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#### Abstract

We prove the existence of positive solutions for the equation on $S^{n}$ $-4 \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \Delta_{g_{0}} u+n(n-1) u=\left(1+\varepsilon K_{0}(x)\right) u^{2^{*}-1}$, where $\Delta_{g_{0}}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $S^{n}, 2^{*}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, and $\varepsilon$ is a small parameter. The problem can be reduced to a finite dimensional study which is performed via Morse theory.
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## 1 Introduction

If $(M, g)$ is a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$, with scalar curvature $R$, and one considers the conformal metric $g^{\prime}=u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g$, where $u$ is a smooth positive function, then the scalar curvature $R^{\prime}$ of $\left(M, g^{\prime}\right)$ is given by the following relation, see [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
-4 \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \Delta_{g} u+R u=R^{\prime} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Here $\Delta_{g}$ denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $(M, g)$. We also recall that for $n=2$, if one sets $g^{\prime}=e^{2 u} g$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{g} u+R=R^{\prime} e^{u} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem of prescribing scalar curvature is the following: assigned a function $S$ on $M$, one looks for a metric $g^{\prime}$ conformal to $g$, for which $R^{\prime} \equiv S$. Equivalently, one has to find a positive solution $u$ to equation (1.1) or (1.2). This problem is quite delicate: for example, in [14] or [19] some non existence results on $S^{n}$ and on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are shown. The Scalar Curvature Problem on $S^{n}$ has been mainly faced under two types of assumptions

## 1) Assumptions of global type

2) Assumptions at prescribed levels

In the case 1), the hypotheses involve the critical points of $S$ at all levels. Roughly, a typical result says that a solution exists provided $S$ is a Morse function with $\Delta S \neq 0$ at every critical point, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Crit}(S), \Delta S(x)<0}(-1)^{m(S, x)} \neq(-1)^{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $m(S, x)$ is the Morse index of $S$ at $x$. For $n=2$ this result has been given in [9], and in [4] for $n=3$, see also [7]. For $n \geq 4$ the situation is more delicate, and, in general one has to require a flatness condition. More precisely, see [15], [16], for every $x_{i} \in \operatorname{Crit}(S)$, it is assumed to exist $\beta_{i} \in(n-2, n)$ such that in some orthonormal coordinates $\left(y_{j}\right)$ centered at $x_{i}$ it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(y)=S(0)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}\left|y_{j}\right|^{\beta_{i}}+o\left(|y|^{\beta_{i}}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a_{j} \neq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \neq 0$. Suitable flatness conditions on the derivatives of $S$ are also required. For every $x \in \operatorname{Crit}(S)$, set $\tilde{\Delta} S(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}$, and $i(x)=\sharp a_{j}: a_{j}<0$. Then solutions of (1.1) are obtained provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Crit}(S), \tilde{\Delta} S(x)<0}(-1)^{i(x)} \neq(-1)^{n} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case 2) deals with assumptions at some prescribed levels of $S$. Typically, $S$ must possess two maxima $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ which are connected by some path $x(t)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \text { saddle point for } S, \inf _{t} S(x(t)) \leq S(x)<S\left(x_{0}\right) \Rightarrow \Delta S(x)>0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Results of this kind have been obtained in [8], [13] for $n=2$, and in [5] for $n \geq 3$.

Morse Theory has been used in [12] for $n=2$, and in [20] for $n=3$. In particular, in [20] it is shown that a solution of (1.1) exists provided $S$ is a Morse function and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}-D_{1}+D_{2} \neq 1, \quad \text { or } \quad D_{0}-D_{1}>1 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $D_{q}=\sharp\{x \in \operatorname{Crit}(S): m(S, x)=3-q, \Delta S(x)<0\}$. Note that the first condition in (1.7) is equivalent to (1.3).

In our paper we consider the case $(M, g)=\left(S^{n}, g_{0}\right), n \geq 3$, and $S$ close to a constant, i.e. $S$ of the form $S=1+\varepsilon K_{0}(x)$, for $|\varepsilon|$ small. So we are reduced to study the problem on $S^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-4 \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \Delta_{g_{0}} u+n(n-1) u=\left(1+\varepsilon K_{0}\right) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}, \quad u>0 . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main results are given in Section 4. The first one, Theorem 4.1, deals with case 1). Under suitable non-degeneracy assumptions on $K_{0}$, existence of solutions is found if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-j} F_{j}-(-1)^{q} \leq-1, \quad \text { for some } q=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $F_{j}=\sharp\left\{x \in \operatorname{Crit}\left(K_{0}\right): m\left(K_{0}, x\right)=j, \Delta K_{0}(x)>0\right\}$. When $\varepsilon<0$, Theorem 4.1 extends, in the perturbative setting, the results in [20] to all dimensions, see Remark 4.2. Our second main result, Theorem 4.4, and its generalization Theorem 4.6, deals with case 2). The main difference with respect to [5] is that we require condition (1.6) to hold just for the saddle point of Morse index $n-1$. Remark 4.5 gives precise comparisons with the results in [5], [8], and [13].

Our approach follows that of [1] and [2], where functionals of the form $f_{\varepsilon}=$ $f_{0}-\varepsilon G,|\varepsilon|$ small, are studied. In particular, also [2] deals with problem (1.8), and recovers existence under condition (1.5) for an order of flatness $\beta \in(1, n)$. See also [10] for other perturbation results.

In the present case $f_{0}$ possesses a manifold of critical points $Z \sim \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}=$ $\left\{(\mu, \xi): \mu>0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$. One can show that $Z$ perturbs to another manifold $Z_{\varepsilon} \simeq Z$ which is a natural constraint for $f_{\varepsilon}$. Moreover, it turns out that $\left.f_{\varepsilon}\right|_{Z_{\varepsilon}}=$ $b_{0}-\varepsilon G(z)+o(\varepsilon)$, where $b_{0}$ is a constant. In this way, one is led to study the finite-dimensional functional $\Gamma=\left.G\right|_{Z}$. In Proposition 3.5 it is shown that, from the properties on $\Gamma$ at $\mu=0$ and at infinity, we can apply Morse Theory under general boundary condition, see [18]. Using this technique, we can treat the cases 1) and 2) with the same approach.

In Section 5 we state some generalizations of the above discussed results, which also include conditions of the type (1.5).

The above results are stated in the preliminary note [17].
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## Notations

We will work mainly in the space

$$
E=D^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right): \int|\nabla u|^{2}<+\infty\right\}
$$

which coincides with the completion of $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with respect to the Dirichlet norm. Given a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $X$ is an Hilbert space or a Riemannian manifold, we denote with $f^{\prime}$ or with $\nabla f$ its gradient, and we set $\operatorname{Crit}(f)=\left\{x: f^{\prime}(x)=0\right\}$; if $f$ is of class $C^{2}$, and if $x \in \operatorname{Crit}(f), m(f, x)$ is the Morse index of $f$ at $x$. Given $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we set also $f^{a}=\{x \in X: f(x) \leq a\}$, and $f_{a}^{b}=\{x \in X: a \leq f(x) \leq b\}$. $B_{r}^{m}(y)$ stands for the $m$-dimensional closed ball of radius $r$ centred at $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, while $B_{R}$ is $B_{R}=\{u \in E:\|u\| \leq R\}$. Embedding $S^{n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ as $S^{n}=\{x \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{n+1}:\|x\|=1\right\}$, we denote by $\sigma: S^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the stereographic projection through the north pole $P_{N}$ of $S^{n}, P_{N}=(0, \ldots, 0,1)$, and we define $\mathcal{R}: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ to be the reflection through the hyperplane $x_{n+1}=0$. Given $y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$, we denote by $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n+1}$ its components. The function $\Pi: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ denotes the projection onto the last $n$ coordinates, and $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n+1}$ is the closure of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$.

## 2 Preliminaries

The abstract perturbation method
In this section we recall the abstract perturbation method developed in [1]. Let $E$ be an Hilbert space, and let $f_{0}, G \in C^{2}(E, \mathbb{R})$. Our aim is to find critical points of the perturbed functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\varepsilon}(u)=f_{0}(u)-\varepsilon G(u), \quad u \in E . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fundamental tool is the following Theorem (see [1], Lemmas 2 and 4).
Theorem 2.1 Suppose $f_{0}$ satisfies the following conditions
f1) $f_{0}$ possesses a finite dimensional manifold of critical points $Z$; let $b_{0}=f_{0}(z)$, for all $z \in Z$;
f2) $f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero for all $z \in Z$;
f3) for all $z \in Z$, it is $T_{z} Z=\operatorname{Ker} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)$.
Then, given $R>0$, there exist $\varepsilon_{0}>0$, and a $C^{1}$ function $w=w(z, \varepsilon): N=$ $Z \cap B_{R} \times\left(-\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \rightarrow E$ which satisfies the following properties
i) $w(z, 0)=0$ for all $z \in Z \cap B_{R}$;
ii) $w(z, \varepsilon)$ is orthogonal to $T_{z} Z, \quad \forall(z, \varepsilon) \in N$;
iii) the manifold

$$
Z_{\varepsilon}=\left\{z+w(z, \varepsilon): z \in Z \cap B_{R}\right\}
$$

is a natural constraint for $f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$, namely: if $u \in Z_{\varepsilon}$ and $\left.f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|_{Z \varepsilon}(u)=0$, then it is also $f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u)=0$.

The inclusion $T_{z} Z \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)$ is always true: $\left.f 3\right)$ is a non-degeneracy condition which allows to apply the Implicit Function Theorem. Since in our case the elements of $Z$ are positive functions, one can deduce that the critical points of $f_{\varepsilon}$ on $Z_{\varepsilon}$ are non-negative functions. Using standard regularity arguments and the maximum principle, see for example [21] Appendix B, it is possible to prove that the critical points of $\left.f_{\varepsilon}\right|_{Z_{\varepsilon}}$ are actually regular and positive functions.

Morse theory for manifolds with boundary
For a complete treatment about this topic we refer to [11], where a refinement of the theory in [18] is presented. Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold, and let $f \in C^{1}(M)$. If $p$ is an isolated critical point of $f$, with $f(p)=c$, and if $q \geq 0$, the $q^{t h}$ critical group of $f$ at $p$ is

$$
C_{q}(f, p)=H_{q}\left(f^{c} \cap U_{p},\left(f^{c} \backslash\{p\}\right) \cap U_{p}\right)
$$

where $U_{p}$ is a neighborhood of $p$ such that $\operatorname{Crit}(f) \cap U_{p}=\{p\}$, and $H_{q}$ are the singular homology groups. By the excision property, the critical groups are well defined, i.e. they do not depend on $U_{p}$. If $f$ is of class $C^{2}$ and $p$ is a non-degenerate critical point, then clearly $C_{q}(f, p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ for $q=m(f, p)$, and $C_{q}(f, p)=0$ otherwise. If $M$ possesses a smooth boundary $\partial M=\Sigma$, which is an oriented submanifold with codimension 1, then the outward unit normal $\nu(x)$ at $x \in \Sigma$ is well defined.

Definition $2.2 f \in C^{1}(M)$ is said to satisfy the general boundary condition on $f_{a}^{b}$ if the following two properties hold
(i) $\operatorname{Crit}(f) \cap\left(\Sigma \cap f_{a}^{b}\right)=\emptyset$;
(ii) the restriction $\left.f\right|_{\Sigma} \cap f_{a}^{b}$ has only isolated critical points.

Let $\left(\Sigma_{-}\right)_{a}^{b}=\left\{x \in \Sigma \cap f_{a}^{b}:\left(f^{\prime}(x), \nu(x)\right) \leq 0\right\}$, and suppose that $f$ has only isolated critical points in $f_{a}^{b}$. Let $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}, \ldots\right\}$ be the critical points of $f_{a}^{b}$, and $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j}, \ldots\right\}$ those of $\left.f\right|_{\left(\Sigma_{-}\right)_{a}^{b}}$; the Morse type numbers of $f$ on $f_{a}^{b}$ and on $\left(\Sigma_{-}\right)_{a}^{b}$ are respectively defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{q}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{rank} C_{q}\left(f, x_{j}\right), \quad q=0,1,2, \ldots \\
\mu_{q}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{rank} C_{q}\left(\left.f\right|_{\Sigma_{-}}, y_{j}\right), \quad q=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

The augmented Morse type numbers are

$$
M_{q}=m_{q}+\mu_{q}, \quad q=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

The following Theorem is a version of the Morse inequalities for manifolds with boundary.

Theorem 2.3 Let $f \in C^{1}(M)$, and let $a, b$ be regular values for $f$ and for $\left.f\right|_{\Sigma}$. Suppose that $f$ has only isolated critical points and that satisfies the general boundary condition on $f_{a}^{b}$. Then the following version of the Morse inequalities holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \geq 0} M_{j} t^{j}=\sum_{j \geq 0} \beta_{j} t^{j}+(1+t) Q(t), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{q}=\operatorname{rank} H_{q}\left(f^{b}, f^{a}\right), j=0,1,2, \ldots$, and $Q(t)$ is a formal power series with non-negative coefficients.

We recall that the meaning of (2.2) is the following

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-j} M_{j} \geq \sum_{j=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-j} \beta_{j}, & q=0,1,2, \ldots ;  \tag{2.3}\\
\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{q} M_{q}=\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{q} \beta_{q} . & q=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 Application to the scalar curvature problem

Solutions of equation (1.1), with $(M, g)=\left(S^{n}, g_{0}\right)$, can be obtained by variational methods, as critical points of the functional
$J(u)=2 \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \int_{S^{n}}\left|\nabla_{g_{0}} u\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \int_{S^{n}} u^{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{S^{n}} S|u|^{2^{*}}, \quad u \in H^{1}\left(S^{n}\right)$, where $2^{*}=\frac{2 n}{(n-2)}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent. For $n \geq 3$, let $E=D^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and denote with

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}=c_{n} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}, \quad c_{n}=[4 n(n-1)]^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the unique (up to dilation and translation) solution to the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-4 \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \Delta u=u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n}  \tag{3.3}\\
u>0, u \in E .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The stereographic projection $\sigma: S^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ induces an isomorphism $\iota: H^{1}\left(S^{n}\right) \rightarrow E$ given by $(\iota u)(x)=z_{0}(x) u\left(\sigma^{-1}(x)\right)$, and $J(u)=f(\iota u)$, where

$$
f(u)=2 \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \bar{S}|u|^{2^{*}}, \quad u \in E .
$$

Here $\bar{S}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the function given by $\bar{S}(x)=S\left(\sigma^{-1}(x)\right)$.
Since we consider the case $S=1+\varepsilon K_{0}$, it is $\bar{S}(x)=1+\varepsilon K(x)$, with $K(x)=$ $K_{0}\left(\sigma^{-1}(x)\right)$. Thus we are reduced to find solutions of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-4 \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \Delta u=(1+\varepsilon K(x)) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n}  \tag{3.4}\\
u>0, u \in E .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Throughout this paper, it will be always understood that $K(x)$ originates from a smooth function $K_{0}$ defined on $S^{n}$, and we suppose that $\nabla_{g_{0}} K_{0}\left(P_{N}\right) \neq 0$.

This problem has been recently tackled in [2] by using an abstract perturbation result developed in [1]. $f(u)$ can be written as $f(u)=f_{\varepsilon}(u)=f_{0}(u)-\varepsilon G(u)$, where

$$
f_{0}(u)=2 \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int|u|^{2^{*}} ; \quad G(u)=\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K|u|^{2^{*}}, \quad u \in E
$$

The functional $f_{0}$ possesses a manifold $Z$ of critical points given by

$$
Z=\left\{z_{\mu, \xi}=\mu^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} z_{0}\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\mu}\right), \mu>0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

$Z$ is an $(n+1)$-dimensional manifold which is homeomorphic to the half space $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}=\left\{(\mu, \xi): \mu>0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$, so hypothesis $\left.f 1\right)$ in Theorem 2.1 is satisfied with $b_{0}=f_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)$. Condition f2) holds too, since $f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)=I-C, C$ compact for every $z \in Z$, while $f 3$ ) is consequence of the following Lemma (see [2] or [6]).

Lemma 3.1 For every $z_{\mu, \xi} \in Z$, it is $T_{z_{\mu, \xi}} Z=\operatorname{Ker} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu, \xi}\right)$. Namely if $u \in E$ solves

$$
-4 \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \Delta u=\left(2^{*}-1\right) z_{\mu, \xi}^{2^{*}-2} u
$$

then there holds

$$
u=\alpha D_{\mu} z_{\mu, \xi}+\left\langle\nabla_{x} z_{\mu, \xi}, \beta\right\rangle, \quad \text { for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{n} .
$$

By Theorem 2.1 iii$)$, critical points of $\left.f_{\varepsilon}\right|_{Z_{\varepsilon}}$ are also critical points of $f_{\varepsilon}$. The following Proposition, proved in [2], is very useful for the study of the reduced functional $\left.f_{\varepsilon}\right|_{Z_{\varepsilon}}$.

Proposition 3.2 Setting $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(\mu, \xi):=f_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{\mu, \xi}+w\left(\varepsilon, z_{\mu, \xi}\right)\right)$, there holds
(3.5) $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(\mu, \xi)=b_{0}-\varepsilon \Gamma(\mu, \xi)+o(\varepsilon), \quad \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\mu, \xi)=-\varepsilon \Gamma^{\prime}(\mu, \xi)+o(\varepsilon), \quad$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,
where $\varepsilon^{-1} o(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on the compact sets of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\mu, \xi)=\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int K(x) z_{\mu, \xi}^{2^{*}}(x) d x \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w\left(\varepsilon, z_{\mu, \xi}\right)$ is constructed using local inversion theorem, the functions $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ is defined only on a compact set of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$, depending on $\varepsilon$. However, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the domain of $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ invade all of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$. The behavior of the function $\Gamma$ has been studied in [2]: we collect the main features of this study in the following Proposition .

Proposition 3.3 The function $\Gamma$ is of class $C^{2}$, and can be extended to the hyperplane $\{\mu=0\}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(0, \xi)=\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int K(\xi) z_{0}^{2^{*}}(x) d x \equiv c_{0} K(\xi) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2^{*} c_{0}=\int z_{0}^{2^{*}}$. Moreover the first and the second derivatives of $\Gamma$ at $\{\mu=0\}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mu}(0, \xi)=0, \quad \Gamma_{\mu \xi_{i}}(0, \xi)=0, \quad \Gamma_{\mu \mu}(0, \xi)=c_{1} \Delta K(\xi) ; \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n 2^{*} c_{1}=\int|x|^{2} z_{0}^{2^{*}}(x) d x$.
It is also useful to study the function $\Gamma$ at infinity, i.e. for $\mu+|\xi|$ large; this can be done by using the Kelvin transform $y \rightarrow \frac{y}{|y|^{2}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Define the function $\hat{K}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $\hat{K}(x)=K\left(\frac{x}{|x|^{2}}\right)$, and consider the functional $\hat{\Gamma}(\mu, \xi)=\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int \hat{K}(x) z_{\mu, \xi}^{2^{*}}(x) d x$, which is the counterpart of $\Gamma(\mu, \xi)$ for the function $\hat{K}$.

Lemma 3.4 There holds

$$
\Gamma(\mu, \xi)=\hat{\Gamma}(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi}), \quad \bar{\mu}=\frac{\mu}{\mu^{2}+\xi^{2}}, \bar{\xi}=\frac{\xi}{\mu^{2}+\xi^{2}}
$$

i.e. the function $\Gamma$ modifies by means of a Kelvin transform in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$.

Proof. It is immediate to check that, from the relation between $K$ and $K_{0}$, there holds $K_{0} \circ \mathcal{R}=\hat{K} \circ \sigma$. If one substitutes $K$ with $\hat{K}$, and considers the corresponding functionals $\hat{f}, \hat{G}$, it can be easily deduced that $f(u)=\hat{f}\left(u^{*}\right), G(u)=\hat{G}\left(u^{*}\right)$, where $u \in E$ and $u^{*}(x)=|x|^{2-n} u\left(\frac{x}{|x|^{2}}\right)$. An easy computation shows that

$$
\left(z_{\mu, \xi}\right)^{*}=z_{\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi}}, \quad \bar{\mu}=\frac{\mu}{\mu^{2}+\xi^{2}}, \bar{\xi}=\frac{\xi}{\mu^{2}+\xi^{2}}
$$

Since $\Gamma(\mu, \xi)=G\left(z_{\mu, \xi}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\Gamma(\mu, \xi)=G\left(z_{\mu, \xi}\right)=\hat{G}\left(z_{\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi}}\right)=\hat{\Gamma}(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi})
$$

and this concludes the proof of the Lemma.

Since $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi}) \rightarrow(0,0)$ when $\mu+|\xi| \rightarrow+\infty$, the problem of studying $\Gamma$ at infinity becomes equivalent to study $\hat{\Gamma}$ near $(0,0)$.

Proposition 3.5 Suppose $K \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a Morse function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in \operatorname{Crit}(K) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta K(x) \neq 0 \tag{L}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $s>0$, let $\tilde{B}_{s}=B_{\frac{s^{2}-1}{2 s}}^{n+1}\left(\frac{s^{2}+1}{2 s}, 0\right)$. Then, for s sufficiently large, $\Gamma$ satisfies the general boundary condition on $\tilde{B}_{s}$.
Proof. Note that $\partial \tilde{B}_{s}$ is the $n$-dimensional sphere centred on the axis $\xi=0$, which intersects this axis at the points $s$ and $\frac{1}{s}$. In particular, it follows that $\partial \tilde{B}_{s}$ is invariant under the Kelvin transform $x \rightarrow \frac{x}{|x|^{2}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. It is clear that $\Gamma \in C^{1}\left(\tilde{B}_{s}\right)$. We will prove $(i)$ of Definition 2.2 by estimating the component of $\nabla \Gamma$ normal to $\partial \tilde{B}_{s}$ near the points $(0, \xi), \xi \in \operatorname{Crit}(K)$, and the tangent component on the remainder of $\partial \tilde{B}_{s}$. From expression (3.6), using the change of variables $x=\mu y+\xi$, one infers that $\Gamma(\mu, \xi)=\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int K(\mu y+\xi) z_{0}^{2^{*}}(y) d y$; the Dominated Convergence Theorem implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\xi_{i}}(\mu, \xi) \rightarrow K_{\xi_{i}}(\xi), \quad \Gamma_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}(\mu, \xi) \rightarrow K_{\xi_{i} \xi_{j}}(\xi) \quad \text { as } \mu \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $\xi$ in a fixed compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Fixed $r>0, \partial \tilde{B}_{s} \cap B_{2 r}^{n+1}(0)$ is the graph of a smooth function $h_{s}: \Pi\left(\partial \tilde{B}_{s} \cap B_{2 r}^{n+1}(0)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $s$ large. Moreover, $h_{s} \rightarrow 0$ in the $C^{2}$ norm as $s \rightarrow+\infty$, so from (3.9) it follows that

$$
\nabla \Gamma\left(x, h_{s}(x)\right) \rightarrow(0, \nabla K(x)), \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow+\infty
$$

for $x \in B_{r}^{n}(0)$, since $B_{r}^{n}(0) \subseteq \Pi\left(\partial \tilde{B}_{s} \cap B_{2 r}^{n+1}(0)\right)$. In particular we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in B_{r}^{n}(0), \nabla K(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_{\xi} \Gamma\left(x, h_{s}(x)\right) \neq 0 \quad \text { for } s \text { large. } \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (3.8) we have also

$$
\Gamma_{\mu}\left(x, h_{s}(x)\right)=c_{1} \Delta K(x) h_{s}(x)(1+o(1)), \quad \forall x \in B_{r}^{n}(0)
$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $s \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in B_{r}^{n}(0), \Delta K(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_{\mu} \Gamma\left(x, h_{s}(x)\right) \neq 0 \quad \text { for } s \text { large. } \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From condition $(L)$ and using (3.10) and (3.11), it follows that $\nabla \Gamma\left(h_{s}(x), x\right) \neq 0$ for every $x \in B_{r}^{n}$. To prove $(i)$ it is sufficient to show that $\nabla \Gamma$ is different from 0 on $\partial \tilde{B}_{s}$ also for $\mu+|\xi|$ large.

Since, as noticed before, $\tilde{B}_{s}$ is invariant under the Kelvin transform, the problem of studying $\nabla \Gamma$ on $\partial \tilde{B}_{s}$ at infinity becomes equivalent to study $\nabla \hat{\Gamma}$ on $\partial \tilde{B}_{s}$ near the origin. In particular, since

$$
\nabla \Gamma(\mu, \xi)=\frac{1}{|(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi})|^{2}}\left[\nabla \hat{\Gamma}(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi})-\frac{2}{|(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi})|^{2}}(\nabla \hat{\Gamma}(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi})(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi}))(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi})\right]
$$

then $\nabla \Gamma(\mu, \xi)=0$ if and only if $\nabla \hat{\Gamma}(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi})=0$. But $\nabla K_{0}\left(P_{N}\right) \neq 0$, hence $\nabla \hat{K}(0) \neq 0$. This implies that also $\nabla \hat{\Gamma} \neq 0$ on $\partial \tilde{B}_{s}$ near the point $(0,0)$, thus $(i)$ is proved. Condition (ii) follows from (3.9) and from the fact that the critical points of $K$ are non degenerate.

Remark 3.6 Suppose $a$ and $b$ are regular values for $K$, and that $K$ satisfies
$\left(L_{a}^{b}\right) \quad x \in K_{a}^{b}, x \in \operatorname{Crit}(K) \Rightarrow x$ is non-degenerate, and $\Delta K(x) \neq 0$.
Then, for sufficiently large, $a, b$ are regular values for $\Gamma$ on $\partial B_{s}$, and $\Gamma$ satisfies the general boundary condition on $\partial \tilde{B}_{s} \cap \Gamma_{a}^{b}$. The proof follows that of Proposition 3.5.

In the following, for brevity, we denote with $B$ a large ball $\tilde{B}_{s}$ for which Proposition 3.5 holds. We also set $\Gamma_{B}=\left.\Gamma\right|_{B}$.

## 4 Main results

We will prove existence of solutions to (3.4) by means of Theorem 2.1, finding critical points $(\mu, \xi)$ of $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ with $\mu>0$. Arguing by contradiction, we will assume throughout this section that $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ possesses no such a critical point.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose $K \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a Morse function which satisfies ( $L$ ). For $j=0, \ldots, n-1$, let $F_{j}$ be the number of critical points of $K$ with Morse index $j$ and with $\Delta K>0$. Suppose also that condition (1.9) holds. Then for $|\varepsilon|$ sufficiently small, problem (3.4) has solution.

Proof. We first consider the case $\varepsilon>0$ : the case $\varepsilon<0$ requires just some little modification. Proposition 3.5 cannot be directly applied to $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ on $B$, since condition (ii) of Definition 2.2 does not hold in general. In fact we cannot deduce a nondegeneracy condition for the critical points of $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ on $\partial B$, because we can provide $C^{1}$ estimates only. Thus we slightly modify $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ near the critical points $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{h}\right\}$ of $\left.\Gamma\right|_{\partial B}$, in order to deal with a function which satisfies the general boundary condition. Fix $\delta>0$ so small that the balls $B_{\delta}^{n+1}\left(z_{1}\right), \ldots B_{\delta}^{n+1}\left(z_{h}\right)$ are all disjoint, compactly contained in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$, and such that $\nabla \Gamma \neq 0$ in $B_{\delta}^{n+1}\left(z_{i}\right)$. Such a choice is possible because $\Gamma$ satisfies the general boundary condition in $B$.

Choose a smooth cut-off function $\psi_{\delta}$ such that $\psi_{\delta} \equiv 1$ in $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^{n+1}\left(z_{i}\right)$, and such that $\psi_{\delta} \equiv 0$ outside each $B_{\delta}^{n+1}\left(z_{i}\right)$. Consider the functions $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}=\psi_{\delta} \varepsilon \Gamma+\left(1-\psi_{\delta}\right)\left(b_{0}-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)$. For $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$ is defined on the whole $B$; moreover, by (3.5) it is $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \neq 0$ on $\partial B$, since also $\nabla \Gamma \neq 0$ there. For $\varepsilon$ small, the function $\left.\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}\right|_{\partial B}$ possesses only isolated critical points, which, by construction, are those of $\left.\Gamma\right|_{\partial B}$. Thus $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$ satisfies the general boundary condition on $B$. Hence, we can apply Theorem 2.3 to $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$ on $B$, with $a=\varepsilon c_{a}$, and $b=\varepsilon c_{b}$, where $c_{a}<c_{0} \inf K$, and $c_{b}>c_{0} \sup K$. From (3.6) we deduce that $c_{0} \inf K \leq \Gamma \leq c_{0} \sup K$, so, if $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$ are sufficiently small, $a$ and $b$ are regular values for $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$ on $B$ and on $\partial B$, and also $\left(\Gamma_{B}\right)_{a}^{b}=B$. Since we are assuming that $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ possesses no critical point, then neither $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$ has interior critical point in $B$, so it is $m_{j}=0$ for all $j$. Moreover, since the only critical points of $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$ on $\partial B$ are those of $\Gamma$, from (3.9) it follows that they are non-degenerate, and
$\mu_{j}=F_{j}$ for all $j$. Since $B$ is contractible, $\beta_{0}(B)=1$, and $\beta_{j}(B)=0$ for all $j \geq 1$; applying (2.3) to the present case we obtain

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-j} F_{j}=\sum_{j=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-j} \mu_{j}=\sum_{j=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-j} M_{j} \geq \sum_{j=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-j} \beta_{j}=(-1)^{q}
$$

but this is in contradiction with (1.9).
Remark 4.2 a) Set $S=1+\varepsilon K_{0}$, and let $D_{j}=\sharp\{x \in \operatorname{Crit}(S): M(S, x)=$ $n-j, \Delta S(x)<0\}$. Then for $q=1$ and $\varepsilon<0$, condition (1.9) becomes $D_{0}-D_{1}>1$. Thus Theorem 4.1 extends, in the perturbative case, the result in [20].
b) With the same arguments as before, we can prove existence also under condition (1.3). In fact, since $\sum_{x_{i} \in \operatorname{Crit(S)}}(-1)^{m\left(S, x_{i}\right)}=1+(-1)^{n}$, hypothesis (1.3) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x_{i} \in C r i t(S), \Delta S\left(x_{i}\right)>0}(-1)^{m\left(S, x_{i}\right)} \neq 1 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to get existence, we have only to repeat the proof of Theorem 4.1 and to use equation (2.4) instead of (2.3) at the end, to deduce

$$
1=\chi(B)=\chi\left(\left(\Gamma_{B}\right)_{a}^{b}\right)=\sum_{q \geq 0}(-1)^{q} M_{q}=\sum_{x_{i} \in \operatorname{Crit}(K), \Delta K\left(x_{i}\right)>0}(-1)^{m\left(K, x_{i}\right)},
$$

which is in contradiction with (4.1).
c) For $n=2$, in [8] is proved existence of solutions of (1.2) if $D_{0}-D_{1} \neq 1$. Theorem 4.1 with $\varepsilon<0$ and $q=1$, partially extends this result, but in a different way from (1.3).

We can use relative homology to study the topological changes in the sublevels of $\Gamma$. This allows us to provide some existence results under some "localized" hypotheses on $K$, of the type 2).

Lemma 4.3 Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be a regular value for $\Gamma$ and for $\left.\Gamma\right|_{\partial B}$. Let $f_{n}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f_{n} \rightarrow \Gamma$ on $B$ in the $C^{1}$ norm. Then $\left(f_{n}\right)^{a} \cap B$ is homeomorphic to $\left(\Gamma_{B}\right)^{a}$ for $n$ sufficiently large.

Proof. We just give a sketch, details are left to the reader. First of all we consider the case in which $\Gamma$ is a function with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and $a \neq 0$. For $\rho>0$, let $a_{\rho}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}:\left|x-\Gamma^{-1}(a)\right|<\rho\right\}$ : we take $\rho>0$ so small that $\nabla \Gamma \neq 0$ in $a_{\rho}$. Since $a$ is a regular value for $\Gamma$, and since $f_{n}$ converge to $\Gamma$ uniformly, the sets $\left(f_{n}\right)^{a}$ and $\Gamma^{a}$ coincide outside $a_{\rho}$. In $a_{\rho}$ we can consider the flow $\dot{x}=\nabla \Gamma(x)$, which is well defined because $\Gamma \in C^{2}$. For $n$ large, since $f_{n} \rightarrow \Gamma$ in $C^{1}$, the levels $\left\{f_{n}=a\right\}$ are transversal to $\nabla \Gamma$; so we can continuously deform them into $\{\Gamma=a\}$ using the gradient flow of $\Gamma$. When $\Gamma$ is just defined on $B$, it is sufficient to substitute $\nabla \Gamma$ with a suitable pseudo-gradient field $\gamma$ for $\Gamma$ near $\{\Gamma=a\}$ which leaves $\partial B$ invariant.

The following Theorem improves, in the perturbative and non-degenerate case, a result in [5]: in fact, we make assumptions only on the saddle points with Morse index 1.

Theorem 4.4 Suppose $K$ has a local minimum $x_{0}$, and that there exists $x_{1} \neq x_{0}$ with $K\left(x_{1}\right) \leq K\left(x_{0}\right)$. Let $x(t):[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a curve with $x(0)=x_{0}, x(1)=x_{1}$, and set $a=K\left(x_{0}\right), b=\max _{t} K(x(t))$. Suppose also that $K$ satisfies $\left(L_{a}^{b}\right)$, and that the following condition holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in \operatorname{Crit}(K), a<K(x) \leq b, m(x, K)=1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta K(x)<0 . \tag{H}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $|\varepsilon|$ small, problem (3.4) admits a solution.
Proof. We can suppose that $x(t)$ is a smooth curve of "mountain pass type", i.e. the supremum of $K$ on $x(t)$ is not greater than the supremum of $K$ on any curve joining $x_{0}$ to $x_{1}$. In particular, the supremum on $x(t)$ is attained only at a finite number of points $x_{2}, \ldots x_{h}$ whose Morse index is 1 . From Proposition 3.3 we have that $\Gamma\left(0, x_{0}\right)=c_{0} a$, and since $\Delta K\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, from (3.8) it follows that $\left(0, x_{0}\right)$ is a strict local minimum for $\Gamma$. Moreover (3.7) implies that $\Gamma\left(0, x_{1}\right)=c_{0} K\left(x_{1}\right) \leq c_{0} a$, so $\Gamma$ possesses a mountain pass geometry at $x_{0}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the class of curves $\mathcal{C}=$ $\left\{c:[0,1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n+1} \mid c(0)=x_{0}, c(1)=x_{1}\right\}$, and set

$$
\bar{\Gamma}=\inf _{c \in \mathcal{C}} \sup _{t} \Gamma(c(t)) .
$$

We claim that $c_{0} a<\bar{\Gamma}<c_{0} b$. The first inequality is trivial; in order to prove the second, consider the family of curves $y(t):[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$, depending on a parameter $\eta>0$, defined in the following way

$$
y(t)= \begin{cases}(0, x(t)) & \text { if }\left|x(t)-x_{j}\right| \geq \eta, \text { for all } j=2, \ldots, h \\ \left(\sqrt{1-\left|x(t)-x_{j}\right|^{2}}, x(t)\right) & \text { if }\left|x(t)-x_{j}\right|<\eta, \text { for some } j=2, \ldots, h\end{cases}
$$

Equation (3.8) implies $\Gamma(y(t))=K(x(t))+c_{1} \Delta K(x(t))\left(y_{1}(t)\right)^{2}(1+o(1))$; moreover, from hypothesis $(H)$, it follows that $\Delta K\left(x_{j}\right)<0$, for $j=2, \ldots, h$. Hence for $\eta$ sufficiently small, there holds $\sup _{t} \Gamma(y(t))<\sup _{t} K(x(t))$, so our claim is proved. We can choose $\tau>0$ such that the components of $A_{\tau}=\left\{\Gamma<c_{0} a+\tau\right\}$ containing $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ are different. Let $c(\cdot)=\left(y_{1}(\cdot)+\omega, y_{2}(\cdot), \ldots, y_{n+1}(\cdot)\right)$. By continuity, for $\omega>0$ sufficiently small, we obtain $c(t) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}, c(0), c(1) \in A_{\tau}$, and $\sup _{t} \Gamma(c(t))<c_{0} b$. Let $B$ be the ball given by Remark 3.6; we can take $B$ so large that the range of $c$ is contained in $B$, and $B$ intersects both the components of $A_{\tau}$ containing $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$. Fix $\tilde{a}$ regular for $\Gamma$ and for $\left.\Gamma\right|_{\partial B}$, such that $\max \{\Gamma(c(0)), \Gamma(c(1))\}<\tilde{a}<$ $c_{0} K\left(x_{0}\right)+\tau$, and $\tilde{b}$ regular for $\Gamma$ and for $\left.\Gamma\right|_{\partial B}$, with $\bar{\Gamma}<\tilde{b}<c_{0} b$. We need again some small modification to get the general boundary condition; so we define the functions $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. If $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$ are sufficiently small, then $\varepsilon \tilde{a}$ and $\varepsilon \tilde{b}$ are regular values for $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$, and from Lemma 4.3, it is $H_{1}\left(\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon \tilde{b}},\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}\right)^{\varepsilon \tilde{a}}\right) \simeq H_{1}\left(\Gamma_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{b}}, \Gamma^{\tilde{a}}\right)\right.$, since $\varepsilon^{-1}\left(b_{0}-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma$ in $C^{1}$ on $B$. Moreover, by the definition of $\bar{\Gamma}, \tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}$, it is
$\Gamma(c(1))<\tilde{a}$, hence $[c(1)-c(0)]$ is a 0 -cycle in $\Gamma^{\tilde{a}}$, and is the boundary of the 1-chain $[c]$ in $\Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{b}}$. On the other hand, by our choice of $\tau,[c(1)-c(0)]$ is not a boundary in $\Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{a}}$; it follows that $[c(1)-c(0)] \neq 0$ as a 0 -cycle in $\Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{a}}$. Hence, if $i$ is the inclusion $i: \Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{a}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{b}}$, it follows that $i_{*}([c(1)-c(0)])=0$. By the exactness of the homology sequence of the pair $\left(\Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{b}}, \Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{a}}\right)$

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(\Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{b}}, \Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{a}}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{*}} H_{0}\left(\Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{a}}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} H_{0}\left(\Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{b}}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots,
$$

and from the fact that $i_{*}$ has a nontrivial kernel, we deduce that $H_{1}\left(\Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{b}}, \Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{a}}\right) \neq 0$. It follows that also $H_{1}\left(\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}\right)^{\varepsilon \tilde{b}},\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}\right)^{\varepsilon \tilde{a}}\right) \neq 0$. The Morse type number $\mu_{1}$ of $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$ on $(\partial B)_{\varepsilon \tilde{a}}^{\varepsilon \tilde{b}}$ coincides with that of $\Gamma$, which is zero by assumption $(H)$. The function $\left.\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}\right|_{B}$ satisfies the general boundary condition on $B_{\varepsilon \tilde{a}}^{\varepsilon \tilde{b}}$, and there holds $\mu_{1}=0$, $\beta_{1}>0$, so, using (2.3) with $q=1$ and then with $q=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=m_{1}=M_{1} \geq \beta_{1}+M_{0}-\beta_{0} \geq 1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction.

Remark 4.5 a) A related result is given in [8], where $S$ is assumed to be a Morse function with non-zero Laplacian at each of its critical points. A solution is found if $S$ possesses at least two local maximum points, and at all saddle points it is $\Delta S>0$. For $\varepsilon<0$ we are in the same situation, but our assumptions involve only the saddle points whose level is between $a$ and $b$.
b) Suppose $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ are global minima for $K$, possibly degenerate, and let $x(t)$ be a "mountain pass type" curve joining $x_{0}$ to $x_{1}$. Set $a=\inf K, b=\sup _{t} K(x(t))$, and assume that

$$
\operatorname{Crit}(K) \cap\{a<K<b\}=\emptyset ; \quad y \in x([0,1]), K(x)=b \Rightarrow \Delta K(x)<0
$$

Also in this case $\Gamma$ has the mountain pass geometry at ( $0, x_{0}$ ), and reasoning as above, one can find $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}, c_{0} a<\tilde{a}<\tilde{b}<c_{0}$ b such that $H_{1}\left(\Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{b}}, \Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{a}}\right) \neq 0$. Since there is no critical value of $K$ in $K_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}}$, the Morse type numbers of $\Gamma$ on $(\partial B)_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}}$ are zero, and we are led again to (4.2). Hence, for $\varepsilon<0$, we recover the existence result under the assumptions in [13].
c) For $n \geq 3$, in [5] it is assumed that $S$ possesses only a finite number of critical points, and that, again, two maxima $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ are connected by a curve $x(t)$. Moreover, at every saddle point of $S$ between $\inf _{x([0,1])} S$ and $S\left(x_{0}\right)$ it must be $\Delta S>0$. Here the main difference, is that we make assumptions only at saddle points with prescribed Morse index.
d) For $\varepsilon>0$, Theorem 4.4 has no known counterpart.

Theorem 4.4 can be easily generalized in the following way.

Theorem 4.6 Suppose $K$ possesses a local minimum $x_{0}$ and $l$ connected components $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{l}$ of $\left(K^{a} \backslash x_{0}\right)$, where $a=K\left(x_{0}\right)$. For $j=1, \ldots, l$, let $c_{j}:[0,1] \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a curve with $c_{j}(0)=x_{0}, c_{j}(1) \in A_{j}$, and set $b=\max _{j} \sup _{t} K\left(c_{j}(t)\right)$. Suppose $K$ satisfies condition $\left(L_{a}^{b}\right)$, and that it possesses at most $l-1$ saddle points of Morse index 1 in $\{a<K \leq b\}$. Then for $|\varepsilon|$ small, problem (3.4) admits a solution.

Proof. It is sufficient to reason as in the proof of Theorem 4.4. Define $\mathcal{C}_{j}=\{c$ : $\left.[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1} \mid c(0)=x_{0}, c(1) \in A_{j}\right\}$, and set

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}=\max _{j}\left\{\inf _{c \in \mathcal{C}_{j}} \sup _{t} \Gamma\left(c_{j}(t)\right)\right\} .
$$

Then, again, one proves that $\tilde{\Gamma}<c_{0} b$. In this case, choosing $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}$ appropriately, it turns out that $\beta_{1}>l$; so the result again follows from the Morse inequalities.

## 5 Further results

## Isolated critical points with non-null Laplacian

We can recover the general boundary condition also when $K$ possesses isolated critical points, which can be possibly degenerate, if the Laplacian at these points has a definite sign. In order to do this, for $s>0$ we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{s}(\mu, \xi)=\Gamma(2 \mu, \xi)-\Gamma\left(2 \mu-h_{s}(\xi), \xi\right)+\Gamma(\mu, \xi)-\Gamma\left(2 h_{s}(\xi), \xi\right)+\Gamma(0, \xi) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\xi$ belongs to a fixed compact set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $2 \mu>h_{s}(\xi)$, where $h_{s}$ is the function defined in the proof of Proposition 3.5. Let $\psi_{\delta}$ be a cut-off function as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 centred at the points $\left(0, x_{j}\right)$, where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h}$ are the critical points of $K$. We can suppose that $\left|\nabla \psi_{\delta}\right|<\frac{4}{\delta}$.

Theorem 5.1 Suppose that $K$ possesses isolated critical points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h}$, and that $\Delta K\left(x_{j}\right) \neq 0$, for $j=1, \ldots, h$. Assume that for any $j=1, \ldots, h$, $\operatorname{rank} C_{q}\left(K, x_{j}\right)=$ 0 for $q$ sufficiently large. Set $\mathcal{F}_{q}=\sum_{j, \Delta K\left(x_{j}\right)>0} \operatorname{rank} C_{q}\left(K, x_{j}\right)$, and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j} \mathcal{F}_{j} \neq 1, \quad \text { or } \quad \sum_{j=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-j} \mathcal{F}_{j}-(-1)^{q} \leq-1, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $q=0, \ldots, n$. Then for $|\varepsilon|$ small, problem (3.4) admits a solution.
Proof. Again, we assume by contradiction that $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ does not possess any critical point $(\mu, \xi)$ with $\mu>0$. We show that the function $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}=\varepsilon \psi_{\delta} G_{s}+\left(1-\psi_{\delta}\right)\left(b_{0}-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)$ satisfies the general boundary condition on $B$ for suitable values of $\delta, s$ and for $\varepsilon$ arbitrarily small. First we prove that $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}$ does not possess any critical point $(\mu, \xi)$ with $\mu>0$, so in particular condition ( $i$ ) in Definition 2.2 holds.

Given $\delta>0$, we set

$$
U_{\delta}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{h}\left(B_{\delta}^{n+1}\left(\left(0, x_{j}\right)\right) \backslash B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^{n+1}\left(\left(0, x_{j}\right)\right)\right) ; \quad C_{\delta}=\inf _{U_{\delta}}|\nabla \Gamma|
$$

Since $\Delta K\left(x_{j}\right) \neq 0$, and since $x_{j}$ is an isolated critical point of $K$, we can deduce from formulas (3.8) and (3.9) that $C_{\delta}>0$. From the definition of $G_{s}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{s}\left(h_{s}(\xi), \xi\right) & =\Gamma(0, \xi)  \tag{5.3}\\
\left(G_{s}\left(h_{s}(\xi), \xi\right)\right)_{\mu}=2 \Gamma_{\mu}\left(2 h_{s}(\xi), \xi\right) & -2 \Gamma_{\mu}\left(h_{s}(\xi), \xi\right)+\Gamma_{\mu}\left(h_{s}(\xi), \xi\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and that $G_{s}(\mu, \xi) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mu, \xi)$, as $s \rightarrow+\infty, C^{1}$-uniformly on bounded sets, since $\Gamma$ and $\nabla \Gamma$ are Lipschitz functions. We also know from (3.8) that the following estimate holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mu}(\mu, \xi)=c_{1} \Delta K(\xi) \mu(1+o(1)) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\mu, \xi) \in B_{\delta}^{n+1}\left(0, x_{j}\right)$, and where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. We can choose $\delta$ to be so small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
16\left|\Delta K(\xi)-\Delta K\left(x_{j}\right)\right|<\left|\Delta K\left(x_{j}\right)\right|,\left|\xi-x_{j}\right|<\delta ; \quad 16|o(1)|<1 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, if $s$ is sufficiently large, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
20 \sup _{U_{\delta}}\left|\nabla G_{s}-\nabla \Gamma\right|<C_{\delta} ; \quad 40 \sup _{U_{\delta}}\left|G_{s}-\Gamma\right|<\delta C_{\delta} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from elementary computations we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}-\varepsilon \nabla \Gamma\right| & \leq\left|\nabla \psi_{\delta}\right|\left(\left|\varepsilon G_{s}-\varepsilon \Gamma\right|+\left|\varepsilon \Gamma-\left(b_{0}-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|\right) \\
& +\left|\psi_{\delta} \varepsilon \nabla G_{s}+\left(1-\psi_{\delta}\right) \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon \nabla \Gamma\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|\nabla \psi_{\delta}\right|<\frac{4}{\delta}$, using (5.6) it follows that

$$
\left|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}-\varepsilon \nabla \Gamma\right| \leq \frac{1}{10} \varepsilon C_{\delta}+\frac{1}{20} \varepsilon C_{\delta}+\left|\nabla \psi_{\delta}\right|\left|\varepsilon \Gamma-\left(b_{0}-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|+\left|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon \nabla \Gamma\right|
$$

Now take $\varepsilon$ so small that $10 \sup _{U_{\delta}}\left|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon \nabla \Gamma\right|<\varepsilon C_{\delta}$, and such that $40 \sup _{U_{\delta}} \mid \varepsilon \Gamma-$ $\left(b_{0}-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right) \mid<\varepsilon \delta C_{\delta}$. Taking into account (5.6), we deduce that $2\left|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}-\varepsilon \nabla \Gamma\right| \leq \varepsilon C_{\delta}$, so by the definition of $C_{\delta}$, it follows that $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s} \neq 0$ in $U_{\delta}$. Using equations (5.4) and (5.5), one proves that $2 \Delta K\left(x_{j}\right)\left(G_{s}(\mu, \xi)\right)_{\mu} \geq c_{1}\left(\Delta K\left(x_{j}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\mu+h_{s}(\xi)\right)$, for $(\mu, \xi) \in B_{\delta}^{n+1}\left(0, x_{j}\right)$, so $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s} \neq 0$ also in $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^{n+1}\left(0, x_{j}\right)$. Since $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}=b_{0}-\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ outside each $B_{\delta}^{n+1}\left(0, x_{j}\right)$, we conclude that $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}$ never vanishes, and $(i)$ is proved. As far as (ii), if $s$ is sufficiently large, and if $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small, then $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$ on $O_{\delta}=\partial B \backslash \cup_{j} B_{\delta}^{n+1}\left(0, x_{j}\right)$. Since $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}$ equals $b_{0}-\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ on $O_{\delta}$, all the critical points of $\left.\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}\right|_{\partial B}$ must be contained in the balls $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^{n+1}\left(0, x_{j}\right)$. But here, see the first formula
in (5.3), $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}=\varepsilon G_{s}$ coincide with $\varepsilon \Gamma(0, \xi)=\varepsilon c_{0} K(\cdot)$, so its critical points are isolated. This proves (ii), and thus $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}$ satisfies the general boundary condition on $B$. From the above computations it follows that the flow of $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}$ near its critical points is inward $B$, reps. outward, if also $\nabla \Gamma$ is inward, resp. outward. Hence, the $j$-th Morse type number $\mu_{j}$ of $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}$ on $\partial B$ coincides with $\mathcal{F}_{j}$, and moreover $m_{j}=0$ for all $j$, since $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta, s}$ does not possess interior critical points. Now we conclude as in Theorem 4.1 and in Remark 4.2.

## $\beta$-degeneracy

The following Lemma, see [2] describes the behavior of $\Gamma$ when a critical point of $K$ admits some degeneracy.

Lemma 5.2 Given $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, suppose that there exist $\beta_{\xi} \in(1, n)$, and $Q_{\xi}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

1) $Q_{\xi}(\lambda y)=\lambda^{\beta_{\xi}} Q_{\xi}(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \lambda>0$;
2) $K(x)=K(\xi)+Q_{\xi}(x-\xi)+o\left(|x-\xi|^{\beta_{\xi}}\right)$, as $x \rightarrow \xi$,
and let $T_{\xi}=\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int Q_{\xi} z_{0}^{2^{*}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\Gamma(\mu, \xi)-\Gamma(\mu, 0)}{\mu^{\beta}}=T_{\xi} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $q=(0, \xi)$ is an isolated critical point of $\Gamma$.
This Lemma can be applied when $K$ admits an expansion as in (1.4) near its critical points. In fact, the topological structure of the sublevels of $K$ is analogous to the non-degenerate case. There exists a unique nonnegative number $q$ for which $C_{q}\left(K, x_{i}\right) \neq 0$; this number coincides with $i(x)$, and is the corresponding of the Morse index. Moreover it turns out that $T_{x_{i}}=C_{\beta_{i}} \tilde{\Delta} K$, where $C_{\beta_{i}}>0$, and, we recall, $\tilde{\Delta} K=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}$. Hence, the quantity $\tilde{\Delta} K$ plays the role of the Laplacian in the non-degenerate case. So, Theorems 4.1, 4.4 and 4.6 can be stated with obvious changes in the case when $K$ is degenerate of order $\beta_{i} \in(1, n)$ at its critical points $x_{i}$.

## Higher dimensions

The following result generalizes Theorem 4.4, substituting $m$-dimensional balls to one dimensional curves.

Theorem 5.3 Suppose there exists a positive integer $r<n$, and a smooth embedding $h_{0}: S^{r} \rightarrow S^{n}$ such that the maximum of $K$ on $h_{0}\left(S^{r}\right)$ is attained at some critical point $x_{0} \in \operatorname{Crit}(K)$, with $\Delta K\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, and $m\left(K, x_{0}\right)=r$. Let $h: B_{1}^{r+1} \rightarrow S^{n}$
with $\left.h\right|_{\partial B_{1}^{r+1}=S^{r}}$, and let $a=K\left(x_{0}\right), b=\max _{y \in B_{1}^{r+1}} K(h(y))$. Suppose $K$ satisfies condition $\left(L_{a}^{b}\right)$, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \in C r i t(K) \cap K_{a}^{b}, m(z, K)=r+1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta K(z)<0 \tag{r}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $|\varepsilon|$ small, problem (3.4) has a solution.
Proof. The proof follows that of Theorem 4.4, but here the mountain pass construction is substituted by a linking scheme. By the non-degeneracy of $x_{0}$, there exists an $(n-r)$-dimensional subspace $H$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $K^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is positive definite. Given $\zeta>0$, we define the half-sphere $V_{\zeta}$ to be

$$
V_{\zeta}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R} \times H:\left|z-\left(0, x_{0}\right)\right|=\zeta\right\}
$$

Taking into account (3.8), we deduce that for $\zeta$ sufficiently small it is $\inf _{z \in V_{\zeta}} K(z)>$ $K\left(x_{0}\right)$. If we choose $B$ appropriately, we find an homeomorphism $\tilde{h}: S^{r} \rightarrow B$ such that $\sup _{\tilde{h}\left(S^{r}\right)} \Gamma<\inf _{V_{\zeta}} \Gamma$, and such that $\tilde{h}\left(S^{r}\right)$ and $V_{\zeta}$ homotopically link. It turns out that $\tilde{h}\left(S^{r}\right)$ and $V_{\zeta}$ also homologically link, see [11], Chapter 2.1, so we can find $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}$ such that $H_{r}\left(\Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{b}}, \Gamma_{B}^{\tilde{a}}\right) \neq 0$. To conclude, it is sufficient to use (2.3) with $q=r$ and $r+1$.

Remark 5.4 If $r=n-1$, then condition $\left(B_{r}\right)$ is automatically satisfied. For $n=2$ and $r=1$ this result has been obtained in [12].

Remark 5.5 If $\Gamma$ turns out to be a Morse function, then also some multiplicity results can be obtained. In fact, the local degree of $\Gamma$ at each of its critical points is different from 0. From expression (3.5) one deduces that $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ possesses as many stationary points as $\Gamma$. A lower bound for this number, see [2], can be found via Degree Theory, and is given by $\left|\sum_{q=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{q} F_{q}-(-1)^{n}\right|$. See also [20] for related multiplicity results.
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