

Measurement of $\mathscr{B}(\tau \to K n \pi^0 v)$, n = 0, 1, 2, 3 and $\mathscr{B}(\tau \to \pi n \pi^0 v)$, n = 3, 4 by **BABAR**

Alberto Lusiani* †

Scuola Normale Superiore and INFN, sezione di Pisa E-mail: alberto.lusiani@pi.infn.it

We report preliminary measurements of the branching fractions of the decays $\tau^- \to K^- n \pi^0 v_{\tau}$ (n = 0, 1, 2, 3) and $\tau^- \to \pi^- n \pi^0 v_{\tau}$ (n = 3, 4), excluding the contributions that proceed through the decay of intermediate K^0 and η mesons. The measurements are based on a data sample of 435 million τ pairs produced in e^+e^- collisions at and near the $\Upsilon(4S)$ peak and collected with the BABAR detector in 1999–2008. The measured branching fractions are $\mathscr{B}(\tau^- \to K^- v_{\tau}) = (7.174 \pm 0.033 \pm 0.213) \times 10^{-3}$, $\mathscr{B}(\tau^- \to K^- \pi^0 v_{\tau}) = (5.054 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.148) \times 10^{-3}$, $\mathscr{B}(\tau^- \to K^- 2\pi^0 v_{\tau}) = (6.151 \pm 0.117 \pm 0.338) \times 10^{-4}$, $\mathscr{B}(\tau^- \to K^- 3\pi^0 v_{\tau}) = (1.246 \pm 0.164 \pm 0.238) \times 10^{-4}$, $\mathscr{B}(\tau^- \to \pi^- 3\pi^0 v_{\tau}) = (1.168 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.038) \times 10^{-2}$, $\mathscr{B}(\tau^- \to \pi^- 4\pi^0 v_{\tau}) = (9.020 \pm 0.400 \pm 0.652) \times 10^{-4}$, where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second one systematic.

European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics - EPS-HEP2019 -10-17 July, 2019 Ghent, Belgium

*Speaker. [†]On behalf of the *BABA*R collaboration.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Introduction

There proceedings are a reproduction of the PHIPSI 2019 workshop proceedings [1] with minor revisions. The branching fractions of the τ lepton into strange and non-strange final states, respectively $\mathscr{B}(\tau \to X_s v)$ and $\mathscr{B}(\tau \to X_d v)$, can be used to determine the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark mixing matrix element $|V_{us}|$ [2, 3]. The resulting $|V_{us}|$ value [4] is more than 3σ lower than the value that is obtained from the the $|V_{ud}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$ measurements with the assumption that the CKM matrix is unitary [5, 4]. The experimental uncertainty of this $|V_{us}|$ determination is dominated by the uncertainties on the τ branching fractions into states with an odd number of kaons, which are summed to obtain $\mathscr{B}(\tau \to X_s v)$ [6].

We report measurements of the branching fractions of the decays $\tau^- \to K^- n\pi^0 v_{\tau}$ with n = 0, 1, 2, 3 and of the decays $\tau^- \to \pi^- n\pi^0 v_{\tau}$ with n = 3, 4. Charge conjugate decays are implied. All measurements exclude the decays that proceed through $K_S^0 \to 2\pi^0$ or $\eta \to 3\pi^0$ to the above final states. These measurements significantly improve some of the least precise experimental inputs that are involved in the above mentioned $|V_{us}|$ determination.

2. Analysis

We analyzed e^+e^- collisions at and near a center-of-mass (CM) energy of $\sqrt{s} = 10.58$ GeV, recorded by the BABAR detector [7] at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy storage rings operated at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory. The data sample consists in about 435 million $\tau^+\tau^-$ pairs, corresponding to an integrated luminosity $\mathscr{L} = 473.9$ fb⁻¹ and a luminosity-weighted average cross-section of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = (0.919 \pm 0.003)$ nb [8, 9],

The *BABAR* detector is described in detail in Refs. [7, 10]. Charged particles are reconstructed as tracks with a five-layer silicon vertex detector (SVT) and a 40-layer drift chamber (DCH) inside a 1.5T magnetic field. An electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC) comprised of 6580 CsI(Tl) crystals is used to identify electrons and photons. A ring-imaging Cherenkov detector (DIRC) is used to identify charged hadrons and to provide additional lepton identification information. These detectors are located inside a superconducting solenoidal magnet that produces a 1.5T magnetic field and whose magnetic-flux return is instrumented to identify muons (IFR).

Monte Carlo simulated events are used to evaluate background contamination and selection efficiencies and to study systematic effects. Simulated $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ events are produced using the KKMC generator [8] and the TAUOLA decay library [11]. Jetset [12] is used to simulate $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ with q = u, d, s, c and EvtGen [13] is used to simulate the decays of the *B* mesons. Final-state radiative effects are simulated using PHOTOS [14]. The detector response is simulated with GEANT4 [15, 16]. All simulated events are reconstructed in the same manner as the data. The number of simulated events is comparable to the number expected in the data for all processes, with the exception of Bhabha and two-photon events, which are not simulated and are studied on data.

The analysis proceeds as follows. We select candidate events consisting of τ pairs where one τ decays leptonically and the other one decays to $K^-n\pi^0 v_{\tau}$ (n = 0, 1, 2, 3) and $\pi^-n\pi^0 v_{\tau}$ (n = 3, 4), assigning each event exclusively to a single signal mode according to the hadron type and the number of reconstructed neutral pions. We use the Monte Carlo simulation to subtract the expected

backgrounds and to account for cross-feeds due to reconstruction mismatches, in order to obtain the number of the events produced for each signal mode. Finally, we compute the corresponding branching fractions, using the estimated number of produced τ pairs.

Signal candidates are required to have two well-reconstructed oppositely-charged tracks, whose point of closest approach to the beam axis must be closer than 1.5 cm in the transverse plane, and closer than 2.5 cm along the beam axis to the interaction region center. To insure good particle identification (PID), tracks must be within the EMC and DIRC acceptance and have a transverse momentum greater than 0.25 GeV/c to ensure that they reach the DIRC. Tracks are assigned to one of two hemispheres according to the sign of their projection onto the event thrust axis [17], computed using tracks and EMC energy deposits with energy E > 50 MeV. The two tracks must belong to opposite hemispheres.

The tracking devices measure the momentum and the energy loss, dE/dx, of the tracks. The DIRC provides a good pion-kaon separation by measuring the angle of the Cherenkov light emitted by the particles. The amount of deposited energy and the shape of showers induced in the EMC are used to distinguish between electrons, muons and hadrons. The energy deposits in the IFR are used to distinguish between muons and hadrons.

To reduce discrepancies between simulated and real data, we require that the Cherenkov angle in the DIRC be consistent with the momentum of the kaon candidates in the laboratory frame. Each track is tested sequentially for identification as muon, electron, kaon and pion, and is classified according to the first successful identification, or as a non-identified track if all identifications fail. The efficiencies of PID requirements are measured on data samples by *BABAR*.

A signal candidate event must have one track identified as an electron or a muon, and the other one identified as either a kaon or a pion. The presence of an identified lepton and hadron defines the tag and signal hemisphere, respectively. The hadron track is required not to exceed 3.5 GeV/c in the laboratory frame, in order to suppress di-lepton background. The momentum of all leptons and the momentum of the pion in the $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- v_{\tau}$ mode has to be larger than 1 GeV/c in the laboratory frame, to reduce particle misidentification rates. Events with additional tracks are discarded.

Photon candidates are reconstructed using well-formed EMC clusters with an energy E > 75 MeV and not associated with a track. Photon pairs are combined to form π^0 candidates if they have an invariant mass $90 < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 165 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. If two candidates share an EMC cluster, only the candidate with $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ closer to the π^0 mass $m_{\pi^0} = 134.977 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ [5] is selected to avoid double counting. The π^0 candidates are required to have an energy in the laboratory frame of at least 200 MeV, and to fly with a angle smaller than 1.5 rad with respect to the signal charged particle. To reduce background and cross-feed contamination, we discard events containing any additional photon that has momentum direction within 1.5 rad with respect to the signal track and cannot be paired to reconstruct a π^0 candidate. This requirement is referred to as "extra photon veto".

The thrust magnitude must be larger than 0.88 and smaller than 0.99, and the angle between the two tracks must be smaller than 2.95 rad. The missing mass of the event is computed by subtracting the event candidate 4-momentum from the CM-energy 4-momentum and is required to be larger than $1.0 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for $n_{\pi^0} > 0$, and larger than $2.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for $n_{\pi^0} = 0$, where n_{π^0} is the number of reconstructed π^0 's. These last three requirements suppress radiative Bhabha and di-muon backgrounds.

Two-photon events, in which the final-state e^- and e^+ are scattered at small angles outside

the detector acceptance, are removed by requiring a missing mass smaller than 7.5 GeV/ c^2 . For events with $n_{\pi^0} = 0$, we also require that the ratio of the transverse momentum in the event, p_T , and the missing energy, $E_{\text{miss}} = \sqrt{s} - p_{\text{tag}} - p_{\text{sig}}$, be > 0.2, where p_{tag} and p_{sig} are the moduli of the momenta of the tag and signal tracks, respectively.

We suppress backgrounds from events with undetected K_L 's or with spurious extra reconstructed particles by requiring that the signal hemisphere missing mass is within decay-modedependent limits. To compute the missing mass, the signal τ energy is set to one half the CM energy and its momentum direction is set to the thrust direction.

According to simulation, the selection efficiency ranges from 0.13% (for $\tau^- \to K^- 3\pi^0 v_{\tau}$) to 3.3% (for $\tau^- \to K^- \pi^0 v_{\tau}$), and the fraction of background and cross-feed ranges from 5.5% (for $\tau^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 v_{\tau}$) to 79% (for $\tau^- \to K^- 3\pi^0 v_{\tau}$).

3. Systematics studies

For the simulation of the PID efficiencies, we use the BABAR PID efficiencies measurements in all cases except for the efficiencies to identify a pion as a pion, a kaon as a kaon and a pion as a kaon. We determine these three efficiencies using 3-prong τ decay modes $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- v_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- K^+ K^- v_{\tau}$, following a strategy similar to Ref. [18]. These control samples have a low charged-particle multiplicity similar to the signal modes and are selected in events with a 1-3 prong topology, where the charged particle in the 1-prong hemisphere is identified as an electron or muon. The selection requirements are as close as possible to the ones used for the selection of the signal and control modes. We obtain an unbiased high purity K^- sample by selecting candidate decays $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- K^+ K^- v_{\tau}$ where we identify the K^+ and the π^- . The remaining particle has to be a K^- with high probability rather than a π^- , in order to be consistent with the hadronization of the virtual W^- that mediates the τ^- decay. Similarly, we select an unbiased high purity sample of π^+ 's in $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- v_{\tau}$ decays where we identify both π^- 's. We use the pure K^- and π^+ samples to measure the above mentioned three PID efficiencies as a function of the *BABAR* data taking period, the particle charge and true type, momentum, and polar and azimuthal angles.

Charged hadron showers in the EMC may include neutrons than further interact with the EMC at some distance, producing separate (split-off) showers that are not associated with a track and can be reconstructed as photon candidates. The reliability of the Monte Carlo simulation of these fake split-off photons has been studied with data and simulated control samples of candidate $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \overline{\nu}_\mu v_\tau$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- v_\tau$ decays. These samples have been selected in the same way as the signal samples, except that for the $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \overline{\nu}_\mu v_\tau$ sample the other track is required to be an identified electron rather that either an electron or a muon. While the simulation accurately describes the reconstructed photons in the signal hemisphere for muon tracks, the data events with pion tracks exhibit a significant excess of photon candidates corresponding to EMC energy deposits located within 40 cm of the track-EMC intersection, as illustrated in Figure 1. The measured excess of reconstructed photons is used to compute a correction weight of $\eta_{so} = 0.972$ for the simulated efficiency of the extra photon veto requirement for the signal events with either a pion of a kaon.

The accuracy of the Monte Carlo simulation of the π^0 reconstruction efficiency has been studied on data and simulated control samples containing τ decays to one track and zero, one or two π^0 's $[\tau^- \rightarrow t^- n \pi^0 v_{\tau} \ (n = 0, 1, 2)]$, which have been selected as the signal samples, accepting



Figure 1: Distance *d* between the track intersection point with the EMC and the cluster centroid of the closest reconstructed photon. Plot (a) reports $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \nu_{\tau}$ candidates, plot (b) reports $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \nu_{\tau}$ candidates. Data points are overlaid onto cumulated histograms representing simulated samples, drawn with the patterns documented in Figure 3.

any signal track that is not an identified electron, and requiring an identified electron in the tag hemisphere. As a result, the signal track t^- can be either a muon, a pion or a kaon candidate. An π^0 -momentum-dependent correction weight for the simulated π^0 reconstruction efficiency is obtained by comparing the data and simulated ratio of events with one and zero reconstructed π^0 's. Its value is shown in Figure 2. Averaged on the π^0 momentum, the correction weight is $\eta_{\pi^0} = 0.958 \pm 0.001 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.009 \text{ (syst)}$, where the statistical uncertainty is given by the sample sizes and the systematic uncertainty is determined by the uncertainty on the split-off correction, the uncertainties on the τ branching fractions used in the simulation and the uncertainty on the estimate of the amount of Bhabha background in the control samples. When using the above correction weights, the simulated momentum distribution of the reconstructed π^0 's matches the data within statistical uncertainties both on the sample with one reconstructed π^0 's.

Figure 3 shows that, after applying all corrections, and after using in the simulation also the branching fractions that are measured in this analysis, the simulation of the signal track momentum in the laboratory frame reproduces the data quite accurately for all the signal modes.



Figure 2: Correction weights for the π^0 reconstruction efficiency as a function of the π^0 momentum p_{π^0} .

4. Determination of the branching fractions

The selected candidates include backgrounds from the other signal modes (cross-feed) and





Figure 3: Laboratory-frame momentum of the track in the signal hemisphere for the selected candidates of the six signal modes. Data points are overlaid onto cumulated histograms representing simulated samples.

from events other than the signal modes. These latter backgrounds are subtracted using the Monte Carlo simulation of electron-positron annihilations to pairs of muons, τ leptons and to final states of light quarks (*uds*), charm and bottom hadrons. Background contributions from Bhabha and two-photon events are estimated to be negligible on data. Cross-feed backgrounds are subtracted by inverting the matrix M_{ij} that describes the selection efficiency of reconstructing an event containing one or two decays of the signal mode *i* into any signal candidate sample *j*. M_{ij} is measured on simulated events. Thus:

$$N_i^{\text{Prod}} = \sum_j (M^{-1})_{ij} \left(N_j^{\text{Sel}} - N_j^{\text{Bkg}} \right) , \qquad (4.1)$$

where, for each signal mode i, N_i^{prod} denotes the efficiency-corrected number of produced events,

while N_i^{Sel} and N_i^{Bkg} denote the numbers of selected candidates and of estimated background events, respectively. The branching fractions are then:

$$\mathscr{B}(\tau \to i) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\frac{N_i^{\text{Prod}}}{N_\tau}} , \qquad (4.2)$$

where $N_{\tau} = 2\mathscr{L}\sigma_{\tau\tau}$ is the the number of produced τ leptons, obtained from the estimate of the integrated luminosity corresponding to the analyzed data sample, \mathscr{L} [19], and the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ cross-section $\sigma_{\tau\tau}$ [9] at and around the $\Upsilon(4S)$ peak. The expression in Eq. 4.2 originates from the choice to include in N_i^{Prod} events with both one or two signal-mode-*i* τ decays. The statistical uncertainties on the number of the signal samples' candidates are determined by the samples' sizes and are independent from each other. Eq. 4.1 and 4.2 determine how the statistical covariance matrix of the branching fractions is computed from the signal-candidates samples' uncertainties. The signal branching fractions' values and statistical uncertainties are reported on Table 1, and their statistical correlation is reported on Table 2.

5. Systematic uncertainties

The contribution to the systematic covariance matrix of the signal branching fractions from the uncertainty on a quantity X_i are computed by varying 50 times X_i according to a Gaussian distribution and by recomputing all signal branching fractions for each variation. The contributions to the total systematic systematic uncertainties on the signal branching fractions are reported in Table 1, while the total systematic correlation is reported on Table 3.

The coefficients of the efficiency and mixing matrix M_{ij} in Eq. 4.1 have uncertainties determined by the uncertainties on simulated selection efficiencies. We express the uncertainties on the M_{ij} coefficients as a function of independent statistical uncertainties of the selected samples in the simulation, and we compute an overall M_{ij} contribution to the systematic covariance of the branching fractions by summing all contributions from these independent uncertainties. In the following, this systematic contribution is referred to as "Signal efficiencies" contribution.

The systematic contribution due to the finite size of the simulation samples used to estimate the selection efficiencies of the background contaminations are calculated using the number of events in the involved samples.

For background subtraction, the simulation relies on the PDG 2017 [5] averages of the τ branching fractions. We vary those branching fractions independently according to their uncertainties to estimate the induced systematic contributions on the measurements. The largest systematic uncertainty contribution is found for the $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- 3\pi^0 v_{\tau}$ mode and is due to the subtraction of a large background contamination from $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \overline{K}^0 \pi^0 v_{\tau}$ decays, whose branching fraction is not well known.

The decays $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- 5\pi^0 v_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- 4\pi^0 v_{\tau}$ are not included in the background simulation. We estimate a systematic contribution due to the omission of these modes in the simulation and hence in the background subtraction by selecting candidates for these modes in data and in the simulation. All selected candidates in the simulation are necessarily background. We estimate the selection efficiency using the respective samples with one-less π^0 and the measured π^0 efficiency for the additional π^0 . We compute 68% CL upper limits on the presence of these decay modes

Decay mode	K^{-}	$K^{-}\pi^{0}$	$K^{-}2\pi^{0}$	$K^{-}3\pi^{0}$	$\pi^{-}3\pi^{0}$	$\pi^{-}4\pi^{0}$
	$(\times 10^{-3})$	$(\times 10^{-3})$	$(\times 10^{-4})$	$(\times 10^{-4})$	$(\times 10^{-2})$	$(\times 10^{-4})$
Branching fraction	7.174	5.054	6.151	1.246	1.168	9.020
Stat. uncertainty	0.033	0.021	0.117	0.164	0.006	0.400
Syst. uncertainty	0.213	0.148	0.338	0.238	0.038	0.652
Total uncertainty	0.216	0.149	0.357	0.289	0.038	0.765
Stat. uncertainty [%]	0.46	0.41	1.91	13.13	0.52	4.44
Syst. uncertainty [%]	2.97	2.93	5.49	19.13	3.23	7.23
Total uncertainty [%]	3.00	2.95	5.81	23.20	3.27	8.48
Signal efficiencies [%]	0.27	0.27	0.87	3.99	0.27	1.50
Background efficiency [%]	0.15	0.15	0.87	6.32	0.11	1.67
MC $ au$ branching fractions [%]	0.18	0.30	1.44	11.52	0.21	3.49
$\pi 5 \pi^0$ background [%]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.04	1.08
$K4\pi^0$ background [%]	0.00	0.00	0.13	4.78	0.00	0.00
Number of $ au$ decays [%]	0.79	0.93	1.40	2.62	0.71	0.98
BABAR PID [%]	0.15	0.11	0.18	0.71	0.08	0.20
Custom PID [%]	1.83	1.55	1.78	2.56	0.20	0.26
Muon mis-id [%]	1.48	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Track efficiency [%]	0.43	0.50	0.76	1.42	0.38	0.53
Split-off correction [%]	1.52	1.84	2.77	5.18	1.40	1.94
π^0 correction [%]	0.03	1.20	3.63	10.56	2.76	5.36

Table 1: Summary of the preliminary measured branching fractions and their uncertainties. Uncertainties that are relative to their branching fraction value are reported as percentages and labelled with "[%]". The total uncertainty is obtained by adding the statistical and systematic uncertainties in quadrature.

in data, and we use the measured π^0 reconstruction inefficiency to estimate the corresponding background contributions to the selected signal-candidates samples. We compute the systematic uncertainties by varying the background contaminations around zero with an uncertainty equal to the respective 68% CL upper limits.

The estimated number of produced τ decays in data, N_{τ} , is used in Eq. 4.2 and to weight the events of simulated samples for background subtraction to match the data. N_{τ} is varied according to the uncertainties on the integrated luminosity of the data sample and on $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-)$ to compute the associated systematics.

The BABAR PID selectors efficiencies are varied according to their uncertainties to obtain their systematic contribution, labelled "BABAR PID". The PID efficiencies measured with the dedicated study performed for this analysis are also varied to get the contribution labelled "custom PID". To account for discrepancies between the data and the simulation, the efficiency of identifying a true muon as a pion or a kaon is varied by 50%. The associated systematic contribution is non-negligible only for the $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- v_{\tau}$ decay mode.

Systematic uncertainties in simulating the tracking efficiencies have been estimated by BABAR using data control samples [20] and amount to 0.17%. These uncertainties are assumed to be fully

	K	$K\pi^0$	$K2\pi^0$	$K3\pi^0$	$\pi 3\pi^0$	$\pi 4\pi^0$
Κ	1.000	-0.029	0.001	-0.000	-0.000	0.000
$K\pi^0$	-0.029	1.000	-0.086	0.004	-0.000	-0.000
$K2\pi^0$	0.001	-0.086	1.000	-0.208	-0.002	0.002
$K3\pi^0$	-0.000	0.004	-0.208	1.000	-0.038	-0.005
$\pi 3\pi^0$	-0.000	-0.000	-0.002	-0.038	1.000	-0.312
$\pi 4\pi^0$	0.000	-0.000	0.002	-0.005	-0.312	1.000

Table 2: Statistical correlation matrix for the branching fractions of the signal modes (preliminary).

Table 3: Systematic correlation matrix for the branching fractions of the signal modes (preliminary).

	K	$K\pi^0$	$K2\pi^0$	$K3\pi^0$	$\pi 3\pi^0$	$\pi 4\pi^0$
Κ	1.000	0.743	0.506	0.251	0.299	0.190
$K\pi^0$	0.743	1.000	0.859	0.554	0.720	0.542
$K2\pi^0$	0.506	0.859	1.000	0.624	0.875	0.684
$K3\pi^0$	0.251	0.554	0.624	1.000	0.636	0.529
$\pi 3\pi^0$	0.299	0.720	0.875	0.636	1.000	0.805
$\pi 4\pi^0$	0.190	0.542	0.684	0.529	0.805	1.000

Table 4: Total correlation matrix for the branching fractions of the signal modes (preliminary).

	K	$K\pi^0$	$K2\pi^0$	$K3\pi^0$	$\pi 3\pi^0$	$\pi 4\pi^0$
Κ	1.000	0.726	0.472	0.205	0.292	0.160
$K\pi^0$	0.726	1.000	0.799	0.452	0.704	0.458
$K2\pi^0$	0.472	0.799	1.000	0.448	0.816	0.551
$K3\pi^0$	0.205	0.452	0.448	1.000	0.514	0.370
$\pi 3\pi^0$	0.292	0.704	0.816	0.514	1.000	0.651
$\pi 4\pi^0$	0.160	0.458	0.551	0.370	0.651	1.000

correlated for the 2 tracks in all signal modes. The selected data events are weighted with random weights centered on 1 and with 0.17% uncertainty to compute the associated systematics.

To get the corresponding systematics, we vary the correction weight of $\eta_{so} = 0.972$ that is applied on simulated events to adjust for the insufficient production of split-off photons on simulated events with hadronic tracks, using an uncertainty of 50% of its deviation from 1. The uncertainty on the correction weight due to the sample sizes is comparatively negligible.

The π^0 -momentum-dependent weights that adjust the simulation to the data regarding the π^0 reconstruction efficiencies are all coherently varied according to the total uncertainty on the momentum-averaged correction weight, $\eta_{\pi^0} = 0.958 \pm 0.001 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.009 \,(\text{syst})$.

6. Results

Using the data sample of $435.5 \times 10^6 \tau$ -pairs recorded by the BABAR experiment, we provide preliminary measurements of the following six τ decay branching fractions, excluding contribu-

tions proceeding through K^0 and η mesons:

$\mathscr{B}(au^- o K^- oldsymbol{ u}_ au)$	$= (7.174 \pm 0.033 \pm 0.213) \times 10^{-3},$
$\mathscr{B}(\tau^- \to K^- \pi^0 \nu_{\tau})$	$= (5.054 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.148) \times 10^{-3},$
$\mathscr{B}(\tau^- \to K^- 2\pi^0 v_{\tau})$	$= (6.151 \pm 0.117 \pm 0.338) \times 10^{-4},$
$\mathscr{B}(\tau^- \to K^- 3 \pi^0 v_{\tau})$	$= (1.246 \pm 0.164 \pm 0.238) \times 10^{-4},$
$\mathscr{B}(\tau^- ightarrow \pi^- 3 \pi^0 v_{ au})$	$= (1.168 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.038) \times 10^{-2},$
$\mathscr{B}(au^- o \pi^- 4 \pi^0 v_ au)$	$= (9.020 \pm 0.400 \pm 0.652) \times 10^{-4},$

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second one is systematic. The correlation matrices of the statistical, systematic and total uncertainties are reported in Tables 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

The result for $\mathscr{B}(\tau^- \to K^- v_\tau)$ is consistent with an earlier BABAR measurement [18], which used a different tagging technique (3-prong hadronic tag) and thus relies on a statistically independent data sample. The result for $\tau^- \to K^- \pi^0 v_\tau$ is meant to eventually supersede an earlier BABAR measurement [21], which shares part of the sample of this analysis, has a less sophisticated treatment of systematic effects, and deviates by 3.8 σ from this paper measurement, when assuming that the old and new uncertainties are fully uncorrelated.

The measured branching fractions with kaons have significantly improved precision compared to earlier measurements at LEP and at Cornell, and are consistent with those results [5].

References

- [1] A. Lusiani, *Measurement of the branching fractions of the decays* $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- n \pi^0 v_{\tau}$ (n = 0, 1, 2, 3) and $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- n \pi^0 v_{\tau}$ (n = 3, 4) by BaBar, EPJ Web Conf. **212** (2019) 08001, [1906.02626].
- [2] E. Gamiz, M. Jamin, A. Pich, J. Prades and F. Schwab, *Determination of m(s) and |V(us)| from hadronic tau decays*, *JHEP* **01** (2003) 060, [hep-ph/0212230].
- [3] E. Gamiz, M. Jamin, A. Pich, J. Prades and F. Schwab, *V*(*us*) and *m*(*s*) from hadronic tau decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (2005) 011803, [hep-ph/0408044].
- [4] HFLAV COLLABORATION, Y. Amhis et al., Averages of b-hadron, c-hadron, and τ-lepton properties as of summer 2016, Eur. Phys. J. C77 (2017) 895, [1612.07233].
- [5] PARTICLE DATA GROUP COLLABORATION, C. Patrignani et al., *Review of Particle Physics, Chin. Phys.* C40 (2016) 100001.
- [6] A. Lusiani, Status and progress of the HFLAV-Tau group activities, 2018. 1804.08436.
- [7] BABAR COLLABORATION, B. Aubert et al., *The BaBar detector*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* A479 (2002) 1–116, [hep-ex/0105044].
- [8] S. Jadach, B. F. L. Ward and Z. Was, *The precision Monte Carlo event generator KK for two- fermion final states in e+ e- collisions, Comput. Phys. Commun.* **130** (2000) 260–325, [hep-ph/9912214].
- [9] S. Banerjee, B. Pietrzyk, J. M. Roney and Z. Was, *Tau and muon pair production cross-sections in electron- positron annihilations at sqrts = 10.58 GeV, Phys. Rev.* D77 (2008) 054012, [0706.3235].
- [10] BABAR COLLABORATION, B. Aubert et al., *The BABAR Detector: Upgrades, Operation and Performance, Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* A729 (2013) 615–701, [1305.3560].

- [11] S. Jadach, Z. Was, R. Decker and J. H. Kuhn, *The tau decay library TAUOLA: Version 2.4, Comput. Phys. Commun.* 76 (1993) 361–380.
- [12] T. Sjostrand, High-energy physics event generation with PYTHIA 5.7 and JETSET 7.4, Comput.Phys.Commun. 82 (1994) 74–90.
- [13] D. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package, Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A462 (2001) 152–155.
- [14] P. Golonka and Z. Was, PHOTOS Monte Carlo: A Precision tool for QED corrections in Z and W decays, Eur. Phys. J. C45 (2006) 97–107, [hep-ph/0506026].
- [15] GEANT4 COLLABORATION, S. Agostinelli et al., GEANT4: A Simulation toolkit, Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A506 (2003) 250–303.
- [16] GEANT4 COLLABORATION, J. Allison, K. Amako, J. Apostolakis, H. Araujo, P. Dubois et al., Geant4 developments and applications, IEEE Trans.Nucl.Sci. 53 (2006) 270.
- [17] S. Brandt, C. Peyrou, R. Sosnowski and A. Wroblewski, *The Principal axis of jets. An Attempt to analyze high-energy collisions as two-body processes*, *Phys. Lett.* 12 (1964) 57–61.
- [18] BABAR COLLABORATION, B. Aubert et al., Measurements of Charged Current Lepton Universality and |V(us)| using Tau Lepton Decays to e- nu(e)-bar nu(tau), mu-bar nu(mu)-bar nu(tau), pi- nu(tau) and K- nu(tau), Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 051602, [0912.0242].
- [19] BABAR COLLABORATION, J. P. Lees et al., *Time-Integrated Luminosity Recorded by the BABAR Detector at the PEP-II e⁺e⁻ Collider*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* A726 (2013) 203–213, [1301.2703].
- [20] T. Allmendinger et al., *Track Finding Efficiency in BaBar*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* A704 (2013) 44–59, [1207.2849].
- [21] BABAR COLLABORATION, B. Aubert et al., Measurement of the $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^0 v_{\tau}$ branching fraction, Phys. Rev. **D76** (2007) 051104, [0707.2922].