k}$, which are the classical Dickson invariants, and $R_{n, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}}$ and the product structure is determined by $d_{0, n}$ being a non-zero divisor and the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}}^{2} & =0 \\
R_{n, s_{1}} \ldots R_{n, s_{l}} & =(-1)^{\frac{l(l-1)}{2}} R_{n, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}} d_{0, n}^{l-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Much is known about these classes. For example, the Steenrod algebra action, which we will need soon, has been determined by Hung and Minh, whose result we recall here:

Theorem 4.1. [4, page 42] Let $0 \leq r<p^{n}$. Let $r=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} p^{i}$ be the $p$-adic expansion of $r$. We agree that $a_{-1}=0$ by convention. Then:

- $\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(d_{s, n-s}\right)$ is 0 unless $a_{i} \geq a_{i-1}$ for all $0 \leq i<n, i \neq s$ and $a_{s}+1 \geq a_{s-1}$. In this case it is given by the formula

$$
\lambda_{r, n, s} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} d_{i, n-i}^{a_{i}-a_{i-1}+\delta_{i, s}}
$$

where $\delta_{i, s}$ is equal to 1 if $i=s$ and is 0 otherwise, and the following formula for $\lambda_{r, n, s}$ holds:

$$
\lambda_{r, n, s}=\frac{(p-1)!}{\left(p-1-a_{n-1}\right)!\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, i \neq s}\left(a_{i}-a_{i-1}\right)!\left(a_{s}+1-a_{s-1}\right)!}\left(a_{s}+1\right)
$$

- $\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(R_{n, s}\right)$ is 0 unless $a_{i} \in\{0,1\}, a_{i} \geq a_{i-1}$ for all $i \neq s$ and $a_{s}=0$. This condition is equivalent to $r=(p-1)^{-1}\left(p^{n}+p^{s}-p^{t_{1}}-p^{t_{2}}\right)$ for some $t_{1} \leq$ $s<t_{2} \leq n$. In this case:

$$
\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(R_{n, s}\right)=R_{n, t_{1}} d_{t_{2}, n-t_{2}}-R_{n, t_{2}} d_{t_{1}, n-t_{1}}
$$

Here, we use the convention that $R_{n, n}=0$ and $d_{n, 0}=1$.

- $\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(R_{n, s_{1}, s_{2}}\right)$ is 0 unless $a_{i} \in\{0,1\}, a_{i} \geq a_{i-1}$ for $i \neq s_{1}, s_{2}$ and $a_{s_{1}}=$ $a_{s_{2}}=0$. This condition is equivalent to $r=(p-1)^{-1}\left(p^{n}+p^{s_{1}}+p^{s_{2}}-p^{t_{1}}-p^{t_{2}}-p^{t_{3}}\right)$ for some $t_{1} \leq s_{1}<t_{2} \leq s_{2}<t_{3} \leq n$. In this case, the following formula holds:

$$
\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(R_{n, s_{1}, s_{2}}\right)=R_{n, t_{1}, t_{2}} d_{t_{3}, n-t_{3}}-R_{n, t_{1}, t_{2}} d_{t_{2}, n-t_{2}}+R_{n, t_{2}, t_{3}} d_{t_{1}, n-t_{1}}
$$

Again, we agree that $R_{n, s, n}=0$ and $d_{0, n}=1$.
Although we will not need this fact, it can be observed that, for $\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(d_{s, n-s}\right)$, the coefficients $\lambda_{r, n, s}$ assume a nicer form if we express them as functions of the exponents $e_{i}=a_{i}+a_{i-1}+\delta_{i, s}$ that appear in the expression on the right. Explicitly:

$$
p \lambda_{r, n, s}=\frac{p!}{\left(p-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_{i}\right)!\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} e_{i}!} \sum_{i=0}^{s} e_{i}
$$

The first factor on the right is the number of choices of disjoint subsets of cardinality $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ in $\{1, \ldots p\}$. After introducing the appropriate notions in 5 , it will be obvious that $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_{i}$ ! counts the number of factors with an 'effective scale' of at least $n-s$.

We now need a preliminary lemma.
Lemma 4.2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We define $J_{k}$ as the $k$-uple $(2(p-1), \ldots, 2(p-1))$. Let $J=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}\right)$ be a sequence of non-negative integers (not necessarily admissible). Then, if $Q_{J}=\sum_{J^{\prime}}$ admissible $\lambda_{J, J^{\prime}} Q_{J^{\prime}}$ is the expansion of $Q_{J}$ as a linear combination of admissible sequences of operations, then $\lambda_{J, J_{k}}=0$ unless $J=J_{k}$.
Proof. We recall that $Q_{J_{k}}= \pm Q^{p^{k-1}} \circ \cdots \circ Q^{p} \circ Q^{1}$ and use upper indexes, since Adem relations assume a much better form this way. Given a non-admissible sequence in $\mathcal{R}$, its expansion in the admissible basis is obtained by reiterative applications of the Adem relations. Hence, in order to prove the lemma, it is enough to check that for every $\beta^{\varepsilon} Q^{r} \beta^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} Q^{s}$ with $r>p s-\varepsilon^{\prime}$, when we apply the suitable Adem relation written as in 2, the expression we obtain does not contain an addend in the form $\lambda Q^{p^{l+1}} Q^{p^{l}}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$. This is obvious if $\varepsilon \neq 0$ or $\varepsilon^{\prime} \neq 0$. If $\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{\prime}=0$, then $Q^{r} \circ Q^{s}=\sum_{i} c_{i} Q^{r+s-i} Q^{i}$ for some coefficient $c_{i}$ which are different from 0 only if $p i \geq r$. If there exists $\bar{i}, c_{\bar{i}} \neq 0$, $r+s-\bar{i}=p^{l+1}$ and $\bar{i}=p^{l}$, then $r+s=p^{l+1}+p^{l}$ and $r>p s$ implies $r>p^{l+1}$. This is contradictory because $p \bar{i}=p^{l+1}<r$.

We will also need to know how the transfer product behaves with respect to the restriction maps.

Lemma 4.3. If $x_{1} \in H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{r} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and $x_{2} \in H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n}-r} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ are Hopf monomials that are different from 1 , then $\rho_{n}^{*}\left(x_{1} \odot x_{2}\right)=0$.

Proof. Recall that the inclusion of $V_{n}$ in $\Sigma_{p^{n}}$ factors through the iterated wreath product $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \prec\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} \prec \cdots \imath\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} \imath \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)\right)$ (see Adem and Milgram's book [1] at page 185).

By construction, the image in $H_{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ of the homology of this subgroup is given by Dyer-Lashof operations of length $n$. Hence, $H_{*}\left(V_{n}\right)$ maps onto the linear span of these classes, which are primitive with respect to $\Delta_{\odot}$. As a consequence, they must pair trivially with $x_{1} \odot x_{2}$.

We are now ready to describe the action of $\rho_{n}$ on the generators, which is the analog of [3, Corollary 7.6] but is proved using a different technique.

Proposition 4.4. The following formulas hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{j+k}\left(\alpha_{j, j+k}\right) & =(-1)^{j} R_{j+k, k} \\
\rho_{j+k}\left(\beta_{i, j, p^{k}}\right) & =(-1)^{k+i} R_{j+k, k, k+j-i} \\
\rho_{j+k}\left(\gamma_{j, p^{k}}\right) & =(-1)^{j} d_{k, j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. To prove the proposition, we will take advantage of the way Steenrod operations are constructed to inductively compute $\rho_{j}\left(\gamma_{j, 1}\right)$. Then, we will use the naturality of the Steenrod action to work out the remaining cases. The core of this idea was originally used by Mann in [5] to compute im $\left(\rho_{j}\right)$. To a certain extent, we follow his reasoning, but we are also able to reconcile this approach with the Hopf ring structure and to describe in simpler terms the classes in the cohomology of $\Sigma_{p^{j}}$ which restrict to $d_{l, j-l}, R_{j, l}$ and $R_{j, l, m}$.

First, we will prove that $\rho_{j}\left(\gamma_{j, 1}\right)=(-1)^{j} d_{0, j}$, or equivalently, by shifting to the lower-index notation, $\rho_{j}\left(Q_{J_{j}}(\iota)^{\vee}\right)=d_{0, j}$, where $J_{j}$ is the $j$-tuple defined in 4.2.

Let us identify $H_{*}\left(V_{j} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ with $H_{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \otimes H_{*}\left(V_{j-1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. The homomor$\operatorname{phism}\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{*}: H_{*}\left(V_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ satisfies, for every $x \in H_{s}\left(V_{n-1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and for every $r \geq 0$, the formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{*}\left(e_{r} \otimes x\right) & =\nu(s) \sum_{k}(-1)^{k} Q_{r+2 k-s} \circ \mathcal{P}_{*}^{k}(x) \\
& -\delta(r) \nu(s-1) \sum_{k}(-1)^{k} Q_{r+p+(2 p k-s)(p-1)} \circ \mathcal{P}_{*}^{k} \beta(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\mathcal{P}_{*}^{k}$ is the linear dual to the $k^{t h}$ Steenrod power $\mathcal{P}^{k}, \nu(2 j+\varepsilon)=(-1)^{j}\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!^{\varepsilon}$, $\delta(2 j+\varepsilon)=\varepsilon$ if $\varepsilon \in\{0,1\}$. This is stated in a paper by May [6, Proposition 9.1 at page 205], where it is used as a preliminary step for the proof of Nishida relations, and is essentially the dualization of the original construction of $\mathcal{P}^{k}$ made by Steenrod.

Note that, by 4.2, all the addends in the previous formula pair trivially with $Q_{J_{j}}(\iota)^{\vee}$, except possibly those in the form $Q_{r+2 k-s} \circ \mathcal{P}_{*}^{k}(x)$ with $r+$ $2 k-s=2(p-1)$ and $s-2 k(p-1)=2\left(p^{j-1}-1\right)$. This means that $r=$ $\left(p^{j-1}-l\right)(p-1)$ and $s=2\left(p^{j-1}-1\right)+2 k(p-1)$. Hence, dually, we have:

$$
\rho_{j}\left(Q_{J_{j}}(\iota)^{\vee}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{p^{j-1}-1}(-1)^{k} \mathcal{P}^{k} \rho_{j-1}\left(Q_{J_{j-1}}^{\vee}\right) y_{j}^{(p-1)\left(p^{j-1}-1\right)}
$$

This implies by induction on $j$ that the right member is equal to $d_{0, j}$. Explicitly, for $j=1$ the statement is trivial. For $j>1$, by induction hypothesis, $\rho_{j}\left(Q_{J_{j}}(\iota)^{\vee}\right)$ is a $G L_{j}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$-invariant polynomial in $H^{*}\left(V_{j-1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)\left[y_{j}\right]$ whose leading coefficient is $d_{0, j-1}^{p}$. This must be $d_{0, j}$.

The calculations of $\rho_{n}(x)$ for $\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}$ with $k>0, \alpha_{j, n}$ and $\beta_{i, j, p^{n-j}}$ follow directly from the naturality of the Steenrod powers with respect to the restrictions $\rho_{n}$ and from the formulas in May-Cohen-Lada [2, Theorem 3.9], which determine the Steenrod action on the dual of $\mathcal{R}[n]$. These formulas are true in $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ only up to addends containing non-trivial transfer products, but they still determine $\rho_{n} \circ \mathcal{P}^{r}$ on Hopf ring generators because of 4.3. Comparison with the Steenrod powers of Múi invariants as determined by Hung and Minh in $[4$, Theorems B and C] yields the result.

As a corollary, we obtain a known fact about the image of $\rho_{n}$.
Corollary 4.5. [5, Theorem A] The image of $\rho_{n}$ in $H^{*}\left(V_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$ is the subalgebra generated by $d_{j, n-j}, R_{n, j}$ and $R_{n, i, j}$. This can be described as:

$$
\bigoplus_{l=0}^{n} \bigoplus_{0 \leq s_{1}<\cdots<s_{l}<n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[d_{0, n}, \ldots, d_{n-1,1}\right] d_{0, n}^{[l / 2\rceil, 0} R_{n, \underline{s}}
$$

Hence, in general, $\rho_{k}$ is not surjective.

## 5 Steenrod algebra action

This section is devoted to the computation of the action of the Steenrod powers on the Hopf ring $A$. We will achieve this by combining the calculations of 4.4 to the ideas used by Giusti, Salvatore and Sinha [3, Section 8] for the mod 2 cohomology.

First note that, as in the mod 2 case, the products $\odot$ and $\cdot$, the coproduct $\Delta$ and the antipode are induced from stable maps; hence, there are Cartan formulas for all these. This means that $A$ is a Hopf ring over the $\bmod p$ Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}(p)$, so it is sufficient to determine the action of $\beta^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{P}^{l}(l \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon \in\{0,1\})$ on the Hopf ring generators $\alpha_{j, j+k}, \beta_{i, j, p^{k}}$ and $\gamma_{j, p^{k}}$.

In order to describe the Steenrod algebra action on $A$ in terms of our additive basis, we introduce some notation.

Definition 5.1. - The height (ht) of a gathered block $b$ is the number of generators that must be cup-multiplied to obtain $b$. The height of a Hopf monomial is the largest of the heights of its constituent blocks.

- We define the effective scale (effsc) of a gathered block, which we assume in the form $b=\gamma_{l_{1}, n_{1}} \ldots \gamma_{l_{r}, n_{r}} \beta_{i_{1}, i_{2}, m_{1}} \ldots \beta_{i_{2 s-1}, i_{2 s}, m_{s}} \alpha_{j, k}^{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon=0,1)$ as the largest of the integers $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r}, i_{2 s}$ if $\varepsilon=0$, or as $k$ if $\varepsilon=1$. In other words, for $b \in H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, effsc $(x)$ is the minimum $k \geq 0$ such that the restriction of $x$ to $\Sigma_{p^{k}}^{p^{n-k}}$ is not zero. The effective scale of a Hopf monomial is the minimum of the effective scales of its constituent blocks.
- We say that a Hopf monomial is full-width if none of its constituent blocks is $1_{\Sigma_{n}}$.
- We say that a gathered block is of Type $A$ if if all the Hopf ring generators that must be cup-multiplied to obtain it are in the form $\gamma_{l, n}$, except one that is in the form $\alpha_{j, k}$. For example, $\gamma_{1, p^{2}}^{3} \alpha_{1,3}$ is of Type A. A Hopf monomial is of Type A if all its constituent blocks are of Type A.
- We say that a gathered block is of Type $B$ if all the Hopf ring generators that appear in it are in the form $\gamma_{l, n}$, except one in the form $\beta_{i, j, m}$. For example, $\gamma_{3,1}^{5} \gamma_{2, p}^{2} \beta_{1,2,3}$ is of Type B. A Hopf monomial is of Type B if all its constituent blocks are of Type B.
- We say that a Hopf monomial is of Type $C$ if it is obtained by applying . and $\odot$ only to elements in the form $\gamma_{l, n}$.

These definitions can be understood graphically. Given a skyline diagram:

- its height is the maximal number of rectangles stacked one on top of the others that appear in the diagram.
- its effective scale is the width of the thinner column among those delineated by the original boundaries and the vertical dashed lines of full height.
- it is full-width if there are not columns of height 0 .
- it is of type A if its columns contain exactly one solid rectangle and it is odd dimensional. It is of type B if its columns contain exactly one solid rectangle and it is even dimensional, while it is of type C if it is made only of hollow rectangles.

The definitions of height, effective scale and full-width monomial are borrowed from [3] and make sense also for the mod 2 cohomology.

We will also need the following result from Adem and Milgram's book:
Lemma 5.2. [1, Corollary 1.4 page 180] Let $\rho_{n}$ and $\tau_{n}$ be the natural restrictions from the cohomology of $\Sigma_{p^{n}}$ to $H^{*}\left(V_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n-1}}^{p} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \cong$ $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n-1}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{\otimes^{p}}$ respectively. The following homomorphism, whose components are $\rho_{n}$ and $\tau_{n}$, is injective:

$$
H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(V_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \oplus H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n-1}}^{p} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
$$

This lemma is derived in [1] by proving that elementary abelian subgroups detect the cohomology of $\Sigma_{p^{n}}$, and that all these groups are conjugate to subgroups of $\Sigma_{p^{n-1}}^{p}$ or to $V_{n}$. However, the same result can be re-obtained as a consequence of our description. Indeed, the restriction of $\rho_{n}$ to the linear span of Hopf monomials of height $n$ in $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is injective by 4.4. These monomials map trivially to $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n-1}}^{p} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. Recall that a basis for $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n-1}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{\otimes^{p}}$
is given by $x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{p}$, where $x_{i}$ are Hopf monomials and that $\tau_{n}$ can be identified with the iterated coproduct. Let $x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{p}$ be such a basis element. By our coproduct formulas, there exists exactly one Hopf monomial $x \in H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ of height less that $n$ such that $x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{p}$ appears with a non-zero coefficient in the expansion of $\tau_{n}(x)$. Explicitly, $x$ is the transfer product of the gathered blocks $b \in H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{m} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ such that, for every $1 \leq i \leq p$, there is $b_{i} \in H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{m_{i}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ that is a constituent block of $x_{i}$ with the same profile of $b$ and $\sum_{i} m_{i}=m$ (some $m_{i}$ are allowed to be 0 ). This implies the lemma.

With these tools, we can obtain formulas for the Steenrod action on $A$. The idea is to use 4.1 and 5.2 and check, case by case, that the two expressions we wish to be equal assume the same value if restricted to $H^{*}\left(V_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n-1}}^{p} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$.
Lemma 5.3. $\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of fullwidth Hopf monomials of Type $C$ with a height of at most $p$ and an effective scale of at least $n-k$.

Following the notation used by Giusti, Salvatore and Sinha [3], we will call these monomials the outgrowth monomials of $\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}$. We denote the set of such monomials as Outgrowth $\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right)$.

Proof of 5.3. The proof will follow that of [3][Theorem 8.3]. We proceed by induction on $k$. First, assume $k=0$. By 4.1 and $5.2, \mathcal{P}^{r}\left(\gamma_{n, 1}\right)$ must restrict to 0 on $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n-1}}^{p} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and to $(-1)^{n} \lambda_{r, n, 0} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} d_{i, n-i}^{a_{i}-a_{i-1}+\delta_{i, 0}}$ on $H^{*}\left(V_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. Hence, it must be a multiple of $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{n-i, p^{i}}^{a_{i}-a_{i-1}+\delta_{i, 0}}$. This is the only full-width Hopf monomial of Type C, of degree $2\left(p^{n}-1\right)+2 r(p-1)$ with a height of at most $p$ and an effective scale of at least $n$.

For $k>0$, since $\tau_{n}\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right)=\gamma_{n-k, p^{k-1}}^{\otimes^{p}}$, using the external Cartan formula, we have:

$$
\tau_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right)\right)=\sum_{r_{1}+\ldots r_{p}=r} \mathcal{P}^{r_{1}}\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k-1}}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}^{r_{p}}\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k-1}}\right)
$$

By induction, this is a linear combination of elements $x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{p}$, where each $x_{i}$ is an outgrowth monomial of $\gamma_{n-k, p^{k-1}}$. Recall that, for each $x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{p}$ in this form, there exists a unique Hopf monomial $x \in H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ with effsc $(x)<n$ whose restriction to $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n-1}}^{p} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ has a non-zero multiple of $x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{p}$ as an addend. We have described $x$ explicitly above. Moreover, we have effsc $(x) \leq$ $n-1$ and $x \in$ Outgrowth $\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right)$ since height and the fact of being full-width are preserved by the coproduct, and the minimum of the effective scales of $x_{i}$ must be equal to effsc $(x)$. A Hopf monomial $x \notin \operatorname{Outgrowth}\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right)$ with an effective scale less than $n$ cannot appear in the expression of $\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k-1}}\right)$, because this would yield addends in $\tau_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right)\right)$ that are not tensor products of elements in Outgrowth $\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k-1}}\right)$. If a Hopf monomial with an effective scale equal to $n$ appear, this must, once again, be an outgrowth monomial of $\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}$. Otherwise, by applying the restriction to $H^{*}\left(V_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, we would contradict 4.1.

Thus, $\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right)=\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Outgrowth}\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right), \operatorname{deg}(x)=2\left(p^{n}-p^{k}\right)+2 r(p-1)} c_{n, k, x} x$. We are left to determine the coefficients $c_{n, k, x}$. Note that, by restricting to $H^{*}\left(V_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, using 4.4 and comparing with the formula in 4.1 , we can directly determine $c_{n, k, x}$ when $x=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{n-i, p^{i}}^{a_{i}-a_{i-1}+\delta_{i, k}}$ is the unique term made by a single gathered block. Explicitly:

$$
c_{n, k, \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{n-i, p^{i}}^{a_{i}-a_{i-1}+\delta_{i, k}}}=(-1)^{n-k+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(a_{i}-a_{i-1}+\delta_{i, s}\right)(n-i)} \lambda_{r, n, k}
$$

where $r=\sum_{i} a_{i} p^{i}$.
In general, let $x=b_{1} \odot \cdots \odot b_{l} \in H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ be the transfer product of $l$ gathered blocks, with pairwise distinct profiles. We assume that $b_{i} \in$ $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n_{i}} m_{i}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ with effsc $\left(b_{i}\right)=n_{i}$. As a notational convention, given a block $b$, we denote the (necessarily unique) block which has the same profile and lies in $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{\text {effsc }(b)}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ by $b^{\prime}$. The restriction of $x$ to the cohomology of $\prod_{i=1}^{l} \Sigma_{p^{n_{i}}}^{m_{i}}$ is the symmetrization of the class $b_{1}^{\otimes^{m_{1}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{l}^{\prime \otimes^{m_{l}}}$. By observing that $\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}} \prod_{i} \Sigma_{p^{n_{i}}}^{m_{i}}=\otimes_{i} \gamma_{n-k, p^{k-n+n_{i}}}^{m_{i}}$ we obtain, by application of the naturality of the Steenrod operations and of the external Cartan formula for $\mathcal{P}^{r}$ as above, that $c_{n, k, x}=\prod_{i=1}^{l} c_{n-n_{i}, n_{i}-n+k, b_{i}^{\prime}}$. This reduces the computation of $c_{n, k, x}$ to the previous particular case.

We summarize our calculations in the following proposition.
Proposition 5.4. Let $0 \leq k<n$. Let $b=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{n-i, p^{i}}^{e_{i}} \in$ Outgrowth $\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right)$ be the gathered block with an effective scale of $n$. We define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{n, k, b} & =(-1)^{n-k+\sum_{i} e_{i}(n-i)} \lambda_{(p-1)^{-1}\left[\sum_{i} 2\left(p^{n}-p^{i}\right)-2\left(p^{n}-p^{k}\right)\right], n, k} \\
& =(-1)^{n-k+\sum_{i} e_{i}(n-i)} \frac{(p-1)!}{(p-\mathrm{ht}(b))!\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{i}!} \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x \in$ Outgrowth $\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right)$ be a general outgrowth monomial. Then $x=$ $b_{1} \odot \cdots \odot b_{s}$, with $b_{i} \in H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{l_{i}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ that are gathered blocks with pairwise distinct profiles. We define

$$
c_{n, k, x}=\prod_{i=1}^{l} c_{\mathrm{effsc}\left(b_{i}\right), k-n+\operatorname{effs}\left(b_{i}\right), b_{i}^{\prime}}^{l_{i}}
$$

Then, $\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right)=\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Outgrowth}\left(\gamma_{n-k, p^{k}}\right), \operatorname{deg}(x)=2\left(p^{n}-p^{k}+r(p-1)\right)} c_{n, k, x} x$.
Remark. Note that, with our proof, we do not need to check inductively that the coefficients agree when we restrict to $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n-1}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ because this is automatically satisfied. However, this can be proved 'manually' by observing that $\lambda_{r, n, s}=\lambda_{r p^{k}, n+k, s+k}$. Because of this, for a block $b=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{n-i, i}^{a_{i}-a_{i-1}+\delta_{i, k}} \in$ $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, not necessarily with effsc $(b)=n, c_{n, k, b}$ is indeed equal to $(-1)^{n-k+\sum_{i}\left(a_{i}-a_{i-1}+\delta_{i, k}\right)(n-i)} \lambda_{\sum_{i} a_{i} p^{i}, n}$,
agreeing with 4.1. More generally, given a gathered block $b=\sum_{i} \gamma_{n-i m p^{i}}^{a_{i}-a_{i-1}+\delta_{i, k}}$ in $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n} m}\right)$ with effsc $(b)=n$ and given two partitions $\left(p^{k_{1}}, \ldots, p^{k_{1}}\right)$ and ( $p^{k_{1}^{\prime}}, \ldots p^{k_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime}}$ ) of $m$ with powers of $p$, the following equality holds in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ :

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_{\sum_{i} a_{i} p^{i+k_{j}}, n+k_{j}, k_{j}}=\prod_{j=1}^{l^{\prime}} \lambda_{\sum_{i} a_{i} p^{i+k_{j}^{\prime}}, n+k_{j}^{\prime}, k_{j}^{\prime}}
$$

This implies that the desired coefficients agree in the restriction to $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{n-1}}^{p} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$.
The computation of $\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(\alpha_{j, k}\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(\beta_{i, j, p^{k}}\right)$ can be done in the same way. Before stating the final results we define the analogous notion of outgrowth monomials for $\alpha_{i, j}$ and $\beta_{i, j, p^{k}}$ as the full-width monomials of height one or two with an effective scale of at least $j$, of Type A and B respectively. We will denote the set of such monomials with Outgrowth $\left(\alpha_{i, j}\right)$ and Outgrowth $\left(\beta_{i, j, p^{k}}\right)$, respectively.

Proposition 5.5. Let $1 \leq j \leq n$. For $x=\gamma_{n-u, p^{u}} \alpha_{n-t, n} \in \operatorname{Outgrowth}\left(\alpha_{j, n}\right)$, we define $c_{n, j, x}^{\prime}=(-1)^{j+t+u}\left(\delta_{t \leq n-j}-\delta_{u \leq n-j}\right)$. Here, we allow $u=n$ with the convention that $\gamma_{0, p^{n}}=1$. Then:

$$
\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(\alpha_{j, n}\right)=\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Outgrowth}\left(\alpha_{j, n}\right), \operatorname{deg}(x)=2\left((p-1) r+p^{n}-p^{n-j}\right)-1} c_{n, j, x}^{\prime} x
$$

Let $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and let $k=n-j$. Given a gathered block $b=$ $\gamma_{n-v, v} \beta_{n-u, n-t, p^{t}}$ in Outgrowth $\left(\beta_{i, j, p^{k}}\right)$, define

$$
c_{n, i, j, b}^{\prime \prime}=(-1)^{i+k+t+u+v}\left(\delta_{v>k+j-i}-\delta_{u>k+j-i}\right) \delta_{t \leq k+j-i}\left(\delta_{t \leq k}-\delta_{v \leq k}\right) \delta_{u>k}
$$

For a general outgrowth monomial $x=b_{1} \odot \cdots \odot b_{l}$ with $b_{s} \in H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{m_{s}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and $\operatorname{effsc}\left(b_{s}\right)=n_{s}$ for all $1 \leq s \leq l$, we define $c_{n, i, j, x}^{\prime \prime}=\prod_{s=1}^{l}\left(c_{n_{s}, i, j, b_{s}}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{m_{s}}$. Then:

$$
\mathcal{P}^{r}\left(\beta_{i, j, p^{k}}\right)=\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Outgrowth}\left(\beta_{i, j, p^{k}}\right), \operatorname{deg}(x)=2\left((p-1) r+p^{n}-p^{n-j}-p^{n-i}\right)} c_{n, i, j, x}^{\prime \prime} x
$$

Note that, regarding the previous result, the coefficients $c_{n, j, x}^{\prime}$ and $c_{n, i, j, x}^{\prime \prime}$ are always equal to $-1,0$ or 1 .

We close this section with a proposition that describes the action of the Bockstein homomorphism $\beta$ on Hopf ring generators. This clearly determines $\beta$ on the whole Hopf ring and follows easily from [6][Theorem 3.9 at page 33].

Proposition 5.6. The following formulas hold:

- $\beta\left(\alpha_{j, k}\right)=\gamma_{k, 1}$ if $j=k$ and is equal to 0 otherwise.
- $\beta\left(\beta_{i, j, p^{k}}\right)=-\alpha_{i, j}$ if $k=0$ and is equal to 0 otherwise.
- $\beta\left(\gamma_{j, p^{k}}\right)=0$.


## 6 An example

As an example we now extract the cup product structure from our Hopf ring presentation in the case of $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{2}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. An equivalent description has been given by Múi in [7] by analyzing the restriction to elementary $p$-subgroups.

First note that, by our results, an additive basis for $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{2}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}=\{ & \left.\gamma_{2,1}^{a} \gamma_{1, p}^{b} \alpha_{1,2}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \alpha_{2,2}^{\varepsilon_{2}} \beta_{1,2,1}^{\varepsilon_{3}}: a, b, \varepsilon_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{i} \leq 1\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\bigodot_{i=1}^{p} \gamma_{1,1}^{t_{i}} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon_{i}}: t_{i} \geq 0, \varepsilon_{i} \in\{0,1\} \text { not all factors equal }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The elements of the last set are to be considered up to permutations of the $p$ factors. More simply, we can order the basis for $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ with the following rule:

$$
\gamma_{1,1}^{a} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon}>\gamma_{1,1}^{b} \alpha_{1,1}^{\delta} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad(a>b) \vee(a=b \wedge \varepsilon>\delta)
$$

and we can agree that, in the last set, $\gamma_{1,1}^{t_{1}} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_{1,1}^{t_{p}} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon_{p}}$ in the given order. It will be useful to order the set of the basis elements in this form with the product order.

We now write the generators and relations in $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{2}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ as a ring. We define:

- $x_{1}=\gamma_{2,1}$
- $x_{2}=\alpha_{1,2}$
- $x_{3}=\alpha_{2,2}$
- $x_{4}=\beta_{1,2,1}$
- for $1 \leq i \leq p-1, y_{i}=\gamma_{1, i} \odot 1_{p^{2}-p i}$
- $y_{p}=\gamma_{1, p}$
- for $1 \leq i \leq p, z_{i}=\gamma_{1, i-1} \odot \alpha_{1,1} \odot 1_{p^{2}-p i}$

There are equalities $x_{2} x_{3}=x_{1} x_{4}, x_{2} x_{4}=0, x_{3} x_{4}=0$ and $x_{4}^{2}=0$ coming directly from relations (3) to (5) in our Hopf ring presentation. Moreover we have seen as an example in 2 that, for every $1 \leq i \leq 4, x_{i} y_{j}=0$ for $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ and $x_{i} z_{j}=0$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$. These will be our cup product generators and relations.
Proposition 6.1. Consider the unitary ring

$$
S=\frac{U\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)}{I}
$$

where $U(X)$ is the free associative skew-commutative algebra generated by the elements of $X$ (in appropriate dimension) and let $I \subseteq S$ be the bilateral ideal generated by the relations above. There is an isomorphism $\varphi: S \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{2}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$.

Proof. There is a $\varphi$ defined in the obvious way because we have checked that these relations hold in this cohomology ring. We now prove that $\varphi$ is bijective. First, let $w=\bigodot_{j=1}^{p} \gamma_{1,1}^{t_{j}} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon_{j}}$, with the factors $\gamma_{1,1}^{t_{j}} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon_{j}}$ ordered from largest to smallest with respect to the product ordering. Consider the set $\mathcal{P}$ of elements $\left\{\left(b_{k, 1}, \ldots, b_{k, p}\right)\right\}_{k=1}^{2}$ where $\left(b_{1,1}, \ldots b_{1, p}\right)$ is a partition of $\gamma_{1, i}$ and $\left(b_{2,1}, \ldots, b_{2, p}\right)$ is a partition of $1_{p(p-i)}$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ be the set of elements $\left\{\left(b_{j, 1}^{\prime}, b_{j, 2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{p}$ where $\left(b_{j, 1}^{\prime}, b_{j, 2}^{\prime}\right)$ is a splitting of $\gamma_{1,1}^{t_{j}}$. Using our rule for the cup product explained at the end of Section 2, we have the following:

$$
y_{i} \cdot w=\sum_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}} \bigodot_{j=1}^{p} b_{1, j} b_{j, 1}^{\prime} \odot \bigodot_{j=1}^{p} b_{2, j} b_{j, 2}^{\prime}
$$

Observe that a partition $\left(b_{1,1}, \ldots, b_{1, p}\right)$ of $\gamma_{1, k}$ corresponds to a partition $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{p}$ of the natural number $k$ with non-negative integers. Explicitly, the correspondence is given by $b_{1, j}=\gamma_{1, k_{j}}$. Similarly, a partition $\left(b_{2,1}, \ldots, b_{2, p}\right)$ of $1_{p(p-i)}$ corresponds to a partition $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{p}$ of $p(p-i)$ by the rule $b_{2, j}=1_{h_{j}}$. The only splittings of $\gamma_{1,1}^{a} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon}$ are $\left(\gamma_{1,1}^{a} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon}, 1_{0}\right)$ and $\left(1_{0}, \gamma_{1,1}^{a} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon}\right)$.

Hence, we can write explicitly $y_{i} \cdot\left(\bigodot_{j=1}^{p} \gamma_{1,1}^{t_{j}}\right)$ as a linear combination of elements of our basis $\mathcal{B}$, and we obtain:

$$
y_{i} \cdot w=\lambda_{i} \bigodot_{k=1}^{p} \gamma_{1,1}^{t_{k}+\delta_{k \leq i}} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon_{k}}+\ldots
$$

for some $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$, where "..." stands for terms that are smaller than the previous one in the considered ordering. With the same reasoning we can prove:

$$
z_{i} \cdot w=\eta_{i} \bigodot_{k=1}^{p} \gamma_{1,1}^{t_{k}+\delta_{k<i}} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon_{k}+\delta_{\min \left\{h \geq i: \varepsilon_{h}=0\right\}}{ }^{(k)}+\ldots . . . . . . .}
$$

where $\eta_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$ and "..." has the same meaning as before.
Note that an additive basis for $S$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\left\{x_{1}^{a} y_{p}^{b} x_{2}^{\varepsilon_{1}} x_{3}^{\varepsilon_{2}} x_{4}^{\varepsilon_{3}}: a, b, \varepsilon_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{i} \leq 1\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{p} y_{i}^{t_{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{p} z_{i}^{\varepsilon_{i}}: t_{i} \geq 0, \varepsilon_{i} \in\{0,1\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction, using the previous formulas, the expansion in the basis $\mathcal{B}$ of the cohomology class $\varphi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{p} y_{i}^{t_{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{p} z_{i}^{\varepsilon_{i}}\right)$ (with $t_{i} \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ ) is in the form:

$$
\varphi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{p} y_{i}^{t_{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{p} z_{i}^{\varepsilon_{i}}\right)=\lambda_{\underline{t}, \underline{\varepsilon}} \bigodot_{i=1}^{p} \gamma_{1,1}^{\sum_{k=i}^{p} t_{k}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{p} \varepsilon_{k}} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon_{i}}+\ldots
$$

where, again, $\lambda_{\underline{t}, \varepsilon} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and "..." stands for smaller terms. This implies that the matrix associated with the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-linear function

$$
\varphi: \operatorname{Span}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{p} y_{i}^{t_{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{p} z_{i}^{\varepsilon_{i}}\right\} \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}\left\{\bigodot_{i=1}^{p} \gamma_{1,1}^{t_{i}} \alpha_{1,1}^{\varepsilon_{i}}\right\}
$$

with respect to the two bases considered above (if we properly order their elements) is triangular, with all non-zero entries on the diagonal. Hence, $\varphi: A \rightarrow$ $H^{*}\left(\Sigma_{p^{2}} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ must be an isomorphism.
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