# The Scalar Curvature Problem on $S^n$ : an approach via Morse Theory

Andrea Malchiodi\*

Rutgers University, Department of Mathematics Hill Center, Busch Campus 110 Frelinghuysen Road, 08854-8019 Piscataway, NJ, USA

## Abstract

We prove the existence of positive solutions for the equation on  $S^n - 4\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)}\Delta_{g_0}u + n(n-1)u = (1 + \varepsilon K_0(x))u^{2^*-1}$ , where  $\Delta_{g_0}$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $S^n$ ,  $2^*$  is the critical Sobolev exponent, and  $\varepsilon$  is a small parameter. The problem can be reduced to a finite dimensional study which is performed via Morse theory.

*Key words:* Elliptic equations, Critical Sobolev exponent, Scalar Curvature, Perturbation method, Morse Theory.

## 1 Introduction

If (M,g) is a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$ , with scalar curvature R, and one considers the conformal metric  $g' = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g$ , where u is a smooth positive function, then the scalar curvature R' of (M,g') is given by the following relation, see [3]

(1.1) 
$$-4\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)}\Delta_g u + Ru = R'u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}.$$

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by M.U.R.S.T., Variational Methods and Nonlinear Differential Equations

Here  $\Delta_g$  denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on (M, g). We also recall that for n = 2, if one sets  $g' = e^{2u}g$ , then

(1.2) 
$$-\Delta_g u + R = R' e^u.$$

The problem of prescribing scalar curvature is the following: assigned a function S on M, one looks for a metric g' conformal to g, for which  $R' \equiv S$ . Equivalently, one has to find a positive solution u to equation (1.1) or (1.2). This problem is quite delicate: for example, in [14] or [19] some non existence results on  $S^n$  and on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are shown. The Scalar Curvature Problem on  $S^n$  has been mainly faced under two types of assumptions

#### 1) Assumptions of global type

#### 2) Assumptions at prescribed levels

In the case 1), the hypotheses involve the critical points of S at all levels. Roughly, a typical result says that a solution exists provided S is a Morse function with  $\Delta S \neq 0$  at every critical point, and

(1.3) 
$$\sum_{x \in Crit(S), \Delta S(x) < 0} (-1)^{m(S,x)} \neq (-1)^n.$$

Here m(S, x) is the Morse index of S at x. For n = 2 this result has been given in [9], and in [4] for n = 3, see also [7]. For  $n \ge 4$  the situation is more delicate, and, in general one has to require a flatness condition. More precisely, see [15], [16], for every  $x_i \in Crit(S)$ , it is assumed to exist  $\beta_i \in (n - 2, n)$  such that in some orthonormal coordinates  $(y_j)$  centered at  $x_i$  it is

(1.4) 
$$S(y) = S(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j |y_j|^{\beta_i} + o(|y|^{\beta_i}),$$

with  $a_j \neq 0$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \neq 0$ . Suitable flatness conditions on the derivatives of S are also required. For every  $x \in Crit(S)$ , set  $\tilde{\Delta}S(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$ , and  $i(x) = \sharp a_j : a_j < 0$ . Then solutions of (1.1) are obtained provided

(1.5) 
$$\sum_{x \in Crit(S), \tilde{\Delta}S(x) < 0} (-1)^{i(x)} \neq (-1)^n.$$

The case 2) deals with assumptions at some prescribed levels of S. Typically, S must possess two maxima  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  which are connected by some path x(t), and

(1.6) x saddle point for S,  $\inf_{t} S(x(t)) \leq S(x) < S(x_0) \Rightarrow \Delta S(x) > 0.$ 

Results of this kind have been obtained in [8], [13] for n = 2, and in [5] for  $n \ge 3$ .

Morse Theory has been used in [12] for n = 2, and in [20] for n = 3. In particular, in [20] it is shown that a solution of (1.1) exists provided S is a Morse function and

(1.7) 
$$D_0 - D_1 + D_2 \neq 1$$
, or  $D_0 - D_1 > 1$ .

Here  $D_q = \#\{x \in Crit(S) : m(S, x) = 3 - q, \Delta S(x) < 0\}$ . Note that the first condition in (1.7) is equivalent to (1.3).

In our paper we consider the case  $(M,g) = (S^n, g_0), n \ge 3$ , and S close to a constant, i.e. S of the form  $S = 1 + \varepsilon K_0(x)$ , for  $|\varepsilon|$  small. So we are reduced to study the problem on  $S^n$ 

(1.8) 
$$-4\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)}\Delta_{g_0}u + n(n-1)u = (1+\varepsilon K_0)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}, \quad u > 0.$$

Our main results are given in Section 4. The first one, Theorem 4.1, deals with case 1). Under suitable non-degeneracy assumptions on  $K_0$ , existence of solutions is found if

(1.9) 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} F_j - (-1)^q \le -1, \quad \text{for some } q = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Here  $F_j = \sharp \{x \in Crit(K_0) : m(K_0, x) = j, \Delta K_0(x) > 0\}$ . When  $\varepsilon < 0$ , Theorem 4.1 extends, in the perturbative setting, the results in [20] to all dimensions, see Remark 4.2. Our second main result, Theorem 4.4, and its generalization Theorem 4.6, deals with case 2). The main difference with respect to [5] is that we require condition (1.6) to hold just for the saddle point of Morse index n - 1. Remark 4.5 gives precise comparisons with the results in [5], [8], and [13].

Our approach follows that of [1] and [2], where functionals of the form  $f_{\varepsilon} = f_0 - \varepsilon G$ ,  $|\varepsilon|$  small, are studied. In particular, also [2] deals with problem (1.8), and recovers existence under condition (1.5) for an order of flatness  $\beta \in (1, n)$ . See also [10] for other perturbation results.

In the present case  $f_0$  possesses a manifold of critical points  $Z \sim \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n = \{(\mu, \xi) : \mu > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ . One can show that Z perturbs to another manifold  $Z_{\varepsilon} \simeq Z$  which is a natural constraint for  $f_{\varepsilon}$ . Moreover, it turns out that  $f_{\varepsilon}|_{Z_{\varepsilon}} = b_0 - \varepsilon G(z) + o(\varepsilon)$ , where  $b_0$  is a constant. In this way, one is led to study the finite-dimensional functional  $\Gamma = G|_Z$ . In Proposition 3.5 it is shown that, from the properties on  $\Gamma$  at  $\mu = 0$  and at infinity, we can apply Morse Theory under general boundary condition, see [18]. Using this technique, we can treat the cases 1) and 2) with the same approach.

In Section 5 we state some generalizations of the above discussed results, which also include conditions of the type (1.5).

The above results are stated in the preliminary note [17].

#### Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank Prof. A. Ambrosetti for having proposed the study of this problem and for his useful advices.

### Notations

We will work mainly in the space

$$E = D^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int |\nabla u|^2 < +\infty \right\},$$

which coincides with the completion of  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with respect to the Dirichlet norm. Given a function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , where X is an Hilbert space or a Riemannian manifold, we denote with f' or with  $\nabla f$  its gradient, and we set  $Crit(f) = \{x : f'(x) = 0\}$ ; if f is of class  $C^2$ , and if  $x \in Crit(f)$ , m(f, x) is the Morse index of f at x. Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we set also  $f^a = \{x \in X : f(x) \le a\}$ , and  $f^b_a = \{x \in X : a \le f(x) \le b\}$ .  $B^m_r(y)$  stands for the m-dimensional closed ball of radius r centred at  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , while  $B_R$  is  $B_R = \{u \in E : \|u\| \le R\}$ . Embedding  $S^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  as  $S^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \|x\| = 1\}$ , we denote by  $\sigma : S^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  the stereographic projection through the north pole  $P_N$  of  $S^n$ ,  $P_N = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ , and we define  $\mathcal{R} : S^n \to S^n$  to be the reflection through the hyperplane  $x_{n+1} = 0$ . Given  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ , we denote by  $y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1}$  its components. The function  $\Pi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the projection onto the last n coordinates, and  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$  is the closure of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ .

# 2 Preliminaries

The abstract perturbation method

In this section we recall the abstract perturbation method developed in [1]. Let E be an Hilbert space, and let  $f_0, G \in C^2(E, \mathbb{R})$ . Our aim is to find critical points of the perturbed functional

(2.1) 
$$f_{\varepsilon}(u) = f_0(u) - \varepsilon G(u), \qquad u \in E.$$

The fundamental tool is the following Theorem (see [1], Lemmas 2 and 4).

**Theorem 2.1** Suppose  $f_0$  satisfies the following conditions

- f1)  $f_0$  possesses a finite dimensional manifold of critical points Z; let  $b_0 = f_0(z)$ , for all  $z \in Z$ ;
- f2)  $f_0''(z)$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero for all  $z \in Z$ ;
- f3) for all  $z \in Z$ , it is  $T_z Z = Ker f_0''(z)$ .

Then, given R > 0, there exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , and a  $C^1$  function  $w = w(z, \varepsilon) : N = Z \cap B_R \times (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0) \to E$  which satisfies the following properties

i) w(z,0) = 0 for all  $z \in Z \cap B_R$ ;

*ii)*  $w(z,\varepsilon)$  *is orthogonal to*  $T_z Z$ ,  $\forall (z,\varepsilon) \in N$ ;

iii) the manifold

$$Z_{\varepsilon} = \{ z + w(z, \varepsilon) : z \in Z \cap B_R \}$$

is a natural constraint for  $f'_{\varepsilon}$ , namely: if  $u \in Z_{\varepsilon}$  and  $f'_{\varepsilon}|_{Z_{\varepsilon}}(u) = 0$ , then it is also  $f'_{\varepsilon}(u) = 0$ .

The inclusion  $T_z Z \subseteq Ker f_0''(z)$  is always true: f3) is a non-degeneracy condition which allows to apply the Implicit Function Theorem. Since in our case the elements of Z are positive functions, one can deduce that the critical points of  $f_{\varepsilon}$  on  $Z_{\varepsilon}$  are non-negative functions. Using standard regularity arguments and the maximum principle, see for example [21] Appendix B, it is possible to prove that the critical points of  $f_{\varepsilon}|_{Z_{\varepsilon}}$  are actually regular and positive functions.

#### Morse theory for manifolds with boundary

For a complete treatment about this topic we refer to [11], where a refinement of the theory in [18] is presented. Let M be a Riemannian manifold, and let  $f \in C^1(M)$ . If p is an isolated critical point of f, with f(p) = c, and if  $q \ge 0$ , the  $q^{th}$  critical group of f at p is

$$C_q(f,p) = H_q(f^c \cap U_p, (f^c \setminus \{p\}) \cap U_p),$$

where  $U_p$  is a neighborhood of p such that  $Crit(f) \cap U_p = \{p\}$ , and  $H_q$  are the singular homology groups. By the excision property, the critical groups are well defined, i.e. they do not depend on  $U_p$ . If f is of class  $C^2$  and p is a non-degenerate critical point, then clearly  $C_q(f,p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  for q = m(f,p), and  $C_q(f,p) = 0$  otherwise. If M possesses a smooth boundary  $\partial M = \Sigma$ , which is an oriented submanifold with codimension 1, then the outward unit normal  $\nu(x)$  at  $x \in \Sigma$  is well defined.

**Definition 2.2**  $f \in C^1(M)$  is said to satisfy the general boundary condition on  $f_a^b$  if the following two properties hold

- (i)  $Crit(f) \cap (\Sigma \cap f_a^b) = \emptyset;$
- (ii) the restriction  $f|_{\Sigma} \cap f_a^b$  has only isolated critical points.

Let  $(\Sigma_{-})_{a}^{b} = \{x \in \Sigma \cap f_{a}^{b} : (f'(x), \nu(x)) \leq 0\}$ , and suppose that f has only isolated critical points in  $f_{a}^{b}$ . Let  $\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}, \ldots\}$  be the critical points of  $f_{a}^{b}$ , and  $\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j}, \ldots\}$  those of  $f|_{(\Sigma_{-})_{a}^{b}}$ ; the *Morse type numbers* of f on  $f_{a}^{b}$  and on  $(\Sigma_{-})_{a}^{b}$ are respectively defined as follows:

$$m_q = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} rank \ C_q(f, x_j), \qquad q = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
$$\mu_q = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} rank \ C_q(f|_{\Sigma_-}, y_j), \qquad q = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

The augmented Morse type numbers are

$$M_q = m_q + \mu_q, \qquad q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The following Theorem is a version of the Morse inequalities for manifolds with boundary.

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $f \in C^1(M)$ , and let a, b be regular values for f and for  $f|_{\Sigma}$ . Suppose that f has only isolated critical points and that satisfies the general boundary condition on  $f_a^b$ . Then the following version of the Morse inequalities holds

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{j\geq 0} M_j t^j = \sum_{j\geq 0} \beta_j t^j + (1+t)Q(t),$$

where  $\beta_q = \operatorname{rank} H_q(f^b, f^a), \ j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \ and \ Q(t)$  is a formal power series with non-negative coefficients.

We recall that the meaning of (2.2) is the following

(2.3) 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} M_j \ge \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \beta_j, \qquad q = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$

(2.4) 
$$\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q M_q = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q \beta_q. \qquad q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

# 3 Application to the scalar curvature problem

Solutions of equation (1.1), with  $(M, g) = (S^n, g_0)$ , can be obtained by variational methods, as critical points of the functional (3.1)

$$J(u) = 2\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \int_{S^n} |\nabla_{g_0} u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1) \int_{S^n} u^2 - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{S^n} S|u|^{2^*}, \qquad u \in H^1(S^n).$$

where  $2^* = \frac{2n}{(n-2)}$  is the critical Sobolev exponent. For  $n \ge 3$ , let  $E = D^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and denote with

(3.2) 
$$z_0 = c_n \frac{1}{(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}, \quad c_n = [4n(n-1)]^{\frac{n-2}{4}},$$

the unique (up to dilation and translation) solution to the problem

(3.3) 
$$\begin{cases} -4\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)}\Delta u = u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ u > 0, \ u \in E. \end{cases}$$

The stereographic projection  $\sigma: S^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  induces an isomorphism  $\iota: H^1(S^n) \to E$  given by  $(\iota u)(x) = z_0(x)u(\sigma^{-1}(x))$ , and  $J(u) = f(\iota u)$ , where

$$f(u) = 2\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{S}|u|^{2^*}, \qquad u \in E.$$

Here  $\bar{S}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is the function given by  $\bar{S}(x) = S(\sigma^{-1}(x))$ .

Since we consider the case  $S = 1 + \varepsilon K_0$ , it is  $\overline{S}(x) = 1 + \varepsilon K(x)$ , with  $K(x) = K_0(\sigma^{-1}(x))$ . Thus we are reduced to find solutions of

(3.4) 
$$\begin{cases} -4\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)}\Delta u = (1+\varepsilon K(x))u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n\\ u > 0, \ u \in E. \end{cases}$$

Throughout this paper, it will be always understood that K(x) originates from a smooth function  $K_0$  defined on  $S^n$ , and we suppose that  $\nabla_{g_0} K_0(P_N) \neq 0$ .

This problem has been recently tackled in [2] by using an abstract perturbation result developed in [1]. f(u) can be written as  $f(u) = f_{\varepsilon}(u) = f_0(u) - \varepsilon G(u)$ , where

$$f_0(u) = 2\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*} \int |u|^{2^*}; \qquad G(u) = \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K|u|^{2^*}, \quad u \in E.$$

The functional  $f_0$  possesses a manifold Z of critical points given by

$$Z = \left\{ z_{\mu,\xi} = \mu^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} z_0\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\mu}\right), \mu > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\} \simeq \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Z is an (n + 1)-dimensional manifold which is homeomorphic to the half space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ = \{(\mu, \xi) : \mu > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ , so hypothesis f1 in Theorem 2.1 is satisfied with  $b_0 = f_0(z_0)$ . Condition f2 holds too, since  $f''_0(z) = I - C, C$  compact for every  $z \in Z$ , while f3 is consequence of the following Lemma (see [2] or [6]).

**Lemma 3.1** For every  $z_{\mu,\xi} \in Z$ , it is  $T_{z_{\mu,\xi}}Z = Kerf_0''(z_{\mu,\xi})$ . Namely if  $u \in E$  solves

$$-4\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)}\Delta u = (2^* - 1) z_{\mu,\xi}^{2^* - 2} u,$$

then there holds

$$u = \alpha D_{\mu} z_{\mu,\xi} + \langle \nabla_x z_{\mu,\xi}, \beta \rangle, \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By Theorem 2.1 *iii*), critical points of  $f_{\varepsilon}|_{Z_{\varepsilon}}$  are also critical points of  $f_{\varepsilon}$ . The following Proposition, proved in [2], is very useful for the study of the reduced functional  $f_{\varepsilon}|_{Z_{\varepsilon}}$ .

**Proposition 3.2** Setting  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(\mu, \xi) := f_{\varepsilon}(z_{\mu,\xi} + w(\varepsilon, z_{\mu,\xi}))$ , there holds

 $\begin{array}{ll} (3.5) \quad \varphi_{\varepsilon}(\mu,\xi)=b_{0}-\varepsilon\Gamma(\mu,\xi)+o(\varepsilon), \quad \varphi_{\varepsilon}'(\mu,\xi)=-\varepsilon\Gamma'(\mu,\xi)+o(\varepsilon), \quad as \; \varepsilon \to 0, \\ where \; \varepsilon^{-1}o(\varepsilon) \to 0 \; as \; \varepsilon \to 0 \; uniformly \; on \; the \; compact \; sets \; of \; \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{+}, \; and \end{array}$ 

(3.6) 
$$\Gamma(\mu,\xi) = \frac{1}{2^*} \int K(x) z_{\mu,\xi}^{2^*}(x) dx.$$

Since  $w(\varepsilon, z_{\mu,\xi})$  is constructed using local inversion theorem, the functions  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  is defined only on a compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ , depending on  $\varepsilon$ . However, as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , the domain of  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  invade all of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ . The behavior of the function  $\Gamma$  has been studied in [2]: we collect the main features of this study in the following Proposition .

**Proposition 3.3** The function  $\Gamma$  is of class  $C^2$ , and can be extended to the hyperplane  $\{\mu = 0\}$  by setting

(3.7) 
$$\Gamma(0,\xi) = \frac{1}{2^*} \int K(\xi) z_0^{2^*}(x) dx \equiv c_0 K(\xi),$$

where  $2^* c_0 = \int z_0^{2^*}$ . Moreover the first and the second derivatives of  $\Gamma$  at  $\{\mu = 0\}$  are given by

(3.8) 
$$\Gamma_{\mu}(0,\xi) = 0, \quad \Gamma_{\mu\xi_i}(0,\xi) = 0, \quad \Gamma_{\mu\mu}(0,\xi) = c_1 \Delta K(\xi); \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $n 2^* c_1 = \int |x|^2 z_0^{2^*}(x) dx$ .

It is also useful to study the function  $\Gamma$  at *infinity*, i.e. for  $\mu + |\xi|$  large; this can be done by using the Kelvin transform  $y \to \frac{y}{|y|^2}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define the function  $\hat{K} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ as  $\hat{K}(x) = K\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)$ , and consider the functional  $\hat{\Gamma}(\mu,\xi) = \frac{1}{2^*} \int \hat{K}(x) z_{\mu,\xi}^{2^*}(x) dx$ , which is the counterpart of  $\Gamma(\mu,\xi)$  for the function  $\hat{K}$ .

Lemma 3.4 There holds

$$\Gamma(\mu,\xi) = \hat{\Gamma}(\bar{\mu},\bar{\xi}), \qquad \bar{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{\mu^2 + \xi^2}, \ \bar{\xi} = \frac{\xi}{\mu^2 + \xi^2},$$

i.e. the function  $\Gamma$  modifies by means of a Kelvin transform in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ .

*Proof.* It is immediate to check that, from the relation between K and  $K_0$ , there holds  $K_0 \circ \mathcal{R} = \hat{K} \circ \sigma$ . If one substitutes K with  $\hat{K}$ , and considers the corresponding functionals  $\hat{f}, \hat{G}$ , it can be easily deduced that  $f(u) = \hat{f}(u^*), G(u) = \hat{G}(u^*)$ , where  $u \in E$  and  $u^*(x) = |x|^{2-n}u\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)$ . An easy computation shows that

$$(z_{\mu,\xi})^* = z_{\bar{\mu},\bar{\xi}}, \qquad \bar{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{\mu^2 + \xi^2}, \ \bar{\xi} = \frac{\xi}{\mu^2 + \xi^2}$$

Since  $\Gamma(\mu,\xi) = G(z_{\mu,\xi})$ , it follows that

$$\Gamma(\mu,\xi) = G(z_{\mu,\xi}) = \hat{G}(z_{\bar{\mu},\bar{\xi}}) = \hat{\Gamma}(\bar{\mu},\bar{\xi}),$$

and this concludes the proof of the Lemma.

Since  $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\xi}) \to (0, 0)$  when  $\mu + |\xi| \to +\infty$ , the problem of studying  $\Gamma$  at infinity becomes equivalent to study  $\hat{\Gamma}$  near (0, 0).

**Proposition 3.5** Suppose  $K \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Morse function such that

(L) 
$$x \in Crit(K) \Rightarrow \Delta K(x) \neq 0.$$

For s > 0, let  $\tilde{B}_s = B_{\frac{s^2-1}{2s}}^{n+1}\left(\frac{s^2+1}{2s},0\right)$ . Then, for s sufficiently large,  $\Gamma$  satisfies the general boundary condition on  $\tilde{B}_s$ .

Proof. Note that  $\partial \tilde{B}_s$  is the *n*-dimensional sphere centred on the axis  $\xi = 0$ , which intersects this axis at the points *s* and  $\frac{1}{s}$ . In particular, it follows that  $\partial \tilde{B}_s$  is invariant under the Kelvin transform  $x \to \frac{x}{|x|^2}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is clear that  $\Gamma \in C^1(\tilde{B}_s)$ . We will prove (*i*) of Definition 2.2 by estimating the component of  $\nabla \Gamma$  normal to  $\partial \tilde{B}_s$ near the points  $(0,\xi), \xi \in Crit(K)$ , and the tangent component on the remainder of  $\partial \tilde{B}_s$ . From expression (3.6), using the change of variables  $x = \mu y + \xi$ , one infers that  $\Gamma(\mu,\xi) = \frac{1}{2^*} \int K(\mu y + \xi) z_0^{2^*}(y) dy$ ; the Dominated Convergence Theorem implies

(3.9) 
$$\Gamma_{\xi_i}(\mu,\xi) \to K_{\xi_i}(\xi), \quad \Gamma_{\xi_i\xi_j}(\mu,\xi) \to K_{\xi_i\xi_j}(\xi) \quad \text{as } \mu \to 0,$$

uniformly for  $\xi$  in a fixed compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Fixed r > 0,  $\partial \tilde{B}_s \cap B_{2r}^{n+1}(0)$  is the graph of a smooth function  $h_s : \Pi(\partial \tilde{B}_s \cap B_{2r}^{n+1}(0)) \to \mathbb{R}$  for s large. Moreover,  $h_s \to 0$  in the  $C^2$  norm as  $s \to +\infty$ , so from (3.9) it follows that

$$\nabla \Gamma(x, h_s(x)) \to (0, \nabla K(x)), \quad \text{as } s \to +\infty,$$

for  $x \in B_r^n(0)$ , since  $B_r^n(0) \subseteq \prod(\partial \tilde{B}_s \cap B_{2r}^{n+1}(0))$ . In particular we deduce that

(3.10) 
$$x \in B_r^n(0), \nabla K(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_{\xi} \Gamma(x, h_s(x)) \neq 0$$
 for s large.

From equation (3.8) we have also

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(x, h_s(x)) = c_1 \Delta K(x) h_s(x) (1 + o(1)), \qquad \forall x \in B_r^n(0),$$

where  $o(1) \to 0$  uniformly as  $s \to +\infty$ . Hence

(3.11) 
$$x \in B_r^n(0), \Delta K(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_\mu \Gamma(x, h_s(x)) \neq 0$$
 for s large

From condition (L) and using (3.10) and (3.11), it follows that  $\nabla\Gamma(h_s(x), x) \neq 0$ for every  $x \in B_r^n$ . To prove (i) it is sufficient to show that  $\nabla\Gamma$  is different from 0 on  $\partial \tilde{B}_s$  also for  $\mu + |\xi|$  large.

Since, as noticed before,  $\tilde{B}_s$  is invariant under the Kelvin transform, the problem of studying  $\nabla\Gamma$  on  $\partial\tilde{B}_s$  at infinity becomes equivalent to study  $\nabla\hat{\Gamma}$  on  $\partial\tilde{B}_s$  near the origin. In particular, since

$$\nabla \Gamma(\mu,\xi) = \frac{1}{|(\bar{\mu},\bar{\xi})|^2} \left[ \nabla \hat{\Gamma}(\bar{\mu},\bar{\xi}) - \frac{2}{|(\bar{\mu},\bar{\xi})|^2} \left( \nabla \hat{\Gamma}(\bar{\mu},\bar{\xi}) \left(\bar{\mu},\bar{\xi}\right) \right) (\bar{\mu},\bar{\xi}) \right],$$

then  $\nabla\Gamma(\mu,\xi) = 0$  if and only if  $\nabla\hat{\Gamma}(\bar{\mu},\bar{\xi}) = 0$ . But  $\nabla K_0(P_N) \neq 0$ , hence  $\nabla\hat{K}(0) \neq 0$ . This implies that also  $\nabla\hat{\Gamma} \neq 0$  on  $\partial\hat{B}_s$  near the point (0,0), thus (i) is proved. Condition (ii) follows from (3.9) and from the fact that the critical points of K are non degenerate. **Remark 3.6** Suppose a and b are regular values for K, and that K satisfies

 $(L^b_a)$   $x \in K^b_a, x \in Crit(K) \Rightarrow x \text{ is non-degenerate, and } \Delta K(x) \neq 0.$ 

Then, for s sufficiently large, a, b are regular values for  $\Gamma$  on  $\partial B_s$ , and  $\Gamma$  satisfies the general boundary condition on  $\partial \tilde{B}_s \cap \Gamma_a^b$ . The proof follows that of Proposition 3.5.

In the following, for brevity, we denote with B a large ball  $\tilde{B}_s$  for which Proposition 3.5 holds. We also set  $\Gamma_B = \Gamma|_B$ .

## 4 Main results

We will prove existence of solutions to (3.4) by means of Theorem 2.1, finding critical points  $(\mu, \xi)$  of  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  with  $\mu > 0$ . Arguing by contradiction, we will assume throughout this section that  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  possesses no such a critical point.

**Theorem 4.1** Suppose  $K \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Morse function which satisfies (L). For j = 0, ..., n - 1, let  $F_j$  be the number of critical points of K with Morse index j and with  $\Delta K > 0$ . Suppose also that condition (1.9) holds. Then for  $|\varepsilon|$  sufficiently small, problem (3.4) has solution.

*Proof.* We first consider the case ε > 0: the case ε < 0 requires just some little modification. Proposition 3.5 cannot be directly applied to  $φ_ε$  on *B*, since condition (*ii*) of Definition 2.2 does not hold in general. In fact we cannot deduce a non-degeneracy condition for the critical points of  $φ_ε$  on ∂B, because we can provide  $C^1$  estimates only. Thus we slightly modify  $φ_ε$  near the critical points  $\{z_1, \ldots, z_h\}$  of  $Γ|_{∂B}$ , in order to deal with a function which satisfies the general boundary condition. Fix δ > 0 so small that the balls  $B_δ^{n+1}(z_1), \ldots B_\delta^{n+1}(z_h)$  are all disjoint, compactly contained in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ , and such that  $∇Γ \neq 0$  in  $B_\delta^{n+1}(z_i)$ . Such a choice is possible because Γ satisfies the general boundary condition in *B*.

Choose a smooth cut-off function  $\psi_{\delta}$  such that  $\psi_{\delta} \equiv 1$  in  $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^{n+1}(z_i)$ , and such that  $\psi_{\delta} \equiv 0$  outside each  $B_{\delta}^{n+1}(z_i)$ . Consider the functions  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} = \psi_{\delta} \varepsilon \Gamma + (1-\psi_{\delta}) (b_0 - \varphi_{\varepsilon})$ . For  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small,  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  is defined on the whole B; moreover, by (3.5) it is  $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \neq 0$  on  $\partial B$ , since also  $\nabla \Gamma \neq 0$  there. For  $\varepsilon$  small, the function  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}|_{\partial B}$  possesses only isolated critical points, which, by construction, are those of  $\Gamma|_{\partial B}$ . Thus  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  satisfies the general boundary condition on B. Hence, we can apply Theorem 2.3 to  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  on B, with  $a = \varepsilon c_a$ , and  $b = \varepsilon c_b$ , where  $c_a < c_0 \inf K$ , and  $c_b > c_0 \sup K$ . From (3.6) we deduce that  $c_0 \inf K \leq \Gamma \leq c_0 \sup K$ , so, if  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  are sufficiently small, a and b are regular values for  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  on B and on  $\partial B$ , and also  $(\Gamma_B)_a^b = B$ . Since we are assuming that  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  possesses no critical point, then neither  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  has interior critical point in B, so it is  $m_j = 0$  for all j. Moreover, since the only critical points of  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  on  $\partial B$  are those of  $\Gamma$ , from (3.9) it follows that they are non-degenerate, and  $\mu_j = F_j$  for all j. Since B is contractible,  $\beta_0(B) = 1$ , and  $\beta_j(B) = 0$  for all  $j \ge 1$ ; applying (2.3) to the present case we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} F_j = \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \mu_j = \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} M_j \ge \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \beta_j = (-1)^q,$$

but this is in contradiction with (1.9).

**Remark 4.2** a) Set  $S = 1 + \varepsilon K_0$ , and let  $D_j = \#\{x \in Crit(S) : M(S, x) = n - j, \Delta S(x) < 0\}$ . Then for q = 1 and  $\varepsilon < 0$ , condition (1.9) becomes  $D_0 - D_1 > 1$ . Thus Theorem 4.1 extends, in the perturbative case, the result in [20].

b) With the same arguments as before, we can prove existence also under condition (1.3). In fact, since  $\sum_{x_i \in Crit(S)} (-1)^{m(S,x_i)} = 1 + (-1)^n$ , hypothesis (1.3) is equivalent to

(4.1) 
$$\sum_{x_i \in Crit(S), \Delta S(x_i) > 0} (-1)^{m(S, x_i)} \neq 1.$$

In order to get existence, we have only to repeat the proof of Theorem 4.1 and to use equation (2.4) instead of (2.3) at the end, to deduce

$$1 = \chi(B) = \chi((\Gamma_B)_a^b) = \sum_{q \ge 0} (-1)^q M_q = \sum_{x_i \in Crit(K), \Delta K(x_i) > 0} (-1)^{m(K, x_i)},$$

which is in contradiction with (4.1).

c) For n = 2, in [8] is proved existence of solutions of (1.2) if  $D_0 - D_1 \neq 1$ . Theorem 4.1 with  $\varepsilon < 0$  and q = 1, partially extends this result, but in a different way from (1.3).

We can use relative homology to study the topological changes in the sublevels of  $\Gamma$ . This allows us to provide some existence results under some "localized" hypotheses on K, of the type 2).

**Lemma 4.3** Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  be a regular value for  $\Gamma$  and for  $\Gamma|_{\partial B}$ . Let  $f_n : \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_n \to \Gamma$  on B in the  $C^1$  norm. Then  $(f_n)^a \cap B$  is homeomorphic to  $(\Gamma_B)^a$  for n sufficiently large.

Proof. We just give a sketch, details are left to the reader. First of all we consider the case in which  $\Gamma$  is a function with compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , and  $a \neq 0$ . For  $\rho > 0$ , let  $a_{\rho} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x - \Gamma^{-1}(a)| < \rho\}$ : we take  $\rho > 0$  so small that  $\nabla \Gamma \neq 0$ in  $a_{\rho}$ . Since a is a regular value for  $\Gamma$ , and since  $f_n$  converge to  $\Gamma$  uniformly, the sets  $(f_n)^a$  and  $\Gamma^a$  coincide outside  $a_{\rho}$ . In  $a_{\rho}$  we can consider the flow  $\dot{x} = \nabla \Gamma(x)$ , which is well defined because  $\Gamma \in C^2$ . For n large, since  $f_n \to \Gamma$  in  $C^1$ , the levels  $\{f_n = a\}$ are transversal to  $\nabla \Gamma$ ; so we can continuously deform them into  $\{\Gamma = a\}$  using the gradient flow of  $\Gamma$ . When  $\Gamma$  is just defined on B, it is sufficient to substitute  $\nabla \Gamma$  with a suitable pseudo-gradient field  $\gamma$  for  $\Gamma$  near  $\{\Gamma = a\}$  which leaves  $\partial B$ invariant. The following Theorem improves, in the perturbative and non-degenerate case, a result in [5]: in fact, we make assumptions only on the saddle points with Morse index 1.

**Theorem 4.4** Suppose K has a local minimum  $x_0$ , and that there exists  $x_1 \neq x_0$ with  $K(x_1) \leq K(x_0)$ . Let  $x(t) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a curve with  $x(0) = x_0, x(1) = x_1$ , and set  $a = K(x_0), b = \max_t K(x(t))$ . Suppose also that K satisfies  $(L_a^b)$ , and that the following condition holds

$$(H) x \in Crit(K), a < K(x) \le b, m(x, K) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta K(x) < 0.$$

Then for  $|\varepsilon|$  small, problem (3.4) admits a solution.

Proof. We can suppose that x(t) is a smooth curve of "mountain pass type", i.e. the supremum of K on x(t) is not greater than the supremum of K on any curve joining  $x_0$  to  $x_1$ . In particular, the supremum on x(t) is attained only at a finite number of points  $x_2, \ldots x_h$  whose Morse index is 1. From Proposition 3.3 we have that  $\Gamma(0, x_0) = c_0 a$ , and since  $\Delta K(x_0) > 0$ , from (3.8) it follows that  $(0, x_0)$  is a strict local minimum for  $\Gamma$ . Moreover (3.7) implies that  $\Gamma(0, x_1) = c_0 K(x_1) \leq c_0 a$ , so  $\Gamma$  possesses a mountain pass geometry at  $x_0$ . Let C be the class of curves C = $\{c: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ | c(0) = x_0, c(1) = x_1\}$ , and set

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \inf_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{t} \Gamma(c(t)).$$

We claim that  $c_0 a < \overline{\Gamma} < c_0 b$ . The first inequality is trivial; in order to prove the second, consider the family of curves  $y(t) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ , depending on a parameter  $\eta > 0$ , defined in the following way

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} (0, x(t)) & \text{if } |x(t) - x_j| \ge \eta, \text{ for all } j = 2, \dots, h; \\ (\sqrt{1 - |x(t) - x_j|^2}, x(t)) & \text{if } |x(t) - x_j| < \eta, \text{ for some } j = 2, \dots, h. \end{cases}$$

Equation (3.8) implies  $\Gamma(y(t)) = K(x(t)) + c_1 \Delta K(x(t)) (y_1(t))^2 (1+o(1))$ ; moreover, from hypothesis (H), it follows that  $\Delta K(x_j) < 0$ , for  $j = 2, \ldots, h$ . Hence for  $\eta$ sufficiently small, there holds  $\sup_t \Gamma(y(t)) < \sup_t K(x(t))$ , so our claim is proved. We can choose  $\tau > 0$  such that the components of  $A_{\tau} = \{\Gamma < c_0 a + \tau\}$  containing  $x_0$ and  $x_1$  are different. Let  $c(\cdot) = (y_1(\cdot) + \omega, y_2(\cdot), \ldots, y_{n+1}(\cdot))$ . By continuity, for  $\omega > 0$ sufficiently small, we obtain  $c(t) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ ,  $c(0), c(1) \in A_{\tau}$ , and  $\sup_t \Gamma(c(t)) < c_0 b$ . Let B be the ball given by Remark 3.6; we can take B so large that the range of c is contained in B, and B intersects both the components of  $A_{\tau}$  containing  $x_0$ and  $x_1$ . Fix  $\tilde{a}$  regular for  $\Gamma$  and for  $\Gamma|_{\partial B}$ , such that  $\max\{\Gamma(c(0)), \Gamma(c(1))\} < \tilde{a} < c_0 K(x_0) + \tau$ , and  $\tilde{b}$  regular for  $\Gamma$  and for  $\Gamma|_{\partial B}$ , with  $\bar{\Gamma} < \tilde{b} < c_0 b$ . We need again some small modification to get the general boundary condition; so we define the functions  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. If  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are sufficiently small, then  $\varepsilon \tilde{a}$  and  $\varepsilon \tilde{b}$  are regular values for  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$ , and from Lemma 4.3, it is  $H_1((\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta})^{\varepsilon \tilde{b}}, (\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta})^{\varepsilon \tilde{a}}) \simeq H_1(\Gamma^{\tilde{b}}, \Gamma^{\tilde{a}})$ , since  $\varepsilon^{-1}(b_0 - \varphi_{\varepsilon}) \to \Gamma$  in  $C^1$  on B. Moreover, by the definition of  $\bar{\Gamma}, \tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{b}$ , it is  $\Gamma(c(1)) < \tilde{a}$ , hence [c(1) - c(0)] is a 0-cycle in  $\Gamma^{\tilde{a}}$ , and is the boundary of the 1-chain [c] in  $\Gamma^{\tilde{b}}_{B}$ . On the other hand, by our choice of  $\tau$ , [c(1) - c(0)] is not a boundary in  $\Gamma^{\tilde{a}}_{B}$ ; it follows that  $[c(1) - c(0)] \neq 0$  as a 0-cycle in  $\Gamma^{\tilde{a}}_{B}$ . Hence, if i is the inclusion  $i : \Gamma^{\tilde{a}}_{B} \to \Gamma^{\tilde{b}}_{B}$ , it follows that  $i_*([c(1) - c(0)]) = 0$ . By the exactness of the homology sequence of the pair  $(\Gamma^{\tilde{b}}_{B}, \Gamma^{\tilde{a}}_{B})$ 

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_1(\Gamma_B^{\tilde{b}}, \Gamma_B^{\tilde{a}}) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_0(\Gamma_B^{\tilde{a}}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_0(\Gamma_B^{\tilde{b}}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and from the fact that  $i_*$  has a nontrivial kernel, we deduce that  $H_1(\Gamma_B^{\bar{b}}, \Gamma_B^{\bar{a}}) \neq 0$ . It follows that also  $H_1((\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta})^{\varepsilon \tilde{b}}, (\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta})^{\varepsilon \tilde{a}}) \neq 0$ . The Morse type number  $\mu_1$  of  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  on  $(\partial B)_{\varepsilon \tilde{a}}^{\varepsilon \tilde{b}}$  coincides with that of  $\Gamma$ , which is zero by assumption (H). The function  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}|_B$  satisfies the general boundary condition on  $B_{\varepsilon \tilde{a}}^{\varepsilon \tilde{b}}$ , and there holds  $\mu_1 = 0$ ,  $\beta_1 > 0$ , so, using (2.3) with q = 1 and then with q = 0, we obtain

(4.2) 
$$0 = m_1 = M_1 \ge \beta_1 + M_0 - \beta_0 \ge 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

**Remark 4.5** a) A related result is given in [8], where S is assumed to be a Morse function with non-zero Laplacian at each of its critical points. A solution is found if S possesses at least two local maximum points, and at all saddle points it is  $\Delta S > 0$ . For  $\varepsilon < 0$  we are in the same situation, but our assumptions involve only the saddle points whose level is between a and b.

b) Suppose  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  are global minima for K, possibly degenerate, and let x(t) be a "mountain pass type" curve joining  $x_0$  to  $x_1$ . Set  $a = \inf K$ ,  $b = \sup_t K(x(t))$ , and assume that

$$Crit(K) \cap \{a < K < b\} = \emptyset; \quad y \in x([0,1]), K(x) = b \Rightarrow \Delta K(x) < 0,$$

Also in this case  $\Gamma$  has the mountain pass geometry at  $(0, x_0)$ , and reasoning as above, one can find  $\tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{b}$ ,  $c_0 a < \tilde{a} < \tilde{b} < c_0 b$  such that  $H_1(\Gamma_B^{\tilde{b}}, \Gamma_B^{\tilde{a}}) \neq 0$ . Since there is no critical value of K in  $K_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}}$ , the Morse type numbers of  $\Gamma$  on  $(\partial B)_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}}$  are zero, and we are led again to (4.2). Hence, for  $\varepsilon < 0$ , we recover the existence result under the assumptions in [13].

c) For  $n \geq 3$ , in [5] it is assumed that S possesses only a finite number of critical points, and that, again, two maxima  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  are connected by a curve x(t). Moreover, at every saddle point of S between  $\inf_{x([0,1])} S$  and  $S(x_0)$  it must be  $\Delta S > 0$ . Here the main difference, is that we make assumptions only at saddle points with prescribed Morse index.

d) For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , Theorem 4.4 has no known counterpart.

Theorem 4.4 can be easily generalized in the following way.

**Theorem 4.6** Suppose K possesses a local minimum  $x_0$  and l connected components  $A_1, \ldots, A_l$  of  $(K^a \setminus x_0)$ , where  $a = K(x_0)$ . For  $j = 1, \ldots, l$ , let  $c_j : [0, 1] \to S^n$  be a curve with  $c_j(0) = x_0, c_j(1) \in A_j$ , and set  $b = \max_j \sup_t K(c_j(t))$ . Suppose K satisfies condition  $(L_a^b)$ , and that it possesses at most l - 1 saddle points of Morse index 1 in  $\{a < K \leq b\}$ . Then for  $|\varepsilon|$  small, problem (3.4) admits a solution.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to reason as in the proof of Theorem 4.4. Define  $C_j = \{c : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ | c(0) = x_0, c(1) \in A_j\}$ , and set

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \max_{j} \{ \inf_{c \in \mathcal{C}_j} \sup_{t} \Gamma(c_j(t)) \}.$$

Then, again, one proves that  $\tilde{\Gamma} < c_0 b$ . In this case, choosing  $\tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{b}$  appropriately, it turns out that  $\beta_1 > l$ ; so the result again follows from the Morse inequalities.  $\Box$ 

## 5 Further results

Isolated critical points with non-null Laplacian

We can recover the general boundary condition also when K possesses isolated critical points, which can be possibly degenerate, if the Laplacian at these points has a definite sign. In order to do this, for s > 0 we set

(5.1) 
$$G_s(\mu,\xi) = \Gamma(2\mu,\xi) - \Gamma(2\mu - h_s(\xi),\xi) + \Gamma(\mu,\xi) - \Gamma(2h_s(\xi),\xi) + \Gamma(0,\xi).$$

Here  $\xi$  belongs to a fixed compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $2\mu > h_s(\xi)$ , where  $h_s$  is the function defined in the proof of Proposition 3.5. Let  $\psi_{\delta}$  be a cut-off function as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 centred at the points  $(0, x_j)$ , where  $x_1, \ldots, x_h$  are the critical points of K. We can suppose that  $|\nabla \psi_{\delta}| < \frac{4}{\delta}$ .

**Theorem 5.1** Suppose that K possesses isolated critical points  $x_1, \ldots, x_h$ , and that  $\Delta K(x_j) \neq 0$ , for  $j = 1, \ldots, h$ . Assume that for any  $j = 1, \ldots, h$ , rank  $C_q(K, x_j) = 0$  for q sufficiently large. Set  $\mathcal{F}_q = \sum_{j,\Delta K(x_j)>0} \operatorname{rank} C_q(K, x_j)$ , and suppose that

(5.2) 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{j} \mathcal{F}_{j} \neq 1, \quad or \quad \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \mathcal{F}_{j} - (-1)^{q} \leq -1,$$

for some q = 0, ..., n. Then for  $|\varepsilon|$  small, problem (3.4) admits a solution.

*Proof.* Again, we assume by contradiction that  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  does not possess any critical point  $(\mu, \xi)$  with  $\mu > 0$ . We show that the function  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s} = \varepsilon \psi_{\delta} G_s + (1 - \psi_{\delta}) (b_0 - \varphi_{\varepsilon})$  satisfies the general boundary condition on B for suitable values of  $\delta$ , s and for  $\varepsilon$  arbitrarily small. First we prove that  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s}$  does not possess any critical point  $(\mu, \xi)$  with  $\mu > 0$ , so in particular condition (i) in Definition 2.2 holds.

Given  $\delta > 0$ , we set

$$U_{\delta} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} \left( B^{n+1}_{\delta}((0,x_j)) \setminus B^{n+1}_{\frac{\delta}{2}}((0,x_j)) \right); \qquad C_{\delta} = \inf_{U_{\delta}} |\nabla \Gamma|.$$

Since  $\Delta K(x_j) \neq 0$ , and since  $x_j$  is an isolated critical point of K, we can deduce from formulas (3.8) and (3.9) that  $C_{\delta} > 0$ . From the definition of  $G_s$  it follows that

(5.3) 
$$G_s(h_s(\xi),\xi) = \Gamma(0,\xi), (G_s(h_s(\xi),\xi))_{\mu} = 2\Gamma_{\mu}(2h_s(\xi),\xi) - 2\Gamma_{\mu}(h_s(\xi),\xi) + \Gamma_{\mu}(h_s(\xi),\xi),$$

and that  $G_s(\mu,\xi) \to \Gamma(\mu,\xi)$ , as  $s \to +\infty$ ,  $C^1$ -uniformly on bounded sets, since  $\Gamma$  and  $\nabla\Gamma$  are Lipschitz functions. We also know from (3.8) that the following estimate holds

(5.4) 
$$\Gamma_{\mu}(\mu,\xi) = c_1 \Delta K(\xi) \mu \left(1 + o(1)\right),$$

where  $(\mu, \xi) \in B^{n+1}_{\delta}(0, x_j)$ , and where  $o(1) \to 0$  uniformly as  $\delta \to 0$ . We can choose  $\delta$  to be so small that

(5.5) 
$$16 |\Delta K(\xi) - \Delta K(x_j)| < |\Delta K(x_j)|, |\xi - x_j| < \delta; \qquad 16 |o(1)| < 1.$$

Next, if s is sufficiently large, there holds

(5.6) 
$$20 \sup_{U_{\delta}} |\nabla G_s - \nabla \Gamma| < C_{\delta}; \qquad 40 \sup_{U_{\delta}} |G_s - \Gamma| < \delta C_{\delta}.$$

Hence, from elementary computations we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s} - \varepsilon \nabla \Gamma| &\leq |\nabla \psi_{\delta}| \left( |\varepsilon G_s - \varepsilon \Gamma| + |\varepsilon \Gamma - (b_0 - \varphi_{\varepsilon})| \right) \\ &+ |\psi_{\delta} \varepsilon \nabla G_s + (1 - \psi_{\delta}) \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \nabla \Gamma|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\nabla \psi_{\delta}| < \frac{4}{\delta}$ , using (5.6) it follows that

$$|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s} - \varepsilon \nabla \Gamma| \leq \frac{1}{10} \varepsilon C_{\delta} + \frac{1}{20} \varepsilon C_{\delta} + |\nabla \psi_{\delta}| |\varepsilon \Gamma - (b_0 - \varphi_{\varepsilon})| + |\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \nabla \Gamma|.$$

Now take  $\varepsilon$  so small that  $10 \sup_{U_{\delta}} |\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \nabla \Gamma| < \varepsilon C_{\delta}$ , and such that  $40 \sup_{U_{\delta}} |\varepsilon \Gamma - (b_0 - \varphi_{\varepsilon})| < \varepsilon \delta C_{\delta}$ . Taking into account (5.6), we deduce that  $2 |\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s} - \varepsilon \nabla \Gamma| \leq \varepsilon C_{\delta}$ , so by the definition of  $C_{\delta}$ , it follows that  $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s} \neq 0$  in  $U_{\delta}$ . Using equations (5.4) and (5.5), one proves that  $2\Delta K(x_j) (G_s(\mu,\xi))_{\mu} \geq c_1 (\Delta K(x_j))^2 (\mu + h_s(\xi))$ , for  $(\mu,\xi) \in B_{\delta}^{n+1}(0,x_j)$ , so  $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s} \neq 0$  also in  $B_{\delta}^{n+1}(0,x_j)$ . Since  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s} = b_0 - \varphi_{\varepsilon}$  outside each  $B_{\delta}^{n+1}(0,x_j)$ , we conclude that  $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s}$  never vanishes, and (i) is proved. As far as (ii), if s is sufficiently large, and if  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, then  $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$  on  $O_{\delta} = \partial B \setminus \bigcup_{j} B_{\delta}^{n+1}(0,x_j)$ . Since  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s}$  equals  $b_0 - \varphi_{\varepsilon}$  on  $O_{\delta}$ , all the critical points of  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s}|_{\partial B}$  must be contained in the balls  $B_{\delta}^{n+1}(0,x_j)$ . But here, see the first formula

in (5.3),  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s} = \varepsilon G_s$  coincide with  $\varepsilon \Gamma(0,\xi) = \varepsilon c_0 K(\cdot)$ , so its critical points are isolated. This proves (*ii*), and thus  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s}$  satisfies the general boundary condition on B. From the above computations it follows that the flow of  $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s}$  near its critical points is inward B, reps. outward, if also  $\nabla \Gamma$  is inward, resp. outward. Hence, the j-th Morse type number  $\mu_j$  of  $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s}$  on  $\partial B$  coincides with  $\mathcal{F}_j$ , and moreover  $m_j = 0$ for all j, since  $\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\delta,s}$  does not possess interior critical points. Now we conclude as in Theorem 4.1 and in Remark 4.2.

### $\beta$ -degeneracy

The following Lemma, see [2] describes the behavior of  $\Gamma$  when a critical point of K admits some degeneracy.

**Lemma 5.2** Given  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , suppose that there exist  $\beta_{\xi} \in (1, n)$ , and  $Q_{\xi} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

1)  $Q_{\xi}(\lambda y) = \lambda^{\beta_{\xi}} Q_{\xi}(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \lambda > 0;$ 2)  $K(x) = K(\xi) + Q_{\xi}(x - \xi) + o(|x - \xi|^{\beta_{\xi}}), \text{ as } x \to \xi,$ and let  $T_{\xi} = \frac{1}{2^{*}} \int Q_{\xi} z_{0}^{2^{*}}.$  Then

(5.7) 
$$\lim_{\mu \to 0^+} \frac{\Gamma(\mu, \xi) - \Gamma(\mu, 0)}{\mu^{\beta}} = T_{\xi}.$$

Moreover  $q = (0, \xi)$  is an isolated critical point of  $\Gamma$ .

This Lemma can be applied when K admits an expansion as in (1.4) near its critical points. In fact, the topological structure of the sublevels of K is analogous to the non-degenerate case. There exists a unique nonnegative number q for which  $C_q(K, x_i) \neq 0$ ; this number coincides with i(x), and is the corresponding of the Morse index. Moreover it turns out that  $T_{x_i} = C_{\beta_i} \tilde{\Delta} K$ , where  $C_{\beta_i} > 0$ , and, we recall,  $\tilde{\Delta} K = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$ . Hence, the quantity  $\tilde{\Delta} K$  plays the role of the Laplacian in the non-degenerate case. So, Theorems 4.1, 4.4 and 4.6 can be stated with obvious changes in the case when K is degenerate of order  $\beta_i \in (1, n)$  at its critical points  $x_i$ .

#### Higher dimensions

The following result generalizes Theorem 4.4, substituting m-dimensional balls to one dimensional curves.

**Theorem 5.3** Suppose there exists a positive integer r < n, and a smooth embedding  $h_0: S^r \to S^n$  such that the maximum of K on  $h_0(S^r)$  is attained at some critical point  $x_0 \in Crit(K)$ , with  $\Delta K(x_0) > 0$ , and  $m(K, x_0) = r$ . Let  $h: B_1^{r+1} \to S^n$  with  $h|_{\partial B_1^{r+1}=S^r}$ , and let  $a = K(x_0)$ ,  $b = \max_{y \in B_1^{r+1}} K(h(y))$ . Suppose K satisfies condition  $(L_a^b)$ , and that

$$(B_r) z \in Crit(K) \cap K_a^b, m(z,K) = r+1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta K(z) < 0.$$

Then for  $|\varepsilon|$  small, problem (3.4) has a solution.

*Proof.* The proof follows that of Theorem 4.4, but here the mountain pass construction is substituted by a linking scheme. By the non-degeneracy of  $x_0$ , there exists an (n-r)-dimensional subspace H of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  where  $K''(x_0)$  is positive definite. Given  $\zeta > 0$ , we define the half-sphere  $V_{\zeta}$  to be

$$V_{\zeta} = \{ z \in \mathbb{R} \times H : |z - (0, x_0)| = \zeta \}.$$

Taking into account (3.8), we deduce that for  $\zeta$  sufficiently small it is  $\inf_{z \in V_{\zeta}} K(z) > K(x_0)$ . If we choose B appropriately, we find an homeomorphism  $\tilde{h}: S^r \to B$  such that  $\sup_{\tilde{h}(S^r)} \Gamma < \inf_{V_{\zeta}} \Gamma$ , and such that  $\tilde{h}(S^r)$  and  $V_{\zeta}$  homotopically link. It turns out that  $\tilde{h}(S^r)$  and  $V_{\zeta}$  also homologically link, see [11], Chapter 2.1, so we can find  $\tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{b}$  such that  $H_r(\Gamma_B^{\tilde{b}}, \Gamma_B^{\tilde{a}}) \neq 0$ . To conclude, it is sufficient to use (2.3) with q = r and r + 1.

**Remark 5.4** If r = n-1, then condition  $(B_r)$  is automatically satisfied. For n = 2 and r = 1 this result has been obtained in [12].

**Remark 5.5** If  $\Gamma$  turns out to be a Morse function, then also some multiplicity results can be obtained. In fact, the local degree of  $\Gamma$  at each of its critical points is different from 0. From expression (3.5) one deduces that  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  possesses as many stationary points as  $\Gamma$ . A lower bound for this number, see [2], can be found via Degree Theory, and is given by  $\left|\sum_{q=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{q}F_{q}-(-1)^{n}\right|$ . See also [20] for related multiplicity results.

## References

- AMBROSETTI, A., BADIALE, M., Homoclinics: Poincarè-Melnikov type results via a variational approach, Ann. Inst. Henri. Poincarè Analyse Non Linéaire 15 (1998), 233-252. Preliminary note on C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 323 Série I (1996), 753-758.
- [2] AMBROSETTI, A., GARCIA AZORERO, J., PERAL, I., Perturbation of  $-\Delta u + u^{\frac{(N+2)}{(N-2)}} = 0$ , the Scalar Curvature Problem in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and related topics, J. Funct. Anal. **165** (1999), 117-149.
- [3] AUBIN, T., Some Nonlinear Problems in Differential Geometry, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [4] BAHRI, A., CORON, J.M., The scalar curvature problem on the standard three dimensional sphere, J. Funct. Anal. 95 (1991), 106-172.

- [5] BIANCHI, G., The scalar curvature equation on R<sup>n</sup> and on S<sup>n</sup>, Adv. Diff. Eq. 1 (1996), 857-880.
- [6] BIANCHI, G., EGNELL, H., A Note on the Sobolev Inequality, J. Funct. Anal. 100 (1991), 18-24.
- [7] CHANG, S. A., GURSKY, M. J., YANG, P., The scalar curvature equation on 2- and 3- spheres, Calc. Var. 1 (1993), 205-229.
- [8] CHANG, S. A., YANG, P., Prescribing Gaussian curvature on S<sup>2</sup>, Acta Math. 159 (1987), 215-259.
- [9] CHANG, S. A., YANG, P., Conformal deformation of metrics on S<sup>2</sup>, J. Diff. Geom. 27 (1988), 256-296.
- [10] CHANG, S. A., YANG, P., A perturbation result in prescribing scalar curvature on S<sup>n</sup>, Duke Math. J. 64 (1991), 27-69.
- [11] CHANG, K. C., Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems, Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [12] CHANG, K. C., LIU, J. Q., On Nirenberg's problem, Int. J. Math. 4 (1993), 35-58.
- [13] CHEN, W. X., DING, W., Scalar curvature on S<sup>2</sup>, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 303 (1987), 365-382.
- [14] KAZDAN, J. L., WARNER, F., Existence and conformal deformation of metrics with prescribed Gaussian and scalar curvature, Ann. of Math. 101 (1975), 317-331.
- [15] LI, Y. Y., Prescribing scalar curvature on S<sup>n</sup> and related topics, Part 1, J. Diff. Equat. 120 (1995), 319-410.
- [16] LI, Y. Y., Prescribing scalar curvature on S<sup>n</sup> and related topics, Part 2, Existence and compactness, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 49 (1996), 541-597.
- [17] MALCHIODI, A., Some existence results for the Scalar Curvature Problem via Morse Theory, Rend. Mat. Acc. Naz. Lincei, to appear.
- [18] MORSE, M., VAN SCHAAK, G., The critical point theory under general boundary conditions, Ann. of Math. 35 (1934), 545-571.
- [19] NI, W. M., On the Elliptic Equation  $\Delta u + K(x)u^{\frac{(n+2)}{(n-2)}} = 0$ , its Generalizations, and Applications to Geometry, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **31** (1982), 493-529.
- [20] SCHOEN, R., ZHANG, D., Prescribed scalar curvature on the n-spere, Calc. Var. 4 (1996), 1-25.
- [21] STRUWE, M., Variational methods, Springer-Verlag, 1996, 2nd edition.