

Locally conformally flat quasi-Einstein manifolds

By *Giovanni Catino* at Trieste, *Carlo Mantegazza* at Pisa,
Lorenzo Mazzieri at Pisa and *Michele Rimoldi* at Milano

Abstract. In this paper we prove that any complete locally conformally flat quasi-Einstein manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ is locally a warped product with $(n - 1)$ -dimensional fibers of constant curvature. This result includes also the case of locally conformally flat gradient Ricci solitons.

1. Introduction

Let (M^n, g) , for $n \geq 3$, be a complete quasi-Einstein Riemannian manifold, that is, there exist a smooth function $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and two constants $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Ric} + \nabla^2 f - \mu df \otimes df = \lambda g.$$

When $\mu = 0$, quasi-Einstein manifolds correspond to gradient Ricci solitons and when f is constant, (1.1) gives the Einstein equation and we call the quasi-Einstein metric trivial. We also notice that, for $\mu = \frac{1}{2-n}$, the metric $\tilde{g} = e^{-\frac{2}{n-2}f} g$ is Einstein. Indeed, from the expression of the Ricci tensor of a conformal metric, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_{\tilde{g}} &= \text{Ric}_g + \nabla^2 f + \frac{1}{n-2} df \otimes df + \frac{1}{n-2} (\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2) g \\ &= \frac{1}{n-2} (\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + (n-2)\lambda) e^{\frac{2}{n-2}f} \tilde{g}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if g is also locally conformally flat, then \tilde{g} has constant curvature.

Quasi-Einstein manifolds have been recently introduced by J. Case, Y.-S. Shu and G. Wei in [5]. In that work the authors focus mainly on the case $\mu \geq 0$. The case $\mu = \frac{1}{m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is particularly relevant due to the link with Einstein warped products. Indeed in [5], following the results in [11], a characterization of these quasi-Einstein metrics as base metrics of Einstein warped product metrics is proved (see also [15], Theorem 2). This characterization on the one hand enables us to translate results from one setting to

the other, and on the other hand permits to furnish several examples of quasi-Einstein manifolds (see [1], Chapter 9, and [12]). Observe also that, in case $\frac{1}{2-n} \leq \mu < 0$, the definition of quasi-Einstein metric was used by D. Chen in [7] in the context of finding conformally Einstein product metrics on $M^n \times F^m$ for $\mu = \frac{1}{2-m-n}$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

As a generalization of Einstein manifolds, quasi-Einstein manifolds exhibit a certain rigidity. This is well known for $\mu = 0$, but we have evidence of this also in the case $\mu \geq 0$. This is expressed for example by triviality results and curvature estimates; see [4], [5], [15]. For instance it is known that, according to Qian’s version of Myers’ theorem, if $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ in (1.1) then M^n is compact (see [14]). Moreover in [11], analogously to the case $\mu = 0$, it is proven that if $\lambda \leq 0$, compact quasi-Einstein manifolds are trivial. A generalization to the complete non-compact setting of this result is obtained in [15] by means of an L^p -Liouville result for the weighted Laplacian which relies upon estimates for the infimum of the scalar curvature (extending the previous work in [5]). These latter results are achieved by means of tools coming from stochastic analysis such as the weak maximum principle at infinity combined with Qian’s estimates on weighted volumes (see [14] and also [13], Section 2).

The Riemann curvature operator of a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is defined as in [9] by

$$\text{Riem}(X, Y)Z = \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z.$$

In a local coordinate system the components of the $(3, 1)$ -Riemann curvature tensor are given by

$$R_{abc}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x^d} = \text{Riem}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^c}$$

and we denote by $R_{abcd} = g_{de} R_{abc}^e$ its $(4, 0)$ -version.

Throughout the article, the Einstein convention of summing over the repeated indices will be adopted.

With this choice, for the sphere \mathbb{S}^n we have $\text{Riem}(v, w, v, w) = R_{abcd} v^a w^b v^c w^d > 0$. The Ricci tensor is obtained by the contraction $R_{ac} = g^{bd} R_{abcd}$, and $R = g^{ac} R_{ac}$ will denote the scalar curvature. The so-called Weyl tensor is then defined by the following decomposition formula (see [9], Chapter 3, Section K) in dimension $n \geq 3$:

$$(1.2) \quad W_{abcd} = R_{abcd} + \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)}(g_{ac}g_{bd} - g_{ad}g_{bc}) - \frac{1}{n-2}(R_{ac}g_{bd} - R_{ad}g_{bc} + R_{bd}g_{ac} - R_{bc}g_{ad}).$$

The Weyl tensor satisfies all the symmetries of the curvature tensor and all its traces with the metric are zero, as it can easily be seen by the above formula.

In dimension $n = 3$ the tensor W is identically zero for every Riemannian manifold, it becomes relevant instead when $n \geq 4$, since its vanishing is a condition equivalent for (M^n, g) to be *locally conformally flat*. In dimension $n = 3$, locally conformally flatness is equivalent to the vanishing of the Cotton tensor

$$C_{abc} = \nabla_c R_{ab} - \nabla_b R_{ac} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (\nabla_c R g_{ab} - \nabla_b R g_{ac}).$$

When $n \geq 4$, note that one can compute (see [1])

$$\nabla^d W_{abcd} = -\frac{n-3}{n-2} C_{abc}.$$

Hence if we assume that the manifold is locally conformally flat, the Cotton tensor is identically zero also in this case.

In this paper we will consider a generic $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M^n, g) , $n \geq 3$, be a complete locally conformally flat quasi-Einstein manifold. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *If $\mu = \frac{1}{2-n}$, then (M^n, g) is globally conformally equivalent to a space form.*
- (ii) *If $\mu \neq \frac{1}{2-n}$, then, around any regular point of f , the manifold (M^n, g) is locally a warped product with $(n-1)$ -dimensional fibers of constant sectional curvature.*

Remark 1.2. This result is already known in the case where $\mu = 0$, i.e. for gradient Ricci solitons (see [3] and [6]). Nevertheless, the strategy of the proof is completely new and can be used as the main step to classify locally conformally flat shrinking and steady gradient Ricci solitons.

Remark 1.3. Very recently, similar results have been obtained by C. He, P. Petersen and W. Wylie [10] in the case when $0 < \mu < 1$, assuming a slightly weaker condition than locally conformally flatness.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

As observed in the introduction, if $\mu = \frac{1}{2-n}$, the manifold (M^n, g) is globally conformally equivalent to a space form.

From now on, we will consider the case $\mu \neq \frac{1}{2-n}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (M^n, g) be a quasi-Einstein manifold. Then the following identities hold:*

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{R} + \Delta f - \mu|\nabla f|^2 = n\lambda,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla_b \mathbf{R} = 2\mathbf{R}_{ab}\nabla^a f + 2\mu\mathbf{R}\nabla_b f - 2\mu^2|\nabla f|^2\nabla_b f - 2n\mu\lambda\nabla_b f + \mu\nabla_b|\nabla f|^2,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_c \mathbf{R}_{ab} - \nabla_b \mathbf{R}_{ac} &= -\mathbf{R}_{cabd}\nabla^d f + \mu(\mathbf{R}_{ab}\nabla_c f - \mathbf{R}_{ac}\nabla_b f) \\ &\quad - \lambda\mu(g_{ab}\nabla_c f - g_{ac}\nabla_b f). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Equation (2.1). We simply contract equation (1.1).

Equation (2.2). We take the divergence of equation (1.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{Ric}_b &= -\nabla^a \nabla_a \nabla_b f + \mu \nabla^a \nabla_a f \nabla_b f + \mu \nabla^a \nabla_b f \nabla_a f \\ &= -\nabla_b \Delta f - \mathbf{R}_{ab} \nabla^a f + \mu \Delta f \nabla_b f + \frac{1}{2} \mu \nabla_b |\nabla f|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we interchanged the covariant derivatives. Now, using equation (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{Ric}_b &= \nabla_b \mathbf{R} - \mu \nabla_b |\nabla f|^2 - \mathbf{R}_{ab} \nabla^a f - \mu \mathbf{R} \nabla_b f + \mu^2 |\nabla f|^2 \nabla_b f + n\mu\lambda \nabla_b f + \frac{1}{2} \mu \nabla_b |\nabla f|^2 \\ &= \nabla_b \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_{ab} \nabla^a f - \mu \mathbf{R} \nabla_b f + \mu^2 |\nabla f|^2 \nabla_b f + n\mu\lambda \nabla_b f - \frac{1}{2} \mu \nabla_b |\nabla f|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using Schur's lemma $\nabla \mathbf{R} = 2 \operatorname{div} \operatorname{Ric}$, we obtain equation (2.2).

Equation (2.3). Taking the covariant derivative of (1.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_c \mathbf{R}_{ab} - \nabla_b \mathbf{R}_{ac} &= -(\nabla_c \nabla_b \nabla_a f - \nabla_b \nabla_c \nabla_a f) \\ &\quad + \mu(\nabla_c \nabla_a f \nabla_b f + \nabla_c \nabla_b f \nabla_a f - \nabla_b \nabla_a f \nabla_c f - \nabla_b \nabla_c f \nabla_a f) \\ &= -\mathbf{R}_{cabd} \nabla^d f + \mu(\mathbf{R}_{ab} \nabla_c f - \mathbf{R}_{ac} \nabla_b f) - \mu\lambda(g_{ab} \nabla_c f - g_{ac} \nabla_b f), \end{aligned}$$

where we interchanged the covariant derivatives and we used again equation (1.1). \square

In any neighborhood, where $|\nabla f| \neq 0$, of a level set $\Sigma_\rho = \{p \in M^n \mid f(p) = \rho\}$ of a regular value ρ of f , we can express the metric g as

$$(2.4) \quad g = \frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2} df \otimes df + g_{ij}(f, \theta) d\theta^i \otimes d\theta^j,$$

where $\theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^{n-1})$ denotes intrinsic coordinates for Σ_ρ . In the following computations we will set $\partial_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial f}$, $\partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j}$, $\mathbf{V}_0 = \nabla_{\partial_0}$, $\mathbf{R}_{00} = \operatorname{Ric}(\partial_0, \partial_0)$, $\mathbf{R}_{0j} = \operatorname{Ric}(\partial_0, \partial_j)$ and so on. According to (2.4), we compute easily that

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla_j f = 0, \quad \nabla_0 f = |\nabla f|^2, \quad g^{00} = |\nabla f|^2.$$

In this coordinate system, we have the following formulae:

Lemma 2.2. *If (M^n, g) is a quasi-Einstein manifold. Then, for $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$, we have*

$$(2.6) \quad \nabla_j |\nabla f|^2 = -2|\nabla f|^4 \mathbf{R}_{0j},$$

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_0 |\nabla f|^2 = -2|\nabla f|^4 \mathbf{R}_{00} + 2\mu |\nabla f|^4 + 2\lambda |\nabla f|^2,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla_j \mathbf{R} = 2(1 - \mu) |\nabla f|^4 \mathbf{R}_{0j},$$

$$(2.9) \quad \nabla_0 \mathbf{R} = 2(1 - \mu) |\nabla f|^4 \mathbf{R}_{00} - 2(n - 1)\mu\lambda |\nabla f|^2 + 2\mu \mathbf{R} |\nabla f|^2,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \nabla_0 \mathbf{R}_{j0} - \nabla_j \mathbf{R}_{00} = \mu |\nabla f|^2 \mathbf{R}_{0j}.$$

Moreover, if (M^n, g) has $\mathbf{W} = 0$, for $i, j = 1, \dots, n - 1$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_0 \mathbf{R}_{ij} - \nabla_j \mathbf{R}_{i0} &= \frac{\mu(n - 2) + 1}{n - 2} \mathbf{R}_{ij} |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{n - 2} \mathbf{R}_{00} |\nabla f|^4 g_{ij} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(n - 1)(n - 2)} \mathbf{R} |\nabla f|^2 g_{ij} - \lambda \mu |\nabla f|^2 g_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Equation (2.6). We compute

$$\nabla_j |\nabla f|^2 = 2g^{00} \nabla_j \nabla_0 f \nabla_0 f + 2g^{kl} \nabla_j \nabla_k f \nabla_l f.$$

Using (1.1) and (2.5) we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_j |\nabla f|^2 &= 2g^{00} (-\mathbf{R}_{0j} + \mu df(\partial_j) df(\partial_0) + \lambda g_{0j}) \nabla_0 f \\ &= -2|\nabla f|^4 \mathbf{R}_{0j} + 2\mu |\nabla f|^2 \nabla_j f \nabla_0 f \nabla_0 f + 2\lambda |\nabla f|^2 g_{0j} \nabla_0 f \\ &= -2|\nabla f|^4 \mathbf{R}_{0j}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (2.7). As before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_0 |\nabla f|^2 &= 2|\nabla f|^4 \nabla_{00}^2 f \\ &= 2|\nabla f|^4 (-\mathbf{R}_{00} + \mu df(\partial_0) df(\partial_0) + \lambda g_{00}) \\ &= -2|\nabla f|^4 \mathbf{R}_{00} + 2\mu |\nabla f|^4 + 2\lambda |\nabla f|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (2.8). Using equations (2.2) and (2.6) we have

$$\nabla_j \mathbf{R} = 2|\nabla f|^4 \mathbf{R}_{0j} - 2\mu |\nabla f|^4 \mathbf{R}_{0j} = 2(1 - \mu) |\nabla f|^2 \mathbf{R}_{0j}.$$

Equation (2.9). It follows as before from equations (2.2) and (2.7).

Equation (2.10). Using equation (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_0 \mathbf{R}_{j0} - \nabla_j \mathbf{R}_{00} &= -g^{00} \mathbf{R}_{0j00} \nabla_0 f + \mu(\mathbf{R}_{0j} \nabla_0 f - \mathbf{R}_{00} \nabla_j f) - \lambda(g_{0j} \nabla_0 f - g_{00} \nabla_j f) \\ &= \mu |\nabla f|^2 \mathbf{R}_{0j}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (2.11). Using again equation (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_0 \mathbf{R}_{ij} - \nabla_j \mathbf{R}_{i0} &= -g^{00} \mathbf{R}_{0ji0} \nabla_0 f + \mu(\mathbf{R}_{ij} \nabla_0 f - \mathbf{R}_{i0} \nabla_j f) - \lambda\mu(g_{ij} \nabla_0 f - g_{i0} \nabla_j f) \\ &= -|\nabla f|^4 \left[\frac{1}{n-2} (\mathbf{R}_{i0} g_{j0} + \mathbf{R}_{j0} g_{i0} - \mathbf{R}_{00} g_{ij} - \mathbf{R}_{ij} g_{00}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\mathbf{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)} (g_{j0} g_{i0} - g_{ij} g_{00}) \right] + \mu |\nabla f|^2 \mathbf{R}_{ij} - \lambda\mu |\nabla f|^2 g_{ij} \\ &= \frac{\mu(n-2) + 1}{n-2} \mathbf{R}_{ij} |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{n-2} \mathbf{R}_{00} |\nabla f|^4 g_{ij} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \mathbf{R} |\nabla f|^2 g_{ij} - \lambda\mu |\nabla f|^2 g_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we have used the decomposition formula for the Riemann tensor (1.2) and the fact that the Weyl curvature part vanishes. \square

Now, if we assume that the manifold is locally conformally flat, the Cotton tensor is identically zero. Locally around every point where $|\nabla f| \neq 0$, from Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_{0j0} &= \nabla_0 \mathbf{R}_{j0} - \nabla_j \mathbf{R}_{00} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (\nabla_0 \mathbf{R} g_{j0} - \nabla_j \mathbf{R} g_{00}) \\ &= \mu |\nabla f|^2 \mathbf{R}_{0j} + \frac{1-\mu}{n-1} |\nabla f|^2 \mathbf{R}_{0j} \\ &= \frac{\mu(n-2) + 1}{n-1} |\nabla f|^2 \mathbf{R}_{0j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if (M^n, g) is locally conformally flat, we have that $\mathbf{R}_{0j} = 0$ for every $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, hence also

$$(2.12) \quad \nabla_j \mathbf{R} = \nabla_j |\nabla f|^2 = 0,$$

where we have used again the previous lemma. Hence, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{0j}^0 &= \frac{1}{2} g^{j0} (\partial_0 g_{jl} + \partial_j g_{0l} - \partial_l g_{0j}) = \frac{1}{2} g^{00} (\partial_0 g_{j0} + \partial_j g_{00}) = 0, \\ \Gamma_{00}^j &= \frac{1}{2} g^{jj} (\partial_0 g_{0l} + \partial_0 g_{0l} - \partial_l g_{00}) = \frac{1}{2} g^{jj} (-\partial_l g_{00}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\partial_j g_{00} = \partial_j (|\nabla f|^{-2}) = 0$. An easy computation shows that $\partial_j \mathbf{R}_{00} = 0$. Indeed,

$$\partial_j \mathbf{R}_{00} = \nabla_j \mathbf{R}_{00} + 2\Gamma_{j0}^0 \mathbf{R}_{00} = \nabla_j \mathbf{R}_{00} = \nabla_0 \mathbf{R}_{j0} = \partial_0 \mathbf{R}_{0j} - \Gamma_{00}^j \mathbf{R}_{ij} - \Gamma_{0j}^0 \mathbf{R}_{00} = 0,$$

where we used equations (2.10) and (2.12). Now we want to show that the mean curvature of the level set Σ_ρ is constant on the level set. We recall that, since $\nabla f/|\nabla f|$ is the unit normal vector to Σ_ρ , the second fundamental form h verifies

$$(2.13) \quad h_{ij} = -\frac{\nabla_{ij}^2 f}{|\nabla f|} = \frac{\mathbf{R}_{ij} - \lambda g_{ij}}{|\nabla f|},$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Thus, the mean curvature H of Σ_ρ satisfies

$$(2.14) \quad H = g^{ij} h_{ij} = \frac{\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_{00} |\nabla f|^2 - (n - 1)\lambda}{|\nabla f|},$$

which clearly implies that the mean curvature is constant on Σ_ρ , since all the quantities on the right-hand side do. Now we want to compute the components C_{ij0} of the Cotton tensor. Using equations (2.9), (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ij0} &= \nabla_0 \mathbf{R}_{ij} - \nabla_j \mathbf{R}_{i0} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (\nabla_0 \mathbf{R} g_{ij} - \nabla_j \mathbf{R} g_{i0}) \\ &= \nabla_0 \mathbf{R}_{ij} - \nabla_j \mathbf{R}_{i0} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \nabla_0 \mathbf{R} g_{ij} \\ &= \frac{\mu(n-2) + 1}{n-2} \mathbf{R}_{ij} |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{n-2} \mathbf{R}_{00} |\nabla f|^4 g_{ij} - \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \mathbf{R} |\nabla f|^2 g_{ij} - \lambda \mu |\nabla f|^2 g_{ij} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} [2(1-\mu) |\nabla f|^4 \mathbf{R}_{00} - 2(n-1) \mu \lambda |\nabla f|^2 + 2\mu \mathbf{R} |\nabla f|^2] g_{ij} \\ &= \frac{\mu(n-2) + 1}{n-2} \left(\mathbf{R}_{ij} + \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{R}_{00} |\nabla f|^2 g_{ij} - \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{R} g_{ij} \right) |\nabla f|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the expressions (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain

$$C_{ij0} = \frac{\mu(n-2) + 1}{n-2} \left(h_{ij} - \frac{1}{n-1} H g_{ij} \right) |\nabla f|^3.$$

Again, since all the components of the Cotton tensor vanish and we are assuming that $\mu \neq \frac{1}{2-n}$, we obtain that

$$(2.15) \quad h_{ij} = \frac{1}{n-1} H g_{ij}.$$

For any given $p \in \Sigma_\rho$, we suppose now to take orthonormal coordinates centered at p , still denoted by $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^{n-1}$. From the Gauss equation (see also [3], Lemma 3.2, for a similar

argument), one can see that the sectional curvatures of (Σ_p, g_{ij}) at p with the induced metric g_{ij} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijj}^\Sigma &= R_{ijj} + h_{ii}h_{jj} - h_{ij}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n-2}(R_{ii} + R_{jj}) - \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}R + \frac{1}{(n-1)^2}H^2 \\ &= \frac{2}{n-2}R_{ii} - \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}R + \frac{1}{(n-1)^2}H^2 \\ &= \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)}H|\nabla f| + \frac{2}{n-2}\lambda - \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}R + \frac{1}{(n-1)^2}H^2, \end{aligned}$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n-1$, where in the second equality we made use of the decomposition formula for the Riemann tensor (1.2), the locally conformally flatness of g and of (2.15). Since all the terms on the right-hand side are constant on Σ_p , we obtain that the sectional curvatures of (Σ_p, g_{ij}) are constant, which implies that (M^n, g) is locally a warped product metric with fibers of constant curvature. \square

Remark 2.3. Consider the manifold (M^n, \tilde{g}) with the conformal metric $\tilde{g} = e^{-\frac{2}{n-2}f}g$. Since the locally conformally flat property is conformally invariant, this is a still locally conformally flat metric, hence its Cotton tensor is zero. Thus, from equations (2.1) and (2.12) (the latter saying that the modulus of the gradient of f is constant along any regular level set of f), it follows that its Ricci tensor has only two eigenvalues of multiplicities one and $(n-1)$, which are constant along the level sets of f . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_{\tilde{g}} &= \text{Ric}_g + \nabla^2 f + \frac{1}{n-2}df \otimes df + \frac{1}{n-2}(\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2)g \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n-2} + \mu\right)df \otimes df + \frac{1}{n-2}(\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + (n-2)\lambda)e^{\frac{2}{n-2}f}\tilde{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, arguing as in [6] by means of splitting results for manifolds admitting a Codazzi tensor with only two distinct eigenvalues, we can conclude that (M^n, \tilde{g}) is locally a warped product with $(n-1)$ -dimensional fibers of constant curvature which are the level sets of f .

By the structure of the conformal deformation this conclusion also holds for the original Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) .

It is well known that, if (M^n, g) is a compact locally conformally flat gradient shrinking Ricci soliton, then it has constant curvature (see [8]). As pointed out to us by the anonymous referee such a conclusion cannot be extended to quasi-Einstein metrics. Indeed, C. Böhm in [2] has found Einstein metrics on $\mathbb{S}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{S}^l$ for $k, l \geq 2$ and $k + l \leq 8$ and these induce a quasi-Einstein metric on \mathbb{S}^{k+1} with $\mu = \frac{1}{l}$ and with the metric on \mathbb{S}^{k+1} being conformally flat (see also [10]).

In the complete, noncompact, case one would like to use Theorem 1.1 to have a classification of LCF quasi-Einstein manifolds (see [3] and [16] for steady and shrinking

gradient Ricci solitons, respectively). Possibly one has to assume some curvature conditions as the nonnegativity of the curvature operator or of the Ricci tensor.

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank Andrea Landi and Alessandro Onelli for several interesting comments on earlier versions of the paper. The first three authors are partially supported by the Italian project FIRB-IDEAS “Analysis and Beyond”.

References

- [1] *A. L. Besse*, Einstein manifolds, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2008.
- [2] *C. Böhm*, Inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on low dimensional spheres and other low-dimensional spaces, *Invent. Math.* **134** (1998), no. 1, 145–176.
- [3] *H.-D. Cao* and *Q. Chen*, On locally conformally flat gradient steady Ricci solitons, preprint 2009, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.2833>.
- [4] *J. Case*, On the nonexistence of quasi-Einstein metrics, preprint 2010, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0902.2226>.
- [5] *J. Case*, *Y.-S. Shu* and *G. Wei*, Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics, preprint 2008, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.3132>.
- [6] *G. Catino* and *C. Mantegazza*, Evolution of the Weyl tensor under the Ricci flow, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, to appear.
- [7] *D. Chen*, Construction of conformally compact Einstein metrics, preprint 2009, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.1430>.
- [8] *M. Eminenti*, *G. La Nave* and *C. Mantegazza*, Ricci solitons: the equation point of view, *Manuscripta Math.* **127** (2008), no. 3, 345–367.
- [9] *S. Gallot*, *D. Hulin* and *J. Lafontaine*, Riemannian geometry, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [10] *C. He*, *P. Petersen* and *W. Wylie*, On the classification of warped product Einstein metrics, preprint 2010, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.5488>.
- [11] *D.-S. Kim* and *Y. H. Kim*, Compact Einstein warped product spaces with nonpositive scalar curvature, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), no. 8, 2573–2576.
- [12] *H. Lu*, *N. Page* and *C. N. Pope*, New inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on sphere bundles over Einstein–Kähler manifolds, *Phys. Lett. B* **593** (2004), no. 1–4, 218–226.
- [13] *L. Mari*, *M. Rigoli* and *A. G. Setti*, Keller–Osserman conditions for diffusion-type operators on Riemannian manifolds, *J. Funct. Anal.* **258** (2010), 665–712.
- [14] *Z. Qian*, Estimates for weighted volumes and applications, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) **48** (1997), 235–242.
- [15] *M. Rimoldi*, A remark on Einstein warped products, *Pacific J. Math.*, to appear.
- [16] *Z.-H. Zhang*, Gradient shrinking solitons with vanishing Weyl tensor, *Pacific J. Math.* **242** (2009), no. 1, 189–200.

SISSA, International School for Advanced Studies, Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy
e-mail: catino@sissa.it

Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, P.za Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy
e-mail: c.mantegazza@sns.it

Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, P.za Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy
e-mail: l.mazzieri@sns.it

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Saldini 50, 20133 Milano, Italy
e-mail: michele.rimoldi@unimi.it

Eingegangen 28. Oktober 2010, in revidierter Fassung 23. Februar 2011